

OFFICE OF THE CLERK WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 East Main Street, Suite 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY: (800) 947-3529 Facsimile (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

September 9, 2015

To:

Hon. Timothy D. Boyle Circuit Court Judge Racine County Courthouse 730 Wisconsin Avenue Racine, WI 53403

Samuel Christensen Clerk of Circuit Court Racine County Courthouse 730 Wisconsin Avenue Racine, WI 53403

Heather J. Krause Racine Co. Office of Corp. Counsel Child Support Enforcement 818 6th. St., Ste. 2 Racine, WI 53403 Civil Litigation Unit Department of Justice P.O. Box 7857 Madison, WI 53707-7857

Fox Lake Correctional Institution Business Office P.O. Box 147 Fox Lake, WI 53933-0147

Shantel Jenkins 3960 Drexel Ave. Gurnee, IL 60031

Gill Smith 477290 Fox Lake Corr. Inst. P.O. Box 200 Fox Lake, WI 53933-0200

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2014AP2567

State of Wisconsin v. Gill Smith (L.C. # 2009FA387)

Before Neubauer, C.J., Reilly, P.J., and Gundrum, J.

Gill Smith appeals pro se from orders denying his motion to modify child support and motion for reconsideration. Based upon our review of the briefs and record, we conclude at conference that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21 (2013-14). We affirm the orders of the circuit court.

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2013-14 version.

No. 2014AP2567

In 2009, Smith acknowledged paternity of L.S. and was ordered to pay child support. In

June 2014, he moved to suspend or modify his child support payments on the ground that he was

incarcerated. Smith also sought to exclude gift money from the assignment of child support.

The circuit court issued an order summarily denying Smith's requests. Smith moved for

reconsideration of the order, which the court also denied. This appeal follows.

Generally, we review a circuit court's decision to modify child support for a proper

exercise of discretion. See Zutz v. Zutz, 208 Wis. 2d 338, 342, 559 N.W.2d 919 (Ct. App. 1997).

We will affirm a court's exercise of discretion if it examined the relevant facts, applied the

proper legal standards, and reached a logical decision. Id.

Here, the circuit court found that Smith's earning capacity was the same as it was before

his incarceration. It also correctly noted that incarcerated persons are not automatically relieved

of their child support obligations. See Rottscheit v. Dumler, 2003 WI 62, ¶30, 262 Wis, 2d 292,

664 N.W.2d 525. Finally, the court denied excluding gift money from the assignment of child

support, as there was no basis to do so. Reviewing the court's decision, we are satisfied that it

properly exercised its discretion in denying Smith relief.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the orders of the circuit court are summarily affirmed, pursuant to

WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

Diane M. Fremgen Clerk of Court of Appeals

2