



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880

TTY: (800) 947-3529

Facsimile (608) 267-0640

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

December 23, 2025

To:

Hon. Laura F. Lau
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Monica Paz
Clerk of Circuit Court
Waukesha County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Brian Patrick Mullins
Electronic Notice

John Blimling
Electronic Notice

Korey T. Reaves #447390
Racine Correctional Institution
2019 Wisconsin St.
Sturtevant, WI 53177-1829

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2024AP378-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Korey T. Reaves (L.C. #2019CF1711)

Before Neubauer, P.J., Gundrum, and Grogan, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Attorney Brian Patrick Mullins, as appointed counsel for Korey T. Reaves, filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2023-24)¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel provided Reaves with a copy of the report, and both counsel and this court advised him of his right to file a response. Reaves has not responded. We conclude that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. See WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21. After our

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2023-24 version.

independent review of the record, we conclude that there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal.

Reaves pled no contest to one count of armed robbery. The court imposed a sentence of ten years of initial confinement and ten years of extended supervision.

The no-merit report addresses whether Reaves' plea was entered knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently. The plea colloquy sufficiently complied with the requirements of *State v. Brown*, 2006 WI 100, ¶35, 293 Wis. 2d 594, 716 N.W.2d 906, and WIS. STAT. § 971.08 relating to the nature of the charge, the rights Reaves was waiving, and other matters. The record shows no other ground to withdraw the plea. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

The no-merit report addresses Reaves' sentence. The sentence is within the legal maximum. As to discretionary issues, the standards for the circuit court and this court are well-established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Gallion*, 2004 WI 42, ¶¶17-51, 270 Wis. 2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197. In this case, the court considered appropriate factors, did not consider improper factors, and reached a reasonable result. There is no arguable merit to this issue.

The no-merit report also addresses whether the sentencing court erroneously exercised its discretion by ordering Reaves to pay restitution to the victim's insurance company for damage to a motor vehicle. The court properly exercised its discretion in deciding that the interest of justice supported that payment under WIS. STAT. § 973.20(5)(d). There is no arguable merit to this issue.

Reaves filed a postconviction motion related to sentencing, which was denied, but the no-merit report states that later developments have made this issue moot.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal.

Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment and order of the circuit court are summarily affirmed.
See WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Brian Patrick Mullins is relieved of further representation of Korey T. Reaves in this matter. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals