



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

February 25, 2026

To:

Hon. Ryan J. Hetzel
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Pamela Moorshead
Electronic Notice

Sherry Coykendall
Clerk of Circuit Court
Washington County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Jakobie Scott Timblin, #628571
Stanley Correctional Institution
100 Corrections Dr.
Stanley, WI 54768

John Blimling
Electronic Notice

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2025AP2106-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Jakobie Scott Timblin (L.C. #2022CF464)

Before Neubauer, P.J., Grogan, and Lazar, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Jakobie Scott Timblin appeals a judgment of conviction, entered following his no-contest plea, for false statement regarding military service with intent to commit a crime, as a repeater. His appellate counsel filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2023-24)¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Timblin was advised of his right to file a response and has not responded. After reviewing the record and counsel's report, we conclude that there

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2023-24 version.

are no issues with arguable merit for appeal. Therefore, we summarily affirm the judgment. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

According to the complaint, Timblin misrepresented he was a member of the United States Marine Corps in order to start a dating relationship with ALK. To facilitate his ruse, Timblin purchased a military uniform and medals online, showed them to ALK and ALK's mother, CJK, and told them multiple stories about his combat experiences and injuries sustained while on deployment. Timblin asked CJK to lend him \$6,500, which he claimed he would pay back but never did. CJS wrote a check to Timblin after he made reference to his honor as a member of the military. Timblin later admitted to police that he was never a member of the military. The State charged Timblin with false statement regarding military service with intent to commit a crime as well as theft – false representation greater than \$5,000 but less than \$10,000. Both charges carried the repeater enhancer.

Pursuant to a plea agreement, Timblin pled to false statement regarding military service with intent to commit a crime as a repeater, and the remaining charge was dismissed and read in. The State agreed to recommend two years' initial confinement and two years' extended supervision to be served consecutively to Timblin's other sentences. The circuit court sentenced Timblin to a consecutive sentence of three years' initial confinement and three years' extended supervision. This no-merit appeal follows.

The no-merit report addresses potential issues of whether Timblin's plea was knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently entered, whether there was a factual basis for the plea, and whether the circuit court properly exercised its discretion at sentencing.

We first agree with counsel's analysis and conclusion that any challenge to the validity of Timblin's plea would lack arguable merit. *See State v. Bangert*, 131 Wis.2d 246, 260, 389 N.W.2d 12 (1986). Our review of the record and of counsel's analysis in the no-merit report satisfies us that the circuit court complied with its obligations for taking Timblin's plea. *See* WIS. STAT. § 971.08; *Bangert*, 131 Wis. 2d at 261-62; *State v. Brown*, 2006 WI 100, ¶35, 293 Wis. 2d 594, 716 N.W.2d 906.

With regard to the circuit court's sentencing discretion, our review of the record confirms that the court appropriately considered the relevant sentencing objectives and factors. *See State v. Odom*, 2006 WI App 145, ¶7, 294 Wis. 2d 844, 720 N.W.2d 695; *State v. Ziegler*, 2006 WI App 49, ¶23, 289 Wis. 2d 594, 712 N.W.2d 76. The resulting sentence was within the maximum authorized by law. *See State v. Scaccio*, 2000 WI App 265, ¶18, 240 Wis. 2d 95, 622 N.W.2d 449. The sentence was not so excessive so as to shock the public's sentiment. *See Ocanas v. State*, 70 Wis. 2d 179, 185, 233 N.W.2d 457 (1975). Therefore, there would be no arguable merit to a challenge to the court's sentencing discretion.

Our independent review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal. This court accepts the no-merit report, affirms the judgment of conviction, and discharges appellate counsel of the obligation to represent Timblin further in this appeal.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the circuit court is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Pamela Moorshead is relieved of further representation of Jakobie Scott Timblin in this appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals