



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880

TTY: (800) 947-3529

Facsimile (608) 267-0640

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

February 5, 2025

To:

Hon. Sandra J. Giernoth
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Scott M. Hambly
423 Grand Ave
Hartford, WI 53027

Sherry Coykendall
Clerk of Circuit Court
Washington County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Kiah L. McKay
W1098 Filmore Rd.
Rubicon, WI 53078

Eileen T. Evans
Electronic Notice

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2024AP64

Kiah L. McKay v. Scott M. Hambly (L.C. #2014FA469)

Before Gundrum, P.J., Grogan and Lazar, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Scott M. Hambly appeals from an order of the circuit court. Based upon our review of the briefs and record, we conclude at conference that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21 (2021-22).¹

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2021-22 version unless otherwise noted.

Hambly makes many assertions of errors, and even wrongdoings, by the circuit court. He includes no record cites for his factual assertions or claims of error by the court, and he develops no legal arguments in support of any of his allegations of error. Moreover, for Hambly to prevail on many of his claims of error by the court, we would need to review the relevant transcripts, but Hambly has failed to provide those.

The law is well established that we do not consider undeveloped arguments and arguments lacking legal support. *See Clean Wis., Inc. v. PSC*, 2005 WI 93, ¶180 n.40, 282 Wis. 2d 250, 700 N.W.2d 768 (“We will not address undeveloped arguments.”); WIS. STAT. § 809.19(1)(e); *State v. Pettit*, 171 Wis. 2d 627, 646, 492 N.W.2d 633 (Ct. App. 1992) (“Arguments unsupported by references to legal authority will not be considered.”). Moreover, “[i]t is the appellant’s responsibility to ensure completion of the appellate record and ‘when an appellate record is incomplete in connection with an issue raised by the appellant, we must assume that the missing material supports the [circuit] court’s ruling.’” *Gaethke v. Pozder*, 2017 WI App 38, ¶36, 376 Wis. 2d 448, 899 N.W.2d 381 (citation omitted).

On appeal, the appellant, here Hambly, has the burden to demonstrate that the circuit court erred. *Id.* Without developed arguments or the relevant transcripts, he is unable to meet his burden, and he has failed to do so here.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the order of the circuit court is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals