



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880

TTY: (800) 947-3529

Facsimile (608) 267-0640

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

DISTRICT I

October 13, 2026

To:

Hon. J. D. Watts
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Paul C. Dedinsky
Electronic Notice

Anna Hodges
Clerk of Circuit Court
Milwaukee County Safety Building
Electronic Notice

Olivia Garman
Electronic Notice

Alexandra M. Parker
3203 45th Street
Kenosha, WI 53144

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

2025AP594-CRNM State of Wisconsin v. Alexandra M. Parker (L.C. # 2022CM3290)

Before Donald, C.J.¹

Summary disposition orders may not be cited in any court of this state as precedent or authority, except for the limited purposes specified in WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(3).

Alexandra M. Parker appeals from a judgment, entered on her guilty plea, convicting her on one count of misdemeanor battery as an act of domestic abuse. Appellate counsel, Olivia Garman, has filed a no-merit report, pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32. Parker was advised of her right to file a response, but she has not responded. Upon this court's independent review of the record, as mandated by *Anders*, and

¹ This appeal is decided by one judge pursuant to WIS. STAT. § 752.31(2)(f) (2023-24). All references to the Wisconsin Statutes are to the 2023-24 version.

counsel's report, we conclude that there are no issues of arguable merit that could be pursued on appeal. We therefore summarily affirm the judgment. *See* WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

Milwaukee police responded to a call at a group home. S.D., a caretaker at the home, reported that Parker, a resident, had struck another resident, C.K., on the back four or five times, causing C.K. pain. Parker went to her room at S.D.'s instruction but returned shortly and hit C.K. again. C.K. gave a statement to police that corroborated S.D.'s report. As police were escorting Parker out of the home, she told C.K., "Imma beat your ass when I get out."

Parker was charged with misdemeanor battery and disorderly conduct, both as an act of domestic abuse.² Parker resolved her case with a guilty plea to the battery as charged. The disorderly conduct would be dismissed and read in. An "uncharged referral" for violation of a no-contact order would also be read in. The circuit court accepted the plea and sentenced Parker to 180 days in the House of Correction, which it stayed for twelve months of probation.

The first issue discussed in the no-merit report is whether Parker's plea was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary. Our review of the record—including the plea questionnaire/waiver of rights form and addendum and accompanying jury instructions for battery—confirms that the circuit court complied with its obligations for taking guilty pleas, pursuant to WIS. STAT. § 971.08, *State v. Bangert*, 131 Wis. 2d 246, 261-62, 389 N.W.2d 12 (1986), and *State v. Brown*, 2006 WI 100, ¶35, 293 Wis. 2d 594, 716 N.W.2d 906. We agree with counsel's analysis and conclusion that any challenge to the validity of Parker's plea would lack arguable merit.

² "Domestic abuse" includes situations involving "an act by [an] adult person ... against an adult with whom the adult person resides or formerly resided[.]" *See* WIS. STAT. § 973.055(1)(a)2.

The no-merit report next addresses whether there is any arguably meritorious basis for challenging the circuit court's sentencing discretion. See *State v. Gallion*, 2004 WI 42, ¶17, 270 Wis. 2d 535, 678 N.W.2d 197. Our review of the record confirms that the court appropriately considered relevant sentencing objectives and factors. See *id.*, ¶41; see also *State v. Odom*, 2006 WI App 145, ¶7, 294 Wis. 2d 844, 720 N.W.2d 695; *State v. Ziegler*, 2006 WI App 49, ¶23, 289 Wis. 2d 594, 712 N.W.2d 76. The six-month sentence imposed and stayed does not exceed the nine-month range authorized by WIS. STAT. § 939.50(3)(a), see *State v. Scaccio*, 2000 WI App 265, ¶18, 240 Wis. 2d 95, 622 N.W.2d 449, and is not so excessive so as to shock the public's sentiment, see *Ocanas v. State*, 70 Wis. 2d 179, 185, 233 N.W.2d 457 (1975). The one-year probation term is also well within the maximum two-year term permitted by law. See WIS. STAT. § 973.09(2)(a)1.b. There is no arguable merit to challenging the court's sentencing discretion.

Our independent review of the record reveals no other potential issues of arguable merit.

Upon the foregoing, therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment is summarily affirmed. See WIS. STAT. RULE 809.21.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Olivia Garman is relieved of further representation of Parker in this matter. See WIS. STAT. RULE 809.32(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this summary disposition order will not be published.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Court of Appeals