



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880

TTY: (800) 947-3529

Facsimile (608) 267-0640

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT IV

October 16, 2025

To:

Hon. Paul S. Curran
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Joshua Andreasen
Electronic Notice

Alecia M. Kast
Clerk of Court
Electronic Notice

Monica Carolina Urena De La Rosa
Electronic Notice

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2024AP2287

State of Wisconsin v. Monica Carolina Urena De La Rosa
(L.C. # 2024TR749)

Before Graham, P.J.¹

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Monica Carolina Urena De La Rosa appeals a judgment convicting her of speeding on a freeway contrary to WIS. STAT. § 346.57(4)(gm)2. I conclude this case is appropriate for summary disposition, *see* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21(1), and I affirm.

A state trooper cited Urena De La Rosa for driving 97 miles per hour in a zone where the speed limit is 70 miles per hour. Urena De La Rosa entered a not-guilty plea and demanded a

¹ This appeal is decided by one judge pursuant to WIS. STAT. § 752.31(2) (2023-24). All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2023-24 version.

trial to the court. At trial, the circuit court found her guilty of the violation and ordered that she pay a forfeiture and costs, and that her license be suspended for 15 days.

Urena De La Rosa raises five issues on appeal. She argues that there was insufficient evidence that the LiDAR device used by the trooper worked properly; that her due process rights were violated because she was pressured to take a plea deal and informed that she would not prevail at trial; that the court was biased against her because she is Latina and a student; that the court should have considered her clean driving record; and that the penalty was excessive.

The record on appeal does not include a trial transcript. It is the appellant's responsibility to ensure that this court is provided with an adequate record. *Fiumefreddo v. McLean*, 174 Wis. 2d 10, 26, 496 N.W.2d 226 (Ct. App. 1993). Absent a complete record, an appellate court will assume "that every fact essential to sustain the [circuit] court's decision is supported by the record." *Fischer v. Wisconsin Patients Comp. Fund*, 2002 WI App 192, ¶6 n.4, 256 Wis. 2d 848, 650 N.W.2d 75. Here, because the circuit court's decision at trial cannot be meaningfully reviewed without the missing trial transcript, and because it was Urena De La Rosa's responsibility to provide that transcript, I affirm.²

² The State, as respondent, has included a copy of the trial transcript in the appendix to its respondent's brief. Although I am bound by the record on appeal and affirm the judgment because that record does not permit meaningful review of the circuit court's decision, I observe that the transcript, if considered, would not lend support to Urena De La Rosa's claims.

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment is summarily affirmed pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21(1).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals