COURT OF APPEALS
DECISION
DATED AND FILED

November 18, 2026

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals

NOTICE

This opinion is subject to further editing. If published, the official version will appear in the bound volume of the Official Reports.

A party may file with the Supreme Court a petition to review an adverse decision by the Court of Appeals. *See* WIS. STAT. § 808.10 and RULE 809.62.

Appeal Nos. 2025AP2649
2025AP2650

Cir. Ct. Nos. 2025FO1028
2025FO1029

STATE OF WISCONSIN **IN COURT OF APPEALS**
DISTRICT II

CITY OF OSHKOSH,

PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT,

V.

JALEN J. DIXON,

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

APPEAL from judgments of the circuit court for Winnebago County: BRYAN D. KEBERLEIN, Judge. *Affirmed*.

¶1 LOCOCO, J.[1] Jalen J. Dixon, pro se, argues insufficient evidence supports the circuit court's conclusion that he committed trespass and disorderly

[1] This appeal is decided by one judge pursuant to WIS. STAT. § 752.31(2)(g) (2023-24). All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2023-24 version.

conduct offenses. But he failed to ensure the appellate record contains a transcript of the court trial at which the City made its case. Dixon's brief, accordingly, contains neither record citations nor a developed argument discussing the evidence underlying the challenged judgments. This court is unable to conduct the necessary review and must affirm. *See* ***Gaethke v. Pozder***, 2017 WI App 38, ¶36, 376 Wis. 2d 448, 899 N.W.2d 381.[2]

By the Court.—Judgments affirmed.

This opinion will not be published. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(1)(b)4.

[2] Dixon's request to file an amended brief to address these deficiencies is denied. This court already allowed him to submit a new brief when his first failed to comply with applicable rules, he does not show good cause for additional leeway with respect to those rules, and a new brief would not address the lack of a transcript in the record.