

201 East Washington Avenue, Room G200 P.O. Box 8916 Madison, WI 53708-8916 Telephone: 608-422-7038

Fax: 608-261-6972

Governor Scott Walker Secretary Eloise Anderson

Office of Legal Counsel

September 30, 2015

RECEIVED

Clerk of Supreme Court Attention: Deputy Clerk-Rules P.O. Box 1688 Madison, WI 53701-1688

OCT 0 1 2015

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT OF WISCONSIN

Re:

Supreme Court Rule Petition 07-11C: In the matter of the review of the discretionary transfer of

cases to tribal court

Dear Chief Justice Roggensack and Associate Justices:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on Wis. Stat. § 801.54, authorizing the discretionary transfer of civil cases from circuit court to tribal court, which became effective January 1, 2009.

On July 1, 2009, the Supreme Court granted the request of the Wisconsin Department of Children and Families to amend Wis. Stat. § 801.54 to create an exception to the rule to facilitate transfer of post-judgment child support cases to tribes under certain circumstances. S. Ct. Order 07-11A, 2009 WI 63 (issued July 1, 2009, eff. July 1, 2009) (Roggensack, J., dissenting.) Wis. Stat. §801.54(2m) authorizes the court, on its own motion or the motion of any party, after notice to the parties of their right to object, to transfer a post-judgment child support, custody or placement provision of an action in which the state is a real party in interest pursuant to s. 767.205 (2) to a tribal court located in Wisconsin that is receiving funding from the federal government to operate a child support program under Title IV-D of the federal Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 654 et al.). The circuit court must first make a threshold determination that concurrent jurisdiction exists.

§ 801.54 has had a positive impact on the transfer of child support cases. It has resulted in a uniform approach statewide for dealing with case transfers including the use of uniform notices and procedures. While each case is still reviewed individually and the parties have the ability to challenge the transfer, there are protocols in place that ensure that all parties receive the same information and have the same factors taken into consideration in determining whether or not to transfer a case.

Since the enactment of Wis. Stat. §801.54, 6 Wisconsin tribes have received federal funding to operate child support programs under Title IV-D of the Federal Social Security Act. Over 2000 cases have been transferred to these tribes since 2009. The breakdown of those cases by tribe is as follows:

| • | Ho-Chunk | 88 cases |
|---|---------------------------|------------|
| • | Lac Courte Oreilles (LCO) | 279 cases |
| • | Oneida | 1551 cases |
| • | Potawatomi | 28 cases |
| • | Red Cliff | 80 cases |
| • | Stockbridge Munsee | 88 cases |

The process for case transfer is agreed to in a memorandum of understanding between the tribe, the state and the county from which the tribe will be receiving cases. Cases eligible for transfer are identified through a data match of the tribal enrollment information data with the information in the Kids Information Data System (KIDS), the state's automated child support information system. The tribes identify cases they would like transferred and initiate the transfer process by sending a letter to the parties involved informing them that they are operating a federally approved child support program and have asked the state to transfer the child support, custody and placement provisions of their case to the tribe.

The tribe's letter is followed 30 days later by the filing of a motion by the county child support agency in the county where the court order was entered seeking the transfer of the case from the state to the tribe. The motion is accompanied by an affidavit from the tribe establishing the grounds for concurrent jurisdiction. The parties are provided 10 business days to object to the transfer using a form which was approved by the Records Management Committee at the direction of the Supreme Court and is substantially similar to the form attached as an appendix to the court's 2009 ruling granting the Department's petition. A copy of that form and the materials provided to the parties is attached to this letter.

In Red Cliff Tribal transfer requests, hearings are held in Bayfield County Circuit Court for each of the cases identified for transfer. Since the tribe began receiving federal funding to operate a comprehensive child support program in July of 2014, objections have been filed in only 4 of the cases the tribe requested to be transferred from Bayfield County. Of those 4 cases, 2 remained in state court. In one case, the youngest child was close to the age of 18 and it was determined that given the duration of the case in state court, it should remain there. In the other case, both parties objected to the transfer at the hearing and the motion was withdrawn by the county with the approval of the tribe.

In the remaining 5 tribes, we estimate that approximately 88 hearings have been requested, resulting in 32 cases remaining in state court. Additionally, we estimate that the motion for transfer was withdrawn in approximately 60 cases, either because both parties objected to the transfer, it was determined that the child support case was scheduled to close soon due to the emancipation of the youngest child or it was determined that the tribe did not have jurisdiction to proceed against a non-tribal member. The Oneida Tribe has a provision in their tribal ordinance prohibiting the exercise of jurisdiction over non-tribal members without their consent, unless they live on the reservation. If an objection is received from a non-tribal member who does not live on the reservation, the county will withdraw their motion.

The process of transferring post-judgment child support cases to the tribes in each of the federally approved tribal child support programs has worked smoothly. Issues that have arisen have been dealt with and the department has worked together with the tribe to ensure a smooth transition from state to tribal court.

Thank you again for the opportunity to provide comment.

ried Chemi

Respectfully submitted,

Connie M. Chesnik

Attorney, Office of Legal Counsel connie.chesnik@wisconsin.gov

(608) 422-7040

| STAT | E OF WISCONSIN CIRCUIT COURTCOUNTY FAMILY COURT BRANCH |
|------------------------------|--|
| | NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR MODIFICATION AND FOR DISCRETIONARY TRANSFER TO TRIBAL COURT |
| vs. | Petitioner, Case No. |
| A-other - | Respondent. |
| To: | |
| | NOTICE OF MOTION |
| Wiscon within Agency time pe | SE TAKE NOTICE that that a Motion for Modification and for Discretionary Transfer to Tribal Court in the above captioned matter has been filed by the State of nsin. If you want to dispute this transfer, you must send the attached Request for Hearing ten (10) business days of the date of this notice to the County Child Support y, Street,, WI. If you return the request within the appropriate eriod, a hearing will be scheduled in Forest County Court, and all parties will be sent a of the hearing date, time and location. |
| | MOTION |
| as follo | omes the County Child Support, by and moves the Court for an order ows: Discretionary transfer of the post-judgment child support, medical support, custody and placement provisions of this action pursuant to Wis. Stat. §801.54 to the Tribal Court of the Tribe; |
| | A finding, pursuant to Wis. Stat. §822.27 that this court is an inconvenient forum and that the |
| | Montana v. United States, 450 U.S. 544 (1981), that the circuit court and the |

continuing exclusive jurisdiction over the child support in this matter.

| ine ba | asis for this motion is as follows: |
|--------|---|
| 1. | The Tribe is receiving funding from the federal government |
| | to operate a child support program under Title IV-D of the federal Social Security Act (42 |
| | USC 654 et al.); |
| 2. | Both parties are members and reside within the boundaries of theTribe (Wis. |
| | Stat. §801.54(2)(d)); |
| 3. | The minor child(ren) is/are enrolled or are eligible members of the Tribe; |
| 4. | The court recognizes the Tribe's inherent power to regulate domestic relations among its members; |
| 5. | Future matters can be decided more expeditiously in the Tribal Court (Wis. Stat. §801.54(2)(h)); |
| 6. | The Tribe has a greater institutional and administrative interest in the |
| | matter (Wis. Stat. §801.54(2)(i)). |
| 7. | Proceeding in theTribal Court will pose a lesser burden in terms of |
| | evidence, process, practice, and procedure on the parties. (Wis. Stat. §801.54(2)(j)). |
| 8. | Based upon the factors set forth above, the court should transfer the child support, medical |
| | support, custody and placement provisions of this action to theTribal |
| | Court.; |
| Dated | this day of, 201 |
| | COUNTY CHILD SUPPORT AGENCY |
| | |
| | |

RED CLIFF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES

| | <u>Affidavit of Concurrent</u> Jurisdiction |
|--|---|
| Click here to enter to Petitioner | |
| vs. | |
| Click here to enter to Respondent | ×t., |
| | Case No. Click here to enter text. IVD Case No. Click here to enter text. |
| 1. Bayfield Counter Circuit Court ereceiving feder which is concurred establishment 2. The Red Cliff Tourish Court. 3. The records of | Lake Superior Chippewa Indians, by and through its Child Support Attorney, Tish is that concurrent jurisdiction exists for the following reasons: ty Circuit Court has an existing child support and/or paternity case in which the exercised jurisdiction prior to Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians ral approval to operate a tribal child support agency; the County has jurisdiction urrent to the Tribe's jurisdiction. The Tribe has since enacted laws authorizing the and enforcement of paternity and child support. Tribal Code, Chapter 51, Sec. 51.3, Sec. 51.6, Sec. 51.7 and Sec. 51.16 addresses custody and placement, and grants authority over such matters to the Red Cliff The Red Cliff Tribe indicate that parties to this action are either enrolled members for Tribe and/or reside within the boundaries of the Red Cliff Reservation: |
| Mother: | ☐ ENROLLED MEMBER OF RED CLIFF BAND OF CHIPPEWA INDIANS ☐ NOT ENROLLED |
| Date of Birth: | ENROLLED WITH ANOTHER TRIBE: RESIDES ON RESERVATION LAND |
| Father: | ENROLLED MEMBER OF RED CLIFF BAND OF CHIPPEWA INDIANS NOT ENROLLED |
| Date of Birth: | ENROLLED WITH ANOTHER TRIBE: RESIDES ON RESERVATION LAND |
| Name of Child: | ENROLLED MEMBER OF RED CLIFF BAND OF CHIPPEWA INDIANS NOT ENROLLED BUT ELIGIBLE TO BE ENROLLED |
| Date of Rirth: | EMPONED WITH AMOTHER TRIPE. |

| Respectfully Submitted, | | |
|-------------------------|--|------|
| Tish Keahna | ************************************** | Date |

jurisdiction over this matter.

4. Pursuant to the factors in Montana vs United States, 450 U.S. 544 (1981), and as the Red Cliff

Tribe has a code on this matter, the ability to enforce this code, the Tribal Court has concurrent

Notice of Transfer Letter

Use County CSA Letterhead

| Date: |
|--|
| То: |
| County Court Case No.: IVD Case No. |
| The recently received federal approval to operate a tribal child support agency. The Tribe has enacted laws authorizing the establishment and enforcement of paternity and child support. |
| You or the other parent in your case is a member of the Tribe. Therefore, your child support case may be transferred to the |
| Child Support Agency. If it is transferred, the issues of legal custody, physical placement and child support will be under the jurisdiction of theTribe of Indians of Wisconsin. |
| This is your formal notice of County's intent to transfer your case to the Child Support Agency. You have a right to object to this transfer. Enclosed is a copy of the statute outlining the factors the court will consider if you do object and request a hearing. |
| If you want to dispute the transfer, you must complete the enclosed Request for Hearing. Be sure to fill out the second page attached to the Request for Hearing explaining why you object to the transfer. The hearing judge will then be better able to consider your objection. Within ten (10) business days of the date of this letter, you must send the completed Request for Hearing to theCounty Child Support Agency. If you return the request within the appropriate time period, a hearing will be scheduled inCounty Circuit Court and all parties will be send a notice of the hearing date, time and location. |

| business days, we will ask the order transferring your case to the | . , |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| This agency is an equal opportunity have a disability and need informationeed it translated to another language number or address listed at the top of | e, please contact us at the phone |
| Sincerely, | |
| County Child Support A | gency |
| Enclosures | |

| CIRCUIT COURT | COUNTY |
|--|---|
| | |
| | |
| REQUEST FO CASE TRANS | |
| Case No IVD No | |
| COUNTY: | |
| the transfer of my case regarding ent and child support to the | g the issues of |
| Signature | |
| Name Printed or Type | ed |
| Date | |
| For Agency Use Only | |
| s scheduled for: | |
| e: | |
| | |
| ation: | |
| | |
| siding Official: | |
| | REQUEST FO CASE TRANS Case No IVD No COUNTY: the transfer of my case regarding ent and child support to the Signature Name Printed or Type Date For Agency Use Only s scheduled for: e: le: |

| BRIEFLY EXPLAIN | I THE REASON YOU OPPOSE THE TRANSFER OF T | HIS |
|-----------------|---|-----|
| CASE TO THE | TRIBAL COURT: | |

.

.