



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880

TTY: (800) 947-3529

Facsimile (608) 267-0640

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

September 9, 2026

To:

Hon. Michael Christopher Schindhelm
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Gregory Bates
Electronic Notice

Monica Paz
Clerk of Circuit Court
Waukesha County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Andrew T. Moore #680285
Fox Lake Correctional Institution
W10237 Lake Emily Road
P.O. Box 200
Fox Lake, WI 53933

John Blimling
Electronic Notice

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2025AP1198-CRNM	State of Wisconsin v. Andrew T. Moore (L.C. #2022CF340)
2025AP1202-CRNM	State of Wisconsin v. Andrew T. Moore (L.C. #2022CF1221)

Before Lazar, P.J., Gundrum, and Grogan, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

In these consolidated cases, Andrew T. Moore appeals from judgments convicting him of two counts of using a computer to facilitate a child sex crime. His appellate counsel filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2023-24)¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Moore received a copy of the report, was advised of his right to file a response, and has elected not to do so. Upon consideration of the report and an independent review of the

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2023-24 version.

Records, we conclude there are no issues with arguable merit for appeal. Therefore, we summarily affirm the judgments. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

Moore was convicted following no contest pleas to two counts of using a computer to facilitate a child sex crime. He was accused of communicating with two girls² on Snapchat with the intent to have sexual contact or sexual intercourse with them. A number of additional charges were dismissed and read in. For his actions, the circuit court imposed an aggregate sentence of 15 years of initial confinement and 14 years of extended supervision. These no-merit appeals follow.

The no-merit report addresses: (1) whether the circuit court complied with the requirements for accepting the pleas; (2) whether the court provided a reasonable basis for the sentence imposed; and (3) whether any other issues were preserved for appeal.³ This court is satisfied that the no-merit report correctly analyzes the issues it raises as without merit, and we will not discuss them further.

Our review of the Records discloses no other potential issues for appeal. Accordingly, this court accepts the no-merit report, affirms the judgments of conviction, and discharges appellate counsel of the obligation to represent Moore further in these appeals.

² The girls were 12 and 15 years old.

³ Moore's pleas forfeited the right to raise nonjurisdictional defects and defenses, including claimed violations of constitutional rights. *See State v. Kelty*, 2006 WI 101, ¶18 & n.11, 294 Wis. 2d 62, 716 N.W.2d 886; *see also State v. Lasky*, 2002 WI App 126, ¶11, 254 Wis. 2d 789, 646 N.W.2d 53.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgments of the circuit court are summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Gregory Bates is relieved of further representation of Andrew T. Moore in these appeals. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals