



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880

TTY: (800) 947-3529

Facsimile (608) 267-0640

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT IV

July 30, 2026

To:

Hon. Troy L. Nielsen
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Yvette Kienert
Clerk of Circuit Court
Waupaca County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

John Blimling
Electronic Notice

Tristan Breedlove
Electronic Notice

Catherine Malchow
Electronic Notice

Timothy G. Talady
Sand Ridge Secure Treatment Center
P.O. Box 800
Mauston, WI 53948

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2025AP361-NM

In re the commitment of Timothy G. Talady: State of Wisconsin v.
Timothy G. Talady (L.C. # 2015CI1)

Before Graham, P.J., Blanchard, and Nashold, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Counsel for Timothy G. Talady has filed a no-merit report concluding that there is no arguable basis for challenging an order denying Talady's petition for supervised release from his commitment as a sexually violent person pursuant to WIS. STAT. ch. 980 (2023-24).¹ Talady was informed of his right to file a response to the no-merit report and has not responded. After independently reviewing the record as mandated by WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32, we conclude there

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2023-24 version.

is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal. Therefore, we summarily affirm the order. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

Talady was initially committed in 2017. He filed numerous petitions for discharge and supervised release in the years that followed, none of which were granted. This appeal concerns the petition for supervised relief Talady filed in 2023. The circuit court determined that Talady was entitled to a hearing on his petition, which was held on May 10, 2024.

Talady presented testimony from Dr. Scott Woodley, a psychologist who conducted an independent examination of Talady and testified that Talady met the criteria for supervised release under WIS. STAT. § 980.08(4). The State presented evidence from two other psychologists, Dr. Darren Matusen and Dr. Krystine Jackson, who testified that Talady did not meet the criteria for supervised release. The circuit court found that Talady did not meet his burden of proving, by clear and convincing evidence, that he meets the criteria outlined in § 980.08(4)(cg). Specifically, the court found that Talady did not prove that he was making significant progress in treatment and that his progress could be sustained while on supervised release. *See* § 980.08(4)(cg)1. Accordingly the court denied Talady's petition.

The no-merit report addresses whether Talady received a fair trial free of errors in the proceedings that would entitle him to a new hearing and whether the circuit court erred in finding the evidence insufficient to grant supervised release. After independently reviewing the record, we agree with counsel's description, analysis, and conclusion that none of these issues have arguable merit. The no-merit report sets forth an adequate discussion of the potential issues to support the no-merit conclusion, and we need not address them further.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issue for appeal. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the order is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that attorneys Catherine R. Malchow and Tristan S. Breedlove are relieved of their obligation to further represent Talady in this matter. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals