

STATE OF WISCONSIN, CIRCUIT COURT, _____ COUNTY

State of Wisconsin, Petitioner

-vs-

Amended

Order Denying Petition for Supervised Release

Respondent's Name _____

Case No. _____

Date of Birth _____

A hearing on the request for supervised release was held on [Date] _____.

THE COURT FINDS:

1. The respondent failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence all of the following:
- The person is making significant progress in treatment and the person's progress can be sustained while on supervised release.
 - It is substantially probable that the person will not engage in an act of sexual violence while on supervised release.
 - Treatment that meets the person's needs and a qualified provider of the treatment are reasonably available.
 - The person can be reasonably expected to comply with his or her treatment requirements and with all of his or her conditions or rules of supervised release that are imposed by the court or by the department.
 - A reasonable level of resources can provide for the level of residential placement, supervision, and ongoing treatment needs that are required for the safe management of the person while on supervised release.
2. The Supervised Release Plan submitted by the Department of Health Services does not adequately meet
- the treatment needs of the respondent and/or
 - the safety needs of the community.

The court does not direct that an amended Supervised Release Plan be submitted for consideration because _____.

THE COURT ORDERS:

1. The respondent's Petition for supervised release is denied.
2. The sheriff shall transport the respondent to the secure facility designated by the Department of Health Services.

THIS IS A FINAL ORDER FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPEAL.

DISTRIBUTION:

1. Court
2. Attorney for county or state (whichever applicable)
3. Defense Attorney
4. Department of Health Services (Institution)
5. Department of Corrections
6. Other: _____

