



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT IV

April 23, 2026

To:

Hon. Stephen E. Ehlke
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Jeremy D. Winston
Electronic Notice

Jeff Okazaki
Clerk of Circuit Court
Dane County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Tori R. Winston
SAFE AT HOME
P.O. Box 7188
Madison, WI 53707

Andrea D. Brendemuehl
Asst. Corp. Counsel
Room 365
210 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.
Madison, WI 53703-3350

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2025AP157

In re the marriage of: Tori R. Winston v. Jeremy D. Winston
(L.C. # 2023FA492)

Before Graham, P.J., Nashold, and Taylor, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

The pro se appellant, Jeremy D. Winston, appeals a judgment of divorce entered in the circuit court on January 24, 2025. Based on our review of the briefs and record, we conclude at

conference that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21 (2023-24).¹ We summarily affirm.

Winston’s notice of appeal, filed just three days after the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and judgment of divorce was entered, identifies the January 24 “judgment and findings of facts involving sole custody and primary placement” as the final judgment or order for which he seeks review.² Winston does not develop any argument in his appellate briefing that any part of this judgment is erroneous. Instead, he states that he “moved to reopen” this judgment based on new evidence and that the circuit court “denied the motion without hearing.” Winston contends that the denial of this motion “violated due process and fair procedure.” The respondent did not file a brief in this appeal.

No motion to reopen appears in the appellate record. Moreover, this court does not have jurisdiction to review any orders entered after the notice of appeal; to the extent the circuit court entered a final appealable order after the January 24 judgment of divorce described above, an appellant seeking review of that order must file a new notice of appeal pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.10. *See Chicago & N.W.R.R. v. LIRC*, 91 Wis. 2d 462, 473, 283 N.W.2d 603 (Ct. App. 1979) (“An appeal from a judgment does not embrace an order entered after the judgment.”).

Because the appellant has not shown any error in the judgment on appeal, and because we do not have jurisdiction over any order entered after that judgment in this appeal, we affirm despite the lack of a respondent’s brief.

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2023-24 version.

² The notice of appeal mistakenly identifies the date of this judgment as January 23, 2025, which, according to the circuit court’s electronic docket, appears to be the date on which the circuit court held the contested divorce hearing.

IT IS ORDERED that the order of the circuit court is summarily affirmed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the appellant's pending motion for summary reversal is denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the appellant's motion for stay pending appeal, filed on April 16, 2026, is denied as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals