



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880

TTY: (800) 947-3529

Facsimile (608) 267-0640

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

December 10, 2025

To:

Hon. William J. Domina
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

John Blimling
Electronic Notice

Monica Paz
Clerk of Circuit Court
Waukesha County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Pamela Moorshead
Electronic Notice

Charles E. Jackson #406854
Redgranite Correctional Inst.
P.O. Box 925
Redgranite, WI 54970-0925

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2025AP1570-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Charles E. Jackson (L.C. #2023CF280)

Before Neubauer, P.J., Gundrum, and Grogan, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Charles E. Jackson appeals from a judgment convicting him of several crimes. His appellate counsel filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2023-24)¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Jackson received a copy of the report, was advised of his right to file a response, and has elected not to do so. Upon consideration of the report and an independent review of the record, we conclude there are no issues with arguable merit for

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2023-24 version.

appeal. We summarily affirm the judgment and remand with directions.² See WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

Jackson was convicted following guilty pleas to maintaining a drug trafficking place and two counts of manufacturing/delivering cocaine, all as second or subsequent offenses. The charges stemmed from a couple of controlled buys occurring at Jackson's apartment. Six additional charges were dismissed and read in.³ For his actions, the circuit court imposed an aggregate sentence of six years of initial confinement and four years of extended supervision. This no-merit appeal follows.

The no-merit report addresses whether Jackson's pleas were knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently entered and had a factual basis, and whether the circuit court properly exercised its discretion at sentencing. This court is satisfied that the no-merit report correctly analyzes the issues it raises as without merit, and we will not discuss them further.

² There are multiple clerical errors in the judgment of conviction. First, the judgment indicates that Jackson received a concurrent sentence of six years of imprisonment (two years of initial confinement and four years of extended supervision) on count three. This should instead indicate that he received a concurrent sentence of ten years of imprisonment (six years of initial confinement and four years of extended supervision). Also, the judgment indicates that Jackson received 63 days of sentence credit. However, the circuit court's oral pronouncement was for "64 days of credit." We remand the matter to the circuit court so that the judgment can be amended to correct these errors.

³ The additional charges were possession of cocaine as a second or subsequent offense, possession of THC as a second or subsequent offense, possession of drug paraphernalia, and three counts of misdemeanor bail jumping.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal.⁴ Accordingly, this court accepts the no-merit report, affirms the judgment of conviction, and discharges appellate counsel of the obligation to represent Jackson further in this appeal.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the circuit court is summarily affirmed pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21 and remanded with directions.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Pamela Moorshead is relieved of further representation of Charles E. Jackson in this appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals

⁴ Jackson's pleas forfeited the right to raise other nonjurisdictional defects and defenses, including claimed violations of constitutional rights. *See State v. Kelty*, 2006 WI 101, ¶18 & n.11, 294 Wis. 2d 62, 716 N.W.2d 886; *see also State v. Lasky*, 2002 WI App 126, ¶11, 254 Wis. 2d 789, 646 N.W.2d 53.