



OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
**WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS**

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880

TTY: (800) 947-3529

Facsimile (608) 267-0640

Web Site: [www.wicourts.gov](http://www.wicourts.gov)

**DISTRICT IV**

December 23, 2025

To:

Hon. Todd J. Hepler  
Circuit Court Judge  
Electronic Notice

Julie Kayartz  
Clerk of Circuit Court  
Columbia County Courthouse  
Electronic Notice

John Blimling  
Electronic Notice

Kathleen A. Lindgren  
Electronic Notice

Adam Robert Bileck 720748  
Fox Lake Minimum Correctional Inst.  
P.O. Box 200  
Fox Lake, WI 53933-0200

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

---

2024AP1571-CRNM      State of Wisconsin v. Adam Robert Bileck (L.C. # 2021CF473)

Before Graham, P.J., Nashold, and Taylor, JJ.

**Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).**

Attorney Kathleen Lindgren, as appointed counsel for Adam Bileck, filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2023-24)<sup>1</sup> and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel provided Bileck with a copy of the report, and both counsel and this court advised him of his right to file a response. Bileck has not responded. We conclude that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. See WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21. After our independent

---

<sup>1</sup> All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2023-24 version.

review of the record, we conclude that there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal.

After a jury trial, Bileck was convicted of burglary, possession of burglarious tools, felony bail jumping, and criminal damage to property. The court imposed a combination of consecutive and concurrent sentences totaling eight years of initial confinement and eight years of extended supervision.

The no-merit report addresses whether the evidence was sufficient to support the guilty verdicts. We affirm the verdict unless the evidence, viewed most favorably to the State and the conviction, is so insufficient in probative value and force that no reasonable trier of fact could have found guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. *State v. Poellinger*, 153 Wis. 2d 493, 501, 451 N.W.2d 752 (1990). Credibility of witnesses is for the trier of fact. *Id.* at 504.

Without attempting to recite the evidence in detail here, the testimony of the police officers and the victim, together with the physical evidence, photographs, video, and documents, was sufficient. This evidence was not inherently incredible and, if believed by the jury, was sufficient to satisfy the elements of all counts. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

The no-merit report addresses Bileck's sentences. The sentences are within the legal maximums. As to discretionary issues, the standards for the circuit court and this court are well-established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Gallion*, 2004 WI 42, ¶¶17-51, 270 Wis. 2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197. In this case, the court considered appropriate factors, did not consider improper factors, and reached a reasonable result. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of conviction is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Lindgren is relieved of further representation of Bileck in this matter. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

---

*Samuel A. Christensen*  
*Clerk of Court of Appeals*