



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880

TTY: (800) 947-3529

Facsimile (608) 267-0640

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT IV

September 17, 2026

To:

Hon. Ryan D. Nilsestuen
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Jeff Okazaki
Clerk of Circuit Court
Dane County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Kelly Sylvia Nesvacil
Assistant Corporation Counsel
Ste. 419
210 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.
Madison, WI 53703

John P. Louderman III
Electronic Notice

Alassane D. Samb
123 Hart Road
Sun Prairie, WI 53590

Allison M. Samb
4605 Jay Drive
Madison, WI 53704

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2025AP2

In re the marriage of: Allison M. Samb v. Alassane D. Samb
(L.C. # 2007FA1589)

Before Blanchard, Kloppenburg, and Nashold, JJ.

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Alassane Samb, pro se, appeals a circuit court order granting the guardian ad litem's request for entry of a money judgment and requiring Samb to pay "an additional \$200 per month towards these fees along with any other outstanding orders related to child support." After

reviewing the briefs and record, we conclude at conference that this case is appropriate for summary disposition. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21 (2023-24).¹ We summarily affirm.

Samb’s brief contains numerous complaints about the circuit court proceedings in this matter. However, the brief relies largely on conclusory assertions, and fails to develop coherent arguments that apply relevant legal authority to the facts of record and that are supported by legal and factual citations. “A party must do more than simply toss a bunch of concepts into the air with the hope that either the trial court or the opposing party will arrange them into viable and fact-supported legal theories.” *State v. Jackson*, 229 Wis. 2d 328, 337, 600 N.W.2d 39 (Ct. App. 1999). Consequently, this court need not consider arguments that are unsupported by adequate factual and legal citations or are otherwise undeveloped. *See State v. McMorris*, 2007 WI App 231, ¶30, 306 Wis. 2d 79, 742 N.W.2d 322 (lack of record citations); *State v. Pettit*, 171 Wis. 2d 627, 646-47, 492 N.W.2d 633 (Ct. App. 1992) (undeveloped legal arguments). While we make some allowances for the failings of parties who, as here, are not represented by counsel, “[w]e cannot serve as both advocate and judge,” *Pettit*, 171 Wis. 2d at 647, and we will not scour the record to develop viable, fact-supported legal theories on the appellant’s behalf, *Jackson*, 229 Wis. 2d at 337. Here, Samb has failed to develop his arguments legally or to support them factually. Therefore, we affirm the circuit court on that basis.

IT IS ORDERED that the order is summarily affirmed under WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21(1).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals

¹ All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2023-24 version.