



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT I

April 30, 2019

To:

Hon. Hannah C. Dugan
Circuit Court Judge
901 N. 9th Street, Room 414
Milwaukee, WI 53233-1425

Cheryl A. Ward
Ward Law Office
10533 W. National Ave., Ste. 304
West Allis, WI 53227

John Barrett
Clerk of Circuit Court
821 W. State Street, Room 114
Milwaukee, WI 53233

Criminal Appeals Unit
Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Karen A. Loebel
Deputy District Attorney
821 W. State St.
Milwaukee, WI 53233

Ty C. Liedtke
325 S. Brookfield Rd.
Brookfield, WI 53045

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following order:

2018AP1860-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Ty C. Liedtke (L.C. # 2015CT1874)

Before Kessler, P.J.¹

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Ty Liedtke appeals from a judgment convicting him of operating a motor vehicle while intoxicated as a second offense. Liedtke's appointed appellate counsel, Cheryl A. Ward, has filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S.

¹ This appeal is decided by one judge pursuant to WIS. STAT. § 752.31(2) (2017-18). All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2017-18 version unless otherwise noted.

738 (1967). Liedtke received a copy of the report and was advised of his right to file a response but has elected not to do so. After considering the report and conducting an independent review of the record, we conclude there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21. Therefore, we summarily affirm.

The no-merit report addresses whether there would be arguable merit to a challenge to the circuit court's decision denying Liedtke's suppression motion; whether there would be arguable merit to a claim that the plea colloquy was legally insufficient to establish that Liedtke's no-contest plea was freely, voluntarily, and knowingly entered; and whether the sentence was the result of an erroneous exercise of discretion or otherwise subject to modification based on any new factor. We are satisfied that the no-merit report properly analyzes the issues it raises as without merit. Therefore, we do not discuss them further.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal. Accordingly, we accept the no-merit report, affirm the conviction, and discharge appellate counsel of the obligation to represent Liedtke further in these appeals.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the circuit court is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Cheryl A. Ward is relieved from further representing Ty Liedtke in this appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of Appeals