



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT I

June 9, 2026

To:

Hon. David C. Swanson
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Thomas J. Erickson
Electronic Notice

Anna Hodges
Clerk of Circuit Court
Milwaukee County Safety Building
Electronic Notice

Wilson M. Medina Cruz 722414
Wisconsin Secure Program Facility
P.O. Box 1000
Boscobel, WI 53805-1000

John Blimling
Electronic Notice

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2024AP2581-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Wilson M. Medina Cruz
(L.C. # 2022CF2887)

Before Donald, C.J., Colón, P.J., and Geenen, J.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Attorney Thomas Erickson, as appointed counsel for Wilson Medina Cruz, filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2023-24)¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel provided Medina Cruz with a copy of the report, and both counsel and this court advised him of his right to file a response. Medina Cruz has not responded. We conclude that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21. After our

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2023-24 version.

independent review of the record, we conclude that there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal.

Medina Cruz pled guilty to one count of first-degree reckless homicide. The circuit court imposed a sentence of 24 years of initial confinement and 15 years of extended supervision.

The no-merit report addresses whether Medina Cruz's plea was entered knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently. The plea colloquy sufficiently complied with the requirements of *State v. Brown*, 2006 WI 100, ¶35, 293 Wis. 2d 594, 716 N.W.2d 906, and WIS. STAT. § 971.08 relating to the nature of the charge, the rights Medina Cruz was waiving, and other matters. The record shows no other ground to withdraw the plea. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

The no-merit report also addresses Medina Cruz's sentence. The sentence is within the legal maximum. As to discretionary issues in sentencing, the standards for the circuit court and this court are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Gallion*, 2004 WI 42, ¶¶17-51, 270 Wis. 2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197. In this case, the court considered appropriate factors, did not consider improper factors, and reached a reasonable result. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of conviction is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Thomas Erickson is relieved of further representation of Wilson Medina Cruz in this matter. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals