



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT IV/I

August 9, 2018

To:

Hon. Stephen E. Ehlke
Circuit Court Judge
Br. 15
215 S. Hamilton St., Rm. 7107
Madison, WI 53703

Carlo Esqueda
Clerk of Circuit Court
215 S. Hamilton St., Rm. 1000
Madison, WI 53703

Thomas Brady Aquino
Asst. State Public Defender
P.O. Box 7862
Madison, WI 53707-7862

Matthew Moeser
Deputy District Attorney
Rm. 3000
215 South Hamilton
Madison, WI 53703

Criminal Appeals Unit
Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Taylin M. Hill 648542
Taycheedah Corr. Inst.
P.O. Box 3100
Fond du Lac, WI 54936-3100

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2018AP583-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Taylin M. Hill (L.C. # 2015CF1970)

Before Brennan, Brash and Dugan, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Taylin M. Hill appeals a judgment convicting her of one count of neglecting a child causing death, one count of causing mental harm to a child, and one count of child abuse, intentionally causing harm. Attorney Thomas B. Aquino was appointed to represent Hill. He

filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2015-16),¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Hill received a copy of the report and was advised of her right to file a response, but she has not responded. After considering the report and conducting an independent review of the record, we conclude that there are no issues of arguable merit that could be raised on appeal. See WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21. Therefore, we affirm.

The no-merit report first addresses whether there would be arguable merit to a claim that Hill's guilty plea was not knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered. The circuit court conducted a colloquy that conformed to the strictures of WIS. STAT. § 971.08 and *State v. Bangert*, 131 Wis. 2d 246, 266-72, 389 N.W.2d 12 (1986), when read in conjunction with Hill's signed plea questionnaire and waiver of rights form. See *State v. Moederndorfer*, 141 Wis. 2d 823, 827-28, 416 N.W.2d 627 (Ct. App. 1987) (the court may rely on a plea questionnaire and waiver of rights form in assessing the defendant's knowledge about the rights he or she is waiving). There would be no arguable merit to an appellate challenge to Hill's plea.

The no-merit report next addresses whether there would be arguable merit to a claim that the circuit court erroneously exercised its sentencing discretion when it sentenced Hill to a total of twenty years of initial confinement and fifteen years of extended supervision. The record establishes that the circuit court carefully considered the general objectives of sentencing and the appropriate sentencing factors, applied the factors to the facts of this case, and reached a reasonable sentencing decision. See *State v. Ziegler*, 2006 WI App 49, ¶23, 289 Wis. 2d 594, 712 N.W.2d 76 (the court must identify the factors it considered and explain how those factors fit

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2015-16 version unless otherwise noted.

the objectives and influenced its sentencing decision). There would be no arguable merit to a challenge to the court's sentencing discretion.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal. Accordingly, we accept the no-merit report, affirm the conviction, and discharge appellate counsel of the obligation to represent Hill further in this appeal.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of conviction is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Thomas B. Aquino is relieved from further representing Taylin M. Hill in this appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Sheila T. Reiff
Clerk of Court of Appeals