



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880

TTY: (800) 947-3529

Facsimile (608) 267-0640

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT II

July 21, 2026

To:

Hon. Timothy D. Boyle
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

John Blimling
Electronic Notice

Amy Vanderhoef
Clerk of Circuit Court
Racine County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Jill Marie Skwor
Electronic Notice

Oscar Gomez #718822
Racine Correctional Inst.
2019 Wisconsin St.
Sturtevant, WI 53177-1829

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2025AP339-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Oscar Gomez (L.C. #2022CF784)

Before Neubauer, P.J., Gundrum, and Grogan, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Oscar Gomez appeals from a judgment convicting him of repeated sexual assault of a child. His appellate counsel filed a no-merit report pursuant to WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32 (2023-24)¹ and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Gomez filed a response. After reviewing the record, counsel's report, and Gomez's response, we conclude there are no issues with arguable merit for appeal. Therefore, we summarily affirm the judgment. See WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2023-24 version.

Gomez was convicted following a jury trial of repeated sexual assault of a child. He was accused of sexually assaulting a child that he used to babysit on at least three occasions when she was between the ages of 4 and 10 years old. For his actions, the circuit court sentenced Gomez to 25 years of initial confinement and 7 years of extended supervision. This no-merit appeal follows.

The no-merit report addresses whether the evidence was sufficient to support Gomez's conviction, whether any issues arose before or at trial that require reversal,² and whether the circuit court properly exercised its discretion at sentencing. This court is satisfied that the no-merit report correctly analyzes the issues it raises as without merit, and we will not discuss them further.

As noted, Gomez filed a response to counsel's no-merit report. In it, he insists that he is innocent and that his sentence is unduly harsh. He asks for sentence modification. We are not persuaded that sentence modification is appropriate or that Gomez's response presents an issue of arguable merit.

Our review of the record discloses no other potential issues for appeal. Accordingly, this court accepts the no-merit report, affirms the judgment of conviction, and discharges appellate counsel of the obligation to represent Gomez further in this appeal.

Upon the foregoing reasons,

² In discussing this, the no-merit report considered, among other things, pretrial motions, jury selection, jury instructions, opening statements/closing arguments, objections during trial, expert witness testimony, Gomez's waiver of his right to testify, and answers to jury questions during deliberations.

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the circuit court is summarily affirmed. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Jill Marie Skwor is relieved of further representation of Oscar Gomez in this appeal. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals