

February 7, 2014

Clerk of Supreme Court  
Attention: Deputy Clerk-Rules  
P.O. Box 1688  
Madison, WI 53701-1688

Supreme Court Commissioner  
Julie Anne Rich  
110 East Main Street  
Suite 440  
Madison, WI 53703

RECEIVED

FEB 07 2014

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
OF WISCONSIN

RE: Supreme Court Rule Petition No. 13-12 – “Public Alert of Bad Attorneys”

Dear Supreme Court Justices and Court Commissioner Rich:

I am one of Jehovah’s Witnesses and there were between 19 and 20 million in attendance at our Memorial this past year. And regarding OLR and Supreme Court Rules, my family and I have been discriminated against, threatened with murder, and attacked by BAD attorneys for two decades.

WHY? The answer is documented in *Wisconsin Supreme Court’s OLR vs. Attorney Ronald A. Arthur*, Case No. 01-1914-D, (Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law by Referee Stanley Hack-filed 10/15/03); **2005 WI 40**, 279 Wis.2d 583, 694 N.W.2d 910 (Wis. 2005) reading in part:

“¶1 PER CURIAM.

¶3 ...After our de novo review of the referee’s conclusions of law,...we agree with the referee that the extensive pattern of misconduct found by the referee reflects serious, widespread, and repeated violations of the Rules...

¶4 Accordingly, we reject Arthur’s requests, **adopt the referee’s findings of fact and conclusions of law**, and revoke Arthur’s license to practice law in Wisconsin. Arthur should be required to pay to the OLR...\$145,548.73.

¶14 ...The Keefes testified that Arthur tried to ‘coerce’ them into accepting a \$150,000 loan, and testified that they believed the money was part of an **illegal Russian money laundering operation**. They later testified that they felt **threatened by Arthur**.”

The Supreme Court Justices also adopted the boast and threat advanced by Attorney Ron Arthur against my son Randy Keefe and me if we refused to accept the \$150,000 loan and refused to devote our logging and sawmill business to the money laundering operation between Moscow, Russia and Marquette County, Wisconsin. Finding No. 11 reads in part as follows:

“11. Respondent further stated that his wife, Kathleen Arthur was a former DA and they knew enough about tying people up in litigation for two to five years and make it so expensive for them that they would give up rather than fight them in the courts. Respondent also represented that he and his wife knew enough about tying up judicial proceedings that they could commit any crime, including murder, and get away with it.”

In other words, if we devoted our logging and sawmill business in Marquette County to the money laundering scheme we were guaranteed that Marquette County District Attorney Richard J. Dufour (“DA Dufour”) and others would provide immunity from the law.

However, if we refused we would be tied up in false civil and criminal litigation for two to five years and the Arthurs, DA Dufour and Corporation Counselor Bernard N. Bult would commit crimes against us and **“get away with it.” That question is now before the Supreme Court.**

The former Board of Attorneys Professional Responsibility refused to investigate my grievance filed December 15, 1995 and my son, Randy Keefe and I had to conduct the entire investigation at unbelievable expense, time, destruction of my marriage of 38 years and the loss of our business. We were forced to defend against, file and litigate numerous cases to bring about justice as documented above. We had to conduct the investigation that triggered United States of America vs. Ronald Arthur and Kathleen Arthur, Indictment No. 2004-CR-122 which led to a federal prison sentence of 4 ½ years for Ron Arthur and 1 year and 1 day for Kathleen Arthur.

And concerning the crimes that Attorney Bernard N. Bult and Attorney Richard J. Dufour could commit against my family and “**get away with it**”, on January 3, 2014 I authored and filed a letter to O.L.R. Director Keith Sellen concerning documented Statutory and Constitutional rights violations and alleged crimes committed by Attorney Bernard N. Bult against my family. I also filed the letter with Supreme Court Clerk Diane M. Fremgen who notified me that the Court was accepting my letter as a complaint against the Office of Lawyer Regulation and Director Keith Sellen. A copy of my January 3, 2014 letter is being filed under cover of this letter.

On January 15, 2014, as a follow up to Ms. Fremgen’s notification of the Supreme Court’s acceptance of my letter as a complaint, I filed a letter to the Supreme Court Justices and I will file a copy with minor corrections under cover of this letter.

In addition to Supreme Court Rule Petition No. 13-12, I believe there should be a Supreme Court Rule providing for compensation to victims who are forced to conduct their own investigation due to a failure and refusal by the authority charged with and paid to carry out the responsibility. With all due respect, there are grievances and dispositive exhibits on file with the Office of Lawyer Regulation exposing Attorney Bernard N. Bult and Attorney Richard J. Dufour for egregious violations which fit into category (a) and (d) as follows:

**“(a) the attorney’s continued practice of law presents substantial risk of physical, financial, or legal harm to the attorney’s clients or other persons” or “(d) the attorney is engaged in a pattern of criminal or fraudulent conduct...”.**

In conclusion, two decades of malicious legal persecution and criminal acts against my family, and all because we refused to accept \$150,000 in black market U.S. cash and further refused a guarantee of \$250,000 per year if we devoted only 40% of our upgraded sawmill capacity to the money laundering scheme between Moscow, Russia and Marquette County, Wisconsin.

Respectfully submitted this 7<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2014.



William J. Keefe  
2830 Village Road, Apt. #211  
Portage, WI 53901  
Tel: 608-617-3672