



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
Telephone (608) 266-1880
TTY: (800) 947-3529
Facsimile (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

June 3, 2026

To:

Hon. Daniel J. Borowski
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Philip J. Brehm
Electronic Notice

Chris Koenig
Clerk of Circuit Court
Sheboygan County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Stanley Ray Schumacher
1509 North 19th Street
Sheboygan, WI 53081

John Blimling
Electronic Notice

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2025AP2434-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Stanley Ray Schumacher (L.C. #2020CF145)

Before Gundrum, Grogan, and Lazar, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Stanley Ray Schumacher appeals from a judgment convicting him of operating with a prohibited alcohol concentration as a fifth offense. His appellate counsel filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2023-24)¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Schumacher received a copy of the report, was advised of his right to file a response, and has elected not to do so. Upon consideration of the report and an independent review of the Record,

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2023-24 version.

we conclude there are no issues with arguable merit for appeal. Therefore, we summarily affirm the judgment. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

Schumacher was convicted following a no contest plea to operating with a prohibited alcohol concentration as a fifth offense. The charge stemmed from a traffic stop² in which Schumacher admitted to recently consuming alcohol and exhibited signs of intoxication. A subsequent blood draw revealed a prohibited alcohol concentration greater than 0.02. Ultimately, the circuit court sentenced Schumacher to two and one-half years of initial confinement and two years of extended supervision, along with a \$600 fine. This no-merit appeal follows.

The no-merit report addresses the propriety of the plea and sentence, whether grounds exist to challenge either one, and whether Schumacher’s trial counsel was ineffective. This court is satisfied that the no-merit report correctly analyzes the issues it raises as without merit, and we will not discuss them further.

Our review of the Record discloses no other potential issues for appeal.³ Accordingly, this court accepts the no-merit report, affirms the judgment of conviction, and discharges appellate counsel of the obligation to represent Schumacher further in this appeal.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

² The traffic stop of Schumacher was due to his vehicle having a defective stop lamp.

³ Schumacher’s plea forfeited the right to raise other nonjurisdictional defects and defenses, including claimed violations of constitutional rights. *See State v. Kelty*, 2006 WI 101, ¶18 & n.11, 294 Wis. 2d 62, 716 N.W.2d 886; *see also State v. Lasky*, 2002 WI App 126, ¶11, 254 Wis. 2d 789, 646 N.W.2d 53.

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the circuit court is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Philip J. Brehm is relieved of further representation of Stanley Ray Schumacher in this appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals