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Introduction

The Wisconsin Circuit Court Access (WCCA) program was created in response to an increasing number of requests for court records from district attorneys, sheriffs’ departments, and other court business partners. Title companies, abstractors, members of the media and the general public have also benefited from WCCA. Many have come to rely on WCCA as their primary means of accessing circuit court data.

Since WCCA was first implemented in April 1999, it has steadily grown into a site receiving considerable traffic. Currently the site averages about a million data requests a day. As a result, the site also continues to generate privacy concerns.

The original WCCA Oversight Committee was convened in 2000. This committee was instrumental in the development of a comprehensive policy that addresses electronic access to circuit court records. See Appendix 1 for the current policy. The committee was reconvened to review and possibly modify this policy based on feedback received in the intervening years about the information available on the WCCA Web site. Recommendations from the committee will be presented to the Director of State Courts for consideration.

WCCA is available as a byproduct of recordkeeping in the circuit courts. Maintaining public court records on the internet provides advantages to the public, to justice system agencies and to the courts. However, it should be recognized that while WCCA assists with access and accountability, it is one of many responsibilities of trial courts. Further, committee recommendations may end up in competition for the limited resources available to the court system. Most of the recommendations do not require a substantial investment of resources. Those recommendations that may require substantial resources or dramatically affect other court business functions should be reviewed and prioritized with this in mind.

Committee Structure

Using the same approach as the original WCCA committee, this committee was split into two working subgroups, Content and Access, and Retention and Accuracy. As in the original committee, Mr. Moore and Ms. Murphy were again asked to facilitate the subcommittees, the Content and Access, and Retention and Accuracy, respectively.

The subcommittees reviewed the issues and offered their recommendations to the full WCCA Oversight Committee for consideration on March 3, 2006. The following recommendations are a result of that meeting.
Recommendations of the
Wisconsin Circuit Court Access Oversight Committee

Following are the recommendations of the WCCA Oversight Committee, along with a brief summary of key policy considerations. Some recommendations are the result of motions and votes and others are the result of consensus agreement.

**Recommendation 1:** Electronic court records should be accessible to the public on the Internet through WCCA

Citing Wisconsin’s open records law, the compelling public interest in having access to this information, and the fact that much of this information would be available online anyway through other sources, the committee supports the continuation of WCCA.

**Recommendation 2:** The following premises of the Conference of Chief Justices (CCJ) and Conference of State Court Administrators (COSCA) Guidelines for Public Access to Court Records should be adopted as general principles to guide policy development

- Retain the traditional policy that court records are presumptively open to public access.
- As a general rule access should not change depending upon whether the court record is in paper or electronic form. Whether there should be access should be the same regardless of the form of the record, although the manner of access may vary. The CCJ/COSCA Guidelines apply to all court records.
- The nature of certain information in some court records, however, is such that remote public access to the information in electronic form may be inappropriate, even though public access at the courthouse is maintained.
- The nature of the information in some records is such that all public access to the information should be precluded, unless authorized by a judge.
- Access policies should be clear, consistently applied, and not subject to interpretation by individual court or clerk personnel.

The guidelines were developed by the National Center for State Courts and the Justice Management Institute, on behalf of CCJ and COSCA, to assist states in developing record access policies. The committee discussed the five premises on which the guidelines are based and agreed with the premises. The committee did interpret Premise d. to mean that the precluded records referred to are legally confidential records. The committee also understands that Premise c. envisions that some electronic records need not be made available on the Internet, notwithstanding Premise b.

**Recommendation 3:** Improvements should be made to WCCA to increase clarity and reduce the potential for misuse

The committee agreed that additional efforts should be made to present information as clearly as possible. See Recommendation 4.

**Recommendation 4:** Executive Case Summaries should be the first screen displayed in all criminal cases. Final criminal dispositions should be prominently displayed in the summary, with more clarification on the page to better reflect the outcome; also, charge history should remain but be located further into the record.
The Content/Access Subcommittee devoted a considerable amount of time discussing and working with CCAP staff on the layout and content of the Executive Case Summaries. The subcommittee agreed that the summaries, which would display prior to accessing the more-detailed case record, would vary depending on the status and/or disposition of the criminal case. It is expected that these summaries would increase clarity and reduce the potential for misuse, as suggested in Recommendation 3. For example, the executive case summaries for pending and dismissed criminal cases would note the presumption of innocence, cases with convictions would list only those charges on which a person was convicted, and read-in charges would include an appropriate explanation. All summaries would include a notice to employers concerning employment discrimination. Examples of the executive case summaries are shown in Appendix 2.

**Recommendation 5:** A statewide form and procedures should be developed to petition the court to remove home addresses for safety reasons

The committee agreed to the following principles:

- Available only in non-felony cases.
- Family and household members can be included in petitions.
- A demonstrated harm or threat to the person or person’s family or household members is required criteria.
- A judge will make the determination. If the petition is successful, a message will be displayed indicating that the address has been removed by order of a judge. The responsible judge’s name will be included in the message.
- Both the street and city will be removed.
- The petition will apply to an individual case only. Separate petitions are necessary for each case. The petition will be filed in the originating county.
- Information about the process should be put in the FAQ section.

Judge Gary Carlson prepared a draft form that the committee discussed, revised and approved. See Appendix 3 for draft form. The committee recommends that his draft form be forwarded to the Wisconsin Court Records Management Committee for consideration and adoption, along with appropriate procedures.

**Recommendation 6:** A statewide form and procedures should be developed to petition the court to remove personal information from WCCA specifically in criminal, traffic or ordinance violation cases where the prosecutor certifies or the judge makes a finding of fact that the complaint or citation was issued in error due to mistaken identity or identity theft. A request must be made to the prosecutor prior to submitting the petition to the judge

The committee acknowledged that situations exist in which a defendant is actually a victim of mistaken identity or identity theft. The committee envisions a process, similar to the one noted above in Recommendation 5, in which the defendant, prosecutor or law enforcement officer could petition the court to remove identifying information from WCCA in cases where the complaint or citation was issued in error due to mistaken identity or identity theft. Although the petition would normally require approval from the prosecutor, instructions should be included on the form that if the prosecutor will not support the petition, it can be submitted to the judge for consideration. Judge Gary Carlson agreed to draft a form that could be forwarded to the Wisconsin Court Records Management Committee for consideration and adoption. See Appendix 4 for draft form.

**Recommendation 7:** The current approach to handling Date of Birth should be maintained
The full date of birth (DOB) is currently included for criminal cases, but only the month and year are displayed in other case types. Although DOB is routinely protected information, it is a good identifier because it does not change. Also, in order to minimize identity theft risks, the committee supports the current policy of excluding “day” from the DOB field in non-criminal cases.

**Recommendation 8:** GAL field should be removed from criminal cases

The Guardian ad Litem (GAL) field is not applicable in criminal cases.

**Recommendation 9:** Defer to the CCAP Steering Committee regarding the Race field

The court receives race information from the district attorney, who usually receives it from law enforcement. Noting that the CCAP Steering Committee has discussed this issue at length and decided to leave the race field on WCCA, with the disclaimer that race determination can be subjective, the committee agreed to defer to the decision made by the CCAP Steering Committee.

**Recommendation 10:** Aliases should be changed to AKA (also known as) and some type of clarification should be made if it is a result of a typographical correction

An alias can cause some confusion with WCCA users because the same record displays twice. An alias is created anytime a name is changed for any reason, including when a typographical error is being corrected. Recommendation 6 deals specifically with situations involving mistaken identity or identity theft. If the alias is because of mistaken identity, identity theft, or a typographical error, a code should be created so that field should not be searchable on WCCA.

**Recommendation 11:** Future scheduled activity should remain on WCCA

The committee agreed that this information is useful and should be retained.

**Recommendation 12:** No changes should be made concerning the display of family cases

The committee discussed whether access to family cases should be limited, in an effort to strike a reasonable balance between convenience and usefulness on the one hand and potential for harm on the other. After consideration, the committee decided that family case types should display on WCCA as they currently are.

**Recommendation 13:** The Director of State Courts should request the Legislative Council to study the issues of expunction and “second chance” legislation, and note that committee members would offer their services

The committee recognizes that there are problems with the current expunction law and that people’s lives are being affected. It was noted that the legislature, by enacting the misdemeanor expunction law, has recognized that some people deserve a second chance. It was also noted that the issues are complex and need more study.
Recommendation 14: Concerning expungement and sealing of records, the current WCCA policy is acceptable, although if the expunction laws are changed or new case law is promulgated, this issue should be revisited at that time. Also, the Records Management Committee should be asked to create a mandatory form to formalize the procedures and criteria set in case law for sealing documents or cases.

Expunction is regulated by Wisconsin statutes and is available as an option in limited situations. If a record is expunged, it is deleted from WCCA except for very basic skeletal information. The power of a judge to seal a single case or document is within the circuit court’s inherent powers, but the judge needs to determine that the reason for closure outweighs the right for the public to know. Given the strong state policy favoring openness, documents or cases are only rarely sealed. Following a detailed explanation of how CCAP software handles the sealing of records, the committee agreed that no changes are necessary. For purposes of public accountability, WCCA will display the name of the judge who ordered the case or document to be sealed. The committee agreed that it would be helpful to have a standardized, statewide procedure for petitioning to have a record sealed.

Recommendation 15: Electronic documents should not be posted on WCCA at this time

Some court documents are stored electronically, such as criminal complaints, e-filing documents, and scanned documents. Because of concerns with personal information on some documents, such as social security number and driver’s license number; the practical difficulties with redacting information from documents; and the sensitive nature of the content of certain documents, such as criminal complaints, the committee concluded that it would not be advisable to post electronic documents on WCCA at this time.

Recommendation 16: Audio files should not be made available on WCCA at this time

Two judges are currently participating in a pilot project to record court proceedings using digital audio recording technology. CDs can be purchased for $10 or written transcripts can be ordered. It was noted that circuit courts tend to deal more personally with individuals whereas the Supreme Court, which does broadcast its hearings on the court’s website, reviews matters that are more an issue of law. It was also noted that once a transmission would be broadcast, it is impossible to redact information.

Recommendation 17: Continue the current practice of not posting physical description information on WCCA

Identifying physical information such as height, weight, scars and hair color is often captured in criminal cases. It was noted that law enforcement does not need access to such information on WCCA because it is available to law enforcement agencies in the National Crime Information Center database and other law enforcement systems. While it was noted that more identifying information would lessen the risk of misidentification, it was also noted that some of these characteristics can change rapidly and may not be helpful.

Recommendation 18: A general glossary of court terms and links to federal court websites should be posted on WCCA

It was agreed that a general glossary of court terms, as well as links to federal court websites, could be useful to WCCA users. It was also suggested that it would be helpful to have a standard glossary of acronyms if there was consistent use of such acronyms throughout the state.
**Recommendation 19:** For bulk data subscribers, language should be added to the subscriber agreement outlining the Notice to Employer concerning employment discrimination as well as including the language used in the Executive Case Summaries for pending and dismissed criminal cases where there is no conviction.

Bulk data subscriptions allow for computer-to-computer data extraction, for which an annual fee of $5,000 is charged. This process has resulted in fewer disruptions to WCCA for general public use compared to the earlier, uncontrolled situation in which data miners would “scrape” data off of the WCCA system. It was noted that the open records law was enacted many years ago when the concept of having this information on computers was not contemplated. It was also noted that if the WCCA website would be dismantled, the records within the CCAP system would still have to be released to requestors based on the Wisconsin open records law. The current subscriber agreement indicates that the subscriber is responsible for the currency and accuracy of the data, as well as notifying customers of the limitations of the data.

**Recommendation 20:** Change the disclaimer regarding accuracy on the WCCA entry page.

To improve clarity for the average user the committee recommends changing this language to:

```
ACCURACY: If you believe any of the information displayed here is inaccurate and wish to request an error correction please click here.
```

**Recommendation 21:** Move that language in Recommendation 20 from in the disclaimer window to under it

The committee believes that users rarely read the lower paragraphs in the disclaimer window.

**Recommendation 22:** Provide a link from the WCCA entry page to an FAQ (frequently asked questions) explaining the error correction procedure

A link at the end of the ACCURACY information in Recommendation 20 should link to the error correction procedure shown in Appendix 5.

**Recommendation 23:** Provide a link from the FAQ explaining the error correction procedure to a form.

WCCA should provide a form with instructions for error corrections. Appendix 6.

**Recommendation 24:** Add FAQ regarding last known address

The committee noted that many of the e-mails to CCAP regarding WCCA involved addresses displayed there. Further, there are varying practices among clerks of court regarding requests for address changes by other than the addressee. An FAQ entry should be added explaining that:

- court records are required to display the last known address
- addresses are rarely updated on closed cases unless there is continuing court activity
- the addressee is generally the one who should provide change of address information, and
- provide a link to error correction procedure and form
**Recommendation 25:** Clerks of circuit court should be consulted and involved in finalizing and implementing the error correction procedure

Clerks of court are the custodians of circuit court records. It is essential to work closely with the clerks of circuit court to implement committee recommendations regarding error corrections.

**Recommendation 26:** Change the language in the introductory paragraph on the WCCA entry page

New language is needed to more clearly describe to the average user what WCCA is. It is recommended the language be changed to:

*The Wisconsin Circuit Court Access (WCCA) Web site is the statewide source for public circuit court case information. It is an archived summary of cases filed at the county level. Under Wisconsin Statutes, certain circuit court case records are confidential and available only to the judiciary and certain legally authorized officers of the court. Court records summaries viewed here are public records under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.*

**Recommendation 27:** Retention of case records on WCCA should not be longer than the existence of the underlying physical record in the Circuit Court

Records should not be displayed on WCCA unless the underlying physical record is available in the Circuit Court so information can be verified or corrected.

**Recommendation 28:** The maximum amount of time that case records should remain on WCCA is the minimum amount of time set in Supreme Court Rule 72. The committee further recommends that the Supreme Court should comprehensively review SCR 72

The committee considered several different recommendations for changes to the WCCA case retention schedule. This recommendation was ultimately adopted to provide a retention policy for WCCA case records that is clear, consistent, predictable and easily understood by users of WCCA, and to incorporate Recommendation 27.

NOTE: The committee spent considerable time discussing the WCCA case retention schedule, the minimum retention periods set in SCR 72, and changes to either or both. The committee ultimately limited its specific recommendations to the WCCA retention policy and made the general recommendation for a comprehensive review of SCR 72.

**Recommendation 29:** Ask the Director of State Courts Records Management Committee to consider the following changes to SCR 72:

- Retain Ch. 980 cases (Sexually Violent Persons Commitment) for 75 years. It was the consensus of the committee that retention should be extended from 50 to 75 years to match the retention of Class A Felonies.
- Retain Criminal OWI case records longer than 20 years. The District Attorney on the committee strongly believed that a longer retention of these records is necessary to defend collateral attacks raised in the context of subsequent OWI offenses.

**Recommendation 30:** Three exceptions to the WCCA Retention Schedule are recommended

Records should be displayed on WCCA past any maximum retention time if there is money due and owing the court. The same exception should be made in the rare event that a warrant is outstanding or a case is on appeal.

**Recommendation 31:** Remove the domestic violence checkbox from the WCCA case record and request CCAP to further investigate the reason for its existence

At present, counties that choose to use this feature show the checkbox when the district attorney makes this designation at case filing. Even if the defendant is acquitted, or the offense is found not to include domestic abuse, the check box continues to show on the case record.
Appendix 1

Director of State Courts
Policy on Disclosure of Public Information Over the Internet

Wisconsin Circuit Court Access

1. Definitions:
   a. The definitions contained in the Open Records Law, Wis. Stats. §§ 19.21-.39, shall apply to this policy.
   b. Consolidated Court Automation Programs (CCAP). The case management system created by the Wisconsin Director of State Courts consisting of a database of case information from Wisconsin circuit courts. References in this policy to actions to be taken by CCAP refer to the CCAP Steering Committee or the Director of State Courts.
   c. Circuit court. All offices and branches of a circuit court, including but not limited to judges, the clerk of circuit court, the clerk's deputy, or deputies; probate court; juvenile court; or other specialized court or court office that uses CCAP as a case management system.
   d. Open records. Those records that are by law accessible to an individual making a records request in the circuit court.
   e. Closed records. Those records that are not by law accessible to an individual making a records request in the circuit court.
   f. Wisconsin Circuit Court Access (WCCA). A public-access Internet website containing open record information compiled by CCAP. References in this policy to actions to be taken by WCCA refer to the WCCA Oversight Committee.

2. Information on WCCA available to the general public:
   a. WCCA shall contain information from only those portions of the case files generated by the Consolidated Court Automation Programs (CCAP) that are open records and otherwise accessible by law to an individual.
   b. WCCA shall not contain information from closed records that would not otherwise be accessible by law to an individual because of specific statutory exceptions, such as juvenile court records, guardianship proceedings, and other such case types or records.
   c. CCAP shall not be required to make available on WCCA all information in a case file that may be public record, nor is CCAP required to generate new records or create new programs for extracting or compiling information contained on WCCA.
   d. The Open Records Law does not allow record custodians to demand either the identity of a requester or the use to which a requester intends to put the information gathered [Wis. Stats. § 19.35(1)(i)]. Accordingly, WCCA shall not require identification or an intended purpose before allowing public access to the WCCA website.
   e. WCCA shall not charge for accessing information through the website. However, WCCA may impose a service charge or assess user fees for requests for bulk distribution or for data in a specialized format.
   f. WCCA may limit the number of records searched on any single request.
   g. WCCA contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the CCAP database. Because information in the CCAP database changes constantly, WCCA is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, modify, correct or delete data. WCCA is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates, modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether information obtained previously from WCCA is still accurate, current and complete.
   h. WCCA shall not contain:
      a. the record of any criminal conviction expunged by the circuit court
         (Note: When a court orders expunction of a record, the underlying CCAP database is modified to remove the record. When database updates are transferred to WCCA, the previous record will no longer appear. WCCA makes no reference to records that have been expunged (or otherwise altered). Requests for such records report only that no record has been found, in the same manner that WCCA would otherwise report "null" searches. WCCA is not responsible for the fact that requests made before the expunction will show the conviction, while requests made after the expunction will not show the conviction.)
      b. the "day" from the date of birth field for non-criminal cases
      c. the driver's license number in traffic cases
      d. "additional text" fields for data entered before July 1, 2001, in all cases.
   i. WCCA contains only information from the CCAP database from those counties using all or part of the CCAP system. Because extraneous actions are not normally reflected in the CCAP database or the circuit court files, WCCA does not include information on them. Examples of extraneous actions are gubernatorial pardons, appellate decisions, and administrative agency determinations.

3. Correcting information on WCCA:
4. Privacy for victims, witnesses and jurors:
   a. The data fields that contain the names of victims, witnesses and jurors are not available on WCCA.
   b. Various documents completed by court personnel using CCAP occasionally require the insertion of names of victims, witnesses or jurors. Examples include:
      1. court minutes that provide the names of witnesses called to testify or jurors who have been considered for jury duty;
      2. judgments of conviction that may provide "no-contact" provisions concerning victims;
      3. restitution orders that may contain the name of a victim;
      4. restraining orders/injunctions that may provide victim identities.
   c. Because the "additional text" fields contain information critical to the understanding of many of the court record entries, denying access to those fields because of the occasional inclusion of the name of a victim, witness or juror would be contrary to the public interest in providing meaningful access to open court records.

5. Public access to electronically filed documents, scanned documents or imaged documents contained in circuit court files:
   a. WCCA shall evaluate whether to provide access to documents that have been filed electronically, scanned or otherwise imaged by the circuit court so long as those documents would otherwise be fully accessible under this policy.
   b. The electronic filing, scanning or imaging of some documents in a court file does not require that all other documents in that file be scanned or imaged.
   c. The electronic filing, scanning or imaging of some documents in files in a case type does not require that all documents in all other files in the same case type must be scanned or imaged.

6. Non-public access to closed records available on CCAP:
   a. CCAP may maintain a non-public website that contains information that would otherwise be a closed record.
   b. CCAP may authorize an appropriate law enforcement agency, prosecutor's office or other individual or agency electronic access to those closed records to which they would otherwise be entitled to access.
   c. CCAP may require an appropriate security screening mechanism that limits the accessibility to closed records to those who are lawfully entitled to such access.
   d. Authorization to access closed records for legitimate purposes is not authorization for redisclosure beyond that which is lawfully allowed. The individual or agency to which disclosure has been allowed is solely responsible to ensure that no further unauthorized redisclosure of closed records occurs.

7. Retention of records on WCCA:
   a. WCCA shall retain records for a minimum of 10 years, or the minimum Supreme Court Rule Chapter 72 date, whichever is longer.
State of Wisconsin vs. John Doe

Sheboygan County Case Number 2003CF000000

All the charges against John Doe in this case have been dismissed. These charges were not proven and have no legal effect. John Doe is presumed innocent.

Notice to employers: It may be a violation of state law to discriminate against a job applicant because of an arrest or conviction record. Generally speaking, an employer may refuse to hire an applicant on the basis of a conviction only if the circumstances of the conviction substantially relate to the particular job. For more information, see Wis. Stats. 111.335 and the Department of Workforce Development's Arrest and Conviction Records under the Law publication.
State of Wisconsin vs. Jane Doe

Kenosha County Case Number 2003CF000000

The defendant Jane Doe was found guilty of the following charge(s) in this case. One or more other charges were dismissed. The dismissed charges were not proven and have no legal effect. Jane Doe is presumed innocent of the dismissed charge(s).

- Jane Doe was found guilty of Burglary-Building or Dwelling, a class F felony, Wisconsin Statute 943.10(1)(a).

**Notice to employers:** It may be a violation of state law to discriminate against a job applicant because of an arrest or conviction record. Generally speaking, an employer may refuse to hire an applicant on the basis of a conviction only if the circumstances of the conviction substantially relate to the particular job. For more information, see Wis. Stats. 111.335 and the Department of Workforce Development's Arrest and Conviction Records under the Law publication.
Wisconsin Circuit Court Access (WCCA)

State of Wisconsin vs. Jane Doe

Kenosha County Case Number 2003CF000000

Filing Date: 05-27-2003
Case Type: Criminal
Case Status: Closed

Defendant Date of Birth: 09-08-1985
Address: 1 Main Street, Kenosha, WI 53143
Branch Id: 3

Charge(s):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>943.10(1)(a)</td>
<td>Burglary-Building or Dwelling</td>
<td>Felony F</td>
<td>Guilty /No Contest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>961.41(3g)(e)</td>
<td>Possession of THC</td>
<td>Misd. U</td>
<td>Dismissed /Pr Motion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Defendant Owes the Court: $ 0.00

Responsible Official: Schroeder, Bruce E.
Prosecuting Agency: District Attorney
Prosecuting Attorney: Dooley, Mark Patrick
Defense Attorney: Berman, David R

Defendant:

Defendant Name: Doe, Jane
Date of Birth: 09-08-1985
Sex: Female
Race: 1 Caucasian
Address Updated On: 05-27-2003

JUSTIS ID: Finger Print ID

Alias(s):

Alias Name: Doe, June
Also Known As: Jane

Defendant Attorney(s):

Attorney Name: Karls, Valerie Stuart
Entered: 06-02-2003
Withdrawn: 08-23-2004

Attorney Name: Berman, David R
Entered: 09-20-2004
Withdrawn: 08-23-2004
The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute Cite</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Offense Date</th>
<th>Plea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>943.10(1)(a)</td>
<td>Burglary-Building or Dwelling</td>
<td>Felony F</td>
<td>05-26-2003</td>
<td>Guilty on 08-19-2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 09-26-2003 there was a finding of:

**Action** | **Court Official**
---|---
Guilty / No Contest | Schroeder, Bruce E.

On 09-26-2003 the following was ordered:

**Sentence** | **Time** | **Begin Date** | **Notes**
---|---|---|---
Probation, Sent Withheld | 4 Years | | Credit for 50 days if Revoked.

**Condition** | **Time** | **Notes**
---|---|---
Obey all of the rules and regulations of your supervising probation agent. Pay Supervision fees. Do Not possess or consume alcoholic beverages and do not have alcoholic beverages within the residence or containers. Do Not be in taverns or on any premises licensed for the sale of intoxicating beverages, except restaurants or grocery stores. Do Not possess or consume controlled substances, except by prescription. Pay all court costs including all applicable surcharges. Submit DNA sample and pay surcharge. Pay costs of legal representation for the defense of the case, to be determined within 60 days. PD . May Not have contact with felons or victims unless otherwise permitted by the court.

Probation revoked on 09-30-2004

On 09-26-2003 there was a finding of:

**Action** | **Court Official**
---|---
Guilty / No Contest | Schroeder, Bruce E.

On 09-30-2004 the following was ordered:

**Sentence** | **Time** | **Begin Date** | **Notes**
---|---|---|---
State Prison | 18 Months | PROBATION REVOKE. Commence forthwith. Extended supervision to follow. Length of confinement and length of extended supervision for a total length of 5 years 6 months bifurcated sentence. Defendant IS NOT eligible for the Challenge Incarceration Program. Defendant IS NOT eligible for the Earned Release Program. |

**Extended Supervision** | **4 Years** |
**Condition** | **Time** | **Notes**
---|---|---
Obey all of the rules and regulations of your supervising probation agent. Pay Supervision fees. Do Not possess or consume alcoholic beverages and do not have alcoholic beverages within the residence or containers. Do Not be in
taverns or on any premises licensed for the sale of intoxicating beverages, except restaurants or grocery stores. Do Not possess or consume controlled substances, except by prescription. Pay all court costs including all applicable surcharges. Submit DNA sample and pay surcharge. Pay costs of legal representation for the defense of the case, to be determined within 60 days. PD. May Not have contact with felons or victims unless otherwise permitted by the court.

The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute Cite</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Offense Date</th>
<th>Plea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>961.41(3g)(e)</td>
<td>Possession of THC</td>
<td>Misd. U</td>
<td>05-26-2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 08-19-2003 there was a finding of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Court Official</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dismissed /Pr Motion</td>
<td>Schroeder, Bruce E.</td>
<td>Dismissed per State's Motion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State of Wisconsin vs. Jane Doe

Ozaukee County Case Number 2004CF000000

The defendant Jane Doe was found guilty of the following charge(s) in this case.

- Possession of Narcotic Drugs, a class I felony, Wisconsin Statutes 961.41(3g)(am)

Charge(s) in this case were read in to this or other case(s). A 'read in' charge is a charge that is dismissed as part of a plea agreement, however:

- the defendant agrees to be held responsible for and have the court consider when sentencing for another crime, under Wis. Stats. 973.20(1g)(b); and
- the defendant can not be prosecuted for these specific charges in the future.

Notice to employers: It may be a violation of state law to discriminate against a job applicant because of an arrest or conviction record. Generally speaking, an employer may refuse to hire an applicant on the basis of a conviction only if the circumstances of the conviction substantially relate to the particular job. For more information, see Wis. Stats. 111.335 and the Department of Workforce Development's Arrest and Conviction Records under the Law publication.
**Wisconsin Circuit Court Access (WCCA)**

- **Case Details for 2004CF000283 in Ozaukee County**
- **Filing Date**: 11-08-2004
- **Case Type**: Criminal
- **Case Status**: Closed
- **Defendant Date of Birth**: 11-01-1983
- **Address**: 1 Main Street, Milwaukee, WI 53224
- **Branch Id**: DA Case Number 1

### Charge(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>961.41(3g)(am)</td>
<td>Possession of Narcotic Drugs</td>
<td>Felony I</td>
<td>Guilty / No Contest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>961.41(3g)(e)</td>
<td>Possession of THC</td>
<td>Misd. U</td>
<td>Dismissed-Read In-Pr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>961.573(1)</td>
<td>Possess Drug Paraphernalia</td>
<td>Misd. U</td>
<td>Dismissed-Read In-Pr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Defendant Owes the Court**: $ 27.00

- **Responsible Official**: Malloy, Paul V.
- **Prosecuting Agency**: District Attorney
- **Prosecuting Attorney**: Williams, Sandy Ann
- **Defense Attorney**: Padway, Willliam A

### Defendant

- **Defendant Name**: Doe, Jane
- **Date of Birth**: 11-01-1983
- **Sex**: Female
- **Race**: Caucasian
- **Address**: 1 Main Street, Milwaukee, WI 53224
- **Address Updated On**: 11-08-2004
- **JUSTIS ID**: Finger Print ID
- **Defendant Attorney(s)**: Padway, Willliam A

### Charge(s)/Sentence(s)

The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute Cite</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Offense Date</th>
<th>Plea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>961.41(3g)(am)</td>
<td>Possession of Narcotic Drugs</td>
<td>Felony I</td>
<td>11-06-2004</td>
<td>Guilty on 02-07-2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 02-07-2005 there was a finding of:
On 04-04-2005 the following was ordered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Begin Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probation, Sent Withheld</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Condition:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jail Time</td>
<td>20 with huber/school/counseling release</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Costs:

- Work Release / Huber
- Law
- Prohibitions: no controlled substances
- Other: counseling as appropriate, random testing, pay supervision fees and costs during probation

License suspended 6 Months 04-04-2005

The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

**Count No.** 2  
**Statute Cite** 961.41(3g)(e)  
**Description** Possession of THC  
**Severity** Misd. U  
**Offense Date** 11-06-2004

On 02-07-2005 there was a finding of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Court Official</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dismissed-Read In-Pr</td>
<td>Malloy, Paul V.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

**Count No.** 3  
**Statute Cite** 961.573(1)  
**Description** Possess Drug Paraphernalia  
**Severity** Misd. U  
**Offense Date** 11-06-2004

On 02-07-2005 there was a finding of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Court Official</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dismissed-Read In-Pr</td>
<td>Malloy, Paul V.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Receivables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Court Assessments</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
<th>Paid to the Court</th>
<th>Total Receivables Probation/Other Agency Amount</th>
<th>Balance Due to Court</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 97.00</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
<td>$ 70.00</td>
<td>$ 27.00</td>
<td>04-04-2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The designation listed in the Race field is subjective. It is provided to the court by the agency that filed the case.
2. Non-Court activities do not require personal court appearances. For questions regarding which court type activities require court appearances, please contact the Clerk of Circuit Court in the county where the case originated.
3. Includes collection agency fees; bankruptcy discharge of debt; Department of Revenue collection fees; and forgiven debts due to indigence, death, time served, or community service.
4. Some amounts assessed by the courts are collected by the Department of Corrections or other agencies. This column is rarely updated by the courts and may be less than the actual amount owed.
5. For cases with multiple assessments, the due date represents the assessment with the latest date.
Wisconsin Circuit Court Access (WCCA)

State of Wisconsin vs. John Doe

Kenosha County Case Number 2003CF000000

Charge(s) in this case were read in to this or other case(s). A 'read in' charge is a charge that is dismissed as part of a plea agreement, however:

- the defendant agrees to be held responsible for and have the court consider when sentencing for another crime, under Wis. Stats. 973.20(1g)(b); and

- the defendant can not be prosecuted for these specific charges in the future.

Notice to employers: It may be a violation of state law to discriminate against a job applicant because of an arrest or conviction record. Generally speaking, an employer may refuse to hire an applicant on the basis of a conviction only if the circumstances of the conviction substantially relate to the particular job. For more information, see Wis. Stats. 111.335 and the Department of Workforce Development's Arrest and Conviction Records under the Law publication.
State of Wisconsin vs. John Doe

Kenosha County Case Number 2003CF000000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filing Date</th>
<th>Case Type</th>
<th>Case Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05-16-2003</td>
<td>Criminal</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Defendant Date of Birth
01-29-1979

Address
1 Main Street, Kenosha, WI 53140

Domestic Violence Yes

Branch Id
4

Case(s) Cross-Referenced With This Case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>946.49(1)(b)</td>
<td>Bail Jumping-Felony</td>
<td>Felony H</td>
<td>Dismissed-Read In-Pr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respnsible Official
Fisher, Michael S

Prosecuting Agency
District Attorney

Prosecuting Attorney
Monson, Erik H.

Defense Attorney
Sloan, David

Defendant
Doe, John

Date of Birth
01-29-1979

Sex
Male

Address
1 Main Street, Kenosha, WI 53140

Address Updated On
05-19-2003

JUSTIS ID

Finger Print ID

Defendant Attorney(s)
Volbrecht, Paul E

GAL Entered Withdrawn
No 05-22-2003 02-04-2004

Sloan, David

GAL Entered Withdrawn
No 02-04-2004
The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute Cite</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Offense Date</th>
<th>Plea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>946.49(1)(b)</td>
<td>Bail Jumping-Felony</td>
<td>Felony H</td>
<td>04-23-2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 02-04-2004 there was a finding of:

- **Action**: Dismissed-Read In-Pr
- **Court Official**: Fisher, Michael S

1. The designation listed in the Race field is subjective. It is provided to the court by the agency that filed the case.
2. Non-Court activities do not require personal court appearances. For questions regarding which court type activities require court appearances, please contact the Clerk of Circuit Court in the county where the case originated.
State of Wisconsin vs. John Doe

Kenosha County Case Number 2004CM000000

The deferred judgment agreement(s) on this case was fulfilled, and the charge(s) were dismissed. The defendant has not been convicted of the dismissed charges.

Notice to employers: It may be a violation of state law to discriminate against a job applicant because of an arrest or conviction record. Generally speaking, an employer may refuse to hire an applicant on the basis of a conviction only if the circumstances of the conviction substantially relate to the particular job. For more information, see Wis. Stats. 111.335 and the Department of Workforce Development's Arrest and Conviction Records under the Law publication.

HYPERLINK:
Under certain "first offense" drug charges, a defendant can receive a deferred judgment. There is a "Guilty" finding, but the conviction is not entered unless the defendant does not fulfill the conditions of the deferred judgment agreement. The department of Probation and Parole supervises this agreement.
State of Wisconsin vs. John Doe

Kenosha County Case Number 2004CM000000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filing Date</th>
<th>Case Type</th>
<th>Case Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02-24-2004</td>
<td>Criminal</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Defendant Date of Birth: 11-11-1984
Address: 1 Main Street, Kenosha, WI 53140

### Charge(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>961.41(3g)(e)</td>
<td>Possession of THC</td>
<td>Misd. U</td>
<td>Dismissed /Pr Motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>961.41(3g)(e)</td>
<td>Possession of THC</td>
<td>Misd. U</td>
<td>Dismissed /Pr Motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>961.573(1)</td>
<td>Possess Drug Paraphernalia</td>
<td>Misd. U</td>
<td>Dismissed /Pr Motion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

View history and details of Charge(s)/Sentence(s)

Defendant Owes the Court: $ 0.00

Responsible Official: Schroeder, Bruce E.
Prosecuting Agency: District Attorney
Prosecuting Attorney: Gabron, Christine A
Defense Attorney: Zapf, Robert D.

Defendant Name: Doe, John
Date of Birth: 11-11-1984
Sex: Male
Race: Caucasian
Address: 1 Main Street, Kenosha, WI 53140
Address Updated On: 02-24-2004

Defendant Attorney(s): Zapf, Robert D.
Attorney Name: GAL
Entered: 04-22-2004

Charge(s)/Sentence(s)
The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute Cite</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Offense Date</th>
<th>Plea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>961.41(3g)(e)</td>
<td>Possession of THC</td>
<td>Misd. U</td>
<td>01-27-2004</td>
<td>Guilty on 05-05-2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 05-05-2004 there was a finding of:

- **Action**: Guilty / No Contest
- **Court Official**: Schroeder, Bruce E.

This is a Deferred Judgment agreement under Chapter 961.

**Conditions**

**Condition** | **Time** | **Notes**
--- | --- | ---
Other | | 

**Costs**

The following was ordered:

**Sentence** | **Time** | **Begin Date** | **Notes**
--- | --- | --- | ---
DJ - no sentence imposed | | |

Agreement fulfilled on 05-06-2005

On 05-06-2005 there was a finding of:

- **Action**: Dismissed /Pr Motion
- **Court Official**: Schroeder, Bruce E.

The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute Cite</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Offense Date</th>
<th>Plea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>961.41(3g)(e)</td>
<td>Possession of THC</td>
<td>Misd. U</td>
<td>01-27-2004</td>
<td>Guilty on 05-05-2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 05-05-2004 there was a finding of:

- **Action**: Guilty / No Contest
- **Court Official**: Schroeder, Bruce E.

This is a Deferred Judgment agreement under Chapter 961.

**Conditions**

**Condition** | **Time** | **Notes**
--- | --- | ---
Other | | Same conditions as Count 1.

**Costs**

The following was ordered:

**Sentence** | **Time** | **Begin Date** | **Notes**
--- | --- | --- | ---
DJ - no sentence imposed | | |

Agreement fulfilled on 05-06-2005

On 05-06-2005 there was a finding of:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Court Assessments</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
<th>Paid to the Court</th>
<th>Total Receivables</th>
<th>Balance Due to Court</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 231.00</td>
<td>-$ 204.00</td>
<td>$ 27.00</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The designation listed in the Race field is subjective. It is provided to the court by the agency that filed the case.

2. Non-Court activities do not require personal court appearances. For questions regarding which court type activities require court appearances, please contact the Clerk of Circuit Court in the county where the case originated.

3. Includes collection agency fees; bankruptcy discharge of debt; Department of Revenue collection fees; and forgiven debts due to indigence, death, time served, or community service.

4. Some amounts assessed by the courts are collected by the Department of Corrections or other agencies. This column is rarely updated by the courts and may be less than the actual amount owed.

5. For cases with multiple assessments, the due date represents the assessment with the latest date.

Previous Return to List Next
Printable Version
State of Wisconsin vs. John Doe

Iron County Case Number 2005CM000000

The deferred prosecution or sentencing agreement(s) on this case were fulfilled, and the charge(s) are dismissed.

Notice to employers: It may be a violation of state law to discriminate against a job applicant because of an arrest or conviction record. Generally speaking, an employer may refuse to hire an applicant on the basis of a conviction only if the circumstances of the conviction substantially relate to the particular job. For more information, see Wis. Stats. 111.335 and the Department of Workforce Development’s Arrest and Conviction Records under the Law publication.

HYPERLINK:

Under Wisconsin law, a deferred prosecution agreement allows a person facing criminal charges to enter into an agreement with the court to fulfill certain conditions in return for a dismissal. To be eligible to participate in deferred prosecution an offender usually does not have a criminal record, accepts responsibility for the offense, and must be willing to participate in the agreement.
Wisconsin Circuit Court Access (WCCA)
Previous Return to List Next
Printable Version

State of Wisconsin vs. John Doe
Iron County Case Number 2005CM000000

Filing Date Case Type Case Status
01-24-2005 Criminal Closed

Defendant Date of Birth Address
02-18-1961 1 Main Street, Ironwood, MI 49938

Charge(s)

Count No. Statute Description Severity Disposition
1 946.41(1) Resisting or Obstructing an Officer Misd. A Dismissed /Pr Motion
2 943.01(1) Criminal Damage to Property Misd. A Dismissed /Pr Motion
3 947.01 Disorderly Conduct Misd. B Dismissed /Pr Motion

Responsible Official Prosecuting Agency Prosecuting Attorney Defense Attorney
Madden, Patrick J. District Attorney Lipske, Martin J

View history and details of Charge(s)/Sentence(s)

Defendant
Defendant Name Date of Birth Sex Race
Doe, John 02-18-1961 Male

Address Address Updated On
1 Main Street, Ironwood, MI 49938 01-24-2005

JUSTIS ID Finger Print ID

Charge(s)/Sentence(s)
The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

Count No. Statute Cite Description Severity Offense Date Plea
1 946.41(1) Resisting or Obstructing an Officer Misd. A 01-03-2005 Not Guilty on 01-24-2005

On 03-18-2005 there was a finding of:
Action Court Official
Deferred pros/sent Madden, Patrick J.
Supervising Agency | Time | Notes  
--- | --- | ---  
District Attorney | 1 Years | No alcohol, no taverns. No negative contact with Law Enforcement. File quarterly reports of compliance with DA  
Agreement fulfilled on 06-13-2005  
On 06-13-2005 there was a finding of:  
Action | Court Official  
Dismissed /Pr Motion | Madden, Patrick J.  

The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute Cite</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Offense Date</th>
<th>Plea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>943.01(1)</td>
<td>Criminal Damage to Property</td>
<td>Misd. A</td>
<td>01-03-2005</td>
<td>Not Guilty on 01-24-2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 03-18-2005 there was a finding of:  
Action | Court Official  
Deferred pros/sent | Madden, Patrick J.  
Supervising Agency | Time | Notes  
--- | --- | ---  
District Attorney | 1 Years | No alcohol, no taverns. No negative contact with Law Enforcement. File quarterly reports of compliance with DA  
Agreement fulfilled on 06-13-2005  
On 06-13-2005 there was a finding of:  
Action | Court Official  
Dismissed /Pr Motion | Madden, Patrick J.  

The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute Cite</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Offense Date</th>
<th>Plea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>947.01</td>
<td>Disorderly Conduct</td>
<td>Misd. B</td>
<td>01-03-2005</td>
<td>Not Guilty on 01-24-2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 03-18-2005 there was a finding of:  
Action | Court Official  
Deferred pros/sent | Madden, Patrick J.  
Supervising Agency | Time | Notes  
--- | --- | ---  
District Attorney | 1 Years | No alcohol, no taverns. No negative contact with Law Enforcement. File quarterly reports of compliance with DA  
Agreement fulfilled on 06-13-2005  
On 06-13-2005 there was a finding of:  
Action | Court Official  
Dismissed /Pr Motion | Madden, Patrick J.  

---

1 The designation listed in the Race field is subjective. It is provided to the court by the agency that filed the case.

2 Non-Court activities do not require personal court appearances. For questions regarding which court type activities require court appearances, please contact the Clerk of Circuit Court in the county where the case originated.
Wisconsin Circuit Court Access (WCCA)

State of Wisconsin vs. John Doe

Milwaukee County Case Number 2005CF000000

This case has not been concluded. Unless a judgment of conviction is entered, the defendant is presumed innocent of all charges.

Notice to employers: It may be a violation of state law to discriminate against a job applicant because of an arrest or conviction record. Generally speaking, an employer may refuse to hire an applicant on the basis of a conviction only if the circumstances of the conviction substantially relate to the particular job. For more information, see Wis. Stats. 111.335 and the Department of Workforce Development's Arrest and Conviction Records under the Law publication.
State of Wisconsin vs. John Doe

Milwaukee County Case Number 2005CF000000

Filing Date: 01-03-2005
Case Type: Criminal
Case Status: Filed Only

Defendant Date of Birth: 02-09-1986
Address: 1 Main Street, Milw, WI 532183130

Charge(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>961.41(1)(H)1</td>
<td>Manufacture/Deliver THC (&lt;=200g)</td>
<td>Felony I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>961.41(1M)(H)1</td>
<td>Possess w/Intent-THC (&lt;=200 grams)</td>
<td>Felony I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>946.49(1)(B)</td>
<td>Bail Jumping-Felony</td>
<td>Felony H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Responsible Official: Wall-40, Joseph R.
Prosecuting Agency: District Attorney
Prosecuting Attorney: Corbett, Jeanette K.
Defense Attorney: 

Defendant Name: Doe, John
Date of Birth: 02-09-1986
Sex: Male
Race: African American
Address: 1 Main Street, Milw, WI 532183130
Address Updated On: 01-03-2005

JUSTIS ID: 70029177
Finger Print ID:

The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute Cite</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Offense Date</th>
<th>Plea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>961.41(1)(H)1</td>
<td>Manufacture/Deliver THC (&lt;=200g)</td>
<td>Felony I</td>
<td>12-28-2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute Cite</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Offense Date</th>
<th>Plea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>961.41(1M)(H)1</td>
<td>Possess w/Intent-THC (&lt;=200 grams)</td>
<td>Felony I</td>
<td>12-28-2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute Cite</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Offense Date</th>
<th>Plea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>946.49(1)(B)</td>
<td>Bail Jumping-Felony</td>
<td>Felony H</td>
<td>12-28-2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The designation listed in the Race field is subjective. It is provided to the court by the agency that filed the case.

2 Non-Court activities do not require personal court appearances. For questions regarding which court type activities require court appearances, please contact the Clerk of Circuit Court in the county where the case originated.
State of Wisconsin vs. Jane Doe

Outagamie County Case Number 2002CF000000

Jane Doe was found not guilty on all the charges. These charges were not proven and have no legal effect. Jane Doe is presumed innocent.

Notice to employers: It may be a violation of state law to discriminate against a job applicant because of an arrest or conviction record. Generally speaking, an employer may refuse to hire an applicant on the basis of a conviction only if the circumstances of the conviction substantially relate to the particular job. For more information, see Wis. Stats. 111.335 and the Department of Workforce Development's Arrest and Conviction Records under the Law publication.
State of Wisconsin vs. John Doe

Dane County Case Number 2005CM000000

The defendant John Doe was found guilty of the following charge(s) in this case. One or more other charges were dismissed. The dismissed charges were not proven and have no legal effect. John Doe is presumed innocent of the dismissed charge(s).

- John Doe was found guilty of Disorderly Conduct. This is not a criminal offense and results in only a money penalty for this offense.

Notice to employers: It may be a violation of state law to discriminate against a job applicant because of an arrest or conviction record. Generally speaking, an employer may refuse to hire an applicant on the basis of a conviction only if the circumstances of the conviction substantially relate to the particular job. For more information, see Wis. Stats. 111.335 and the Department of Workforce Development's Arrest and Conviction Records under the Law publication.
State of Wisconsin vs. John Doe

Dane County Case Number 2005CM000000

Filing Date: 01-10-2005
Case Type: Criminal
Case Status: Closed

Defendant Date of Birth: 02-14-1987
Address: NO AVAILABLE ADDRESS,
Domestic Violence: Yes
Branch Id: 11
DA Case Number: 04DA00000

Charge(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>940.19(1)</td>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>Misd. A</td>
<td>Dismissed /Pr Motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>940.19(1)</td>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>Misd. A</td>
<td>Dismissed /Pr Motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>32.03</td>
<td>Disorderly Conduct</td>
<td>Forf. U</td>
<td>Guilty / No Contest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Defendant Owes the Court: $243.00

Responsible Official: Moeser, Daniel R
Prosecuting Agency: District Attorney
Prosecuting Attorney: Verhoff, Timothy R
Defense Attorney: Legal Defense Program

Defendant Name: Doe, John
Date of Birth: 02-14-1987
Sex: Male
Race: 1 African American
Address: NO AVAILABLE ADDRESS,
Address Updated On: 10-27-2005
JUSTIS ID: Finger Print ID

Defendant Attorney(s)

Attorney Name: GAL
Entered: 01-21-2005

The Defendant was charged with the following offense:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute Cite</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Offense Date</th>
<th>Plea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>940.19(1)</td>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>Misd. A</td>
<td>12-02-2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 04-13-2005 there was a finding of:
- Action: Court Official
- Dismissed / Pr Motion: Moeser, Daniel R

The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute Cite</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Offense Date</th>
<th>Plea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>940.19(1)</td>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>Misd. A</td>
<td>12-02-2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 04-13-2005 there was a finding of:
- Action: Court Official
- Dismissed / Pr Motion: Moeser, Daniel R

The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count No.</th>
<th>Statute Cite</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Offense Date</th>
<th>Plea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>947.01</td>
<td>Disorderly Conduct</td>
<td>Misd. B</td>
<td>12-02-2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amended on 04-13-2005 to:
- Statute Cite: 32.03
- Description: Disorderly Conduct
- Severity: Forf. U
- Offense Date: 12-02-2004
- Plea: No Contest on 04-13-2005

On 04-13-2005 there was a finding of:
- Action: Guilty / No Contest
- Court Official: Moeser, Daniel R

On 04-13-2005 the following was ordered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forfeiture / Fine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Court Assessments</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
<th>Paid to the Court</th>
<th>Total Receivables</th>
<th>Balance Due to Court</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 243.00</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
<td>$ 243.00</td>
<td>06-13-2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Civil Judgment(s)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Judgment for money</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Case Number</th>
<th>Case Caption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dane</td>
<td>2005CM0000000</td>
<td>State of Wisconsin vs. John Doe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Judgment/Lien Date</th>
<th>Total Amount</th>
<th>DOR Warrant Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09-29-2005</td>
<td>$ 243.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date and Time Docketed</th>
<th>Service/Event Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09-29-2005 at 10:46 am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satisfaction</th>
<th>Type Of Tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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## Judgment Parties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Dismissed</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creditor</td>
<td>Dane County Clerk of Circuit Court</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>City-County Building, 210 Martin Luther King Jr Blvd, Madison, WI 53703-3341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Doe, John</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>NO AVAILABLE ADDRESS,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Costs / Amounts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judgment amount</td>
<td>$ 243.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The designation listed in the Race field is subjective. It is provided to the court by the agency that filed the case.
2. Non-Court activities do not require personal court appearances. For questions regarding which court type activities require court appearances, please contact the Clerk of Circuit Court in the county where the case originated.
3. Includes collection agency fees; bankruptcy discharge of debt; Department of Revenue collection fees; and forgiven debts due to indigence, death, time served, or community service.
4. Some amounts assessed by the courts are collected by the Department of Corrections or other agencies. This column is rarely updated by the courts and may be less than the actual amount owed.
5. For cases with multiple assessments, the due date represents the assessment with the latest date.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enter the name of the county in which the case with the address information is filed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enter the name of the petitioner or plaintiff in that original case file.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Petitioner/Plaintiff:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enter the name of the respondent or defendant in that original case file.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent/Defendant:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enter the case number.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**DRAFT**

Petition, Affidavit and Order concerning Removal of Address Information from Online Records

**Under oath I state:**

I am one of the parties to the case named above. This is NOT a felony case.

I am requesting that my street and city address information be removed from the online record of this civil case on the Wisconsin Circuit Court Access website. I have not made a similar request to another judge concerning this case file that has been denied.

The reason for my request is that there is a demonstrated potential of physical or bodily harm or threat of such harm to me, a family member or a member of my household if that address information is available on the internet website maintained by the Wisconsin Court System. At this time I believe the person who may want this information does not know my current address.

The basis for my belief that there is a demonstrated threat of physical or bodily harm or threat is:

1. □ Another person has been charged with or convicted of an offense relating to battery, domestic abuse, sexual assault, or stalking in which myself, a family member, or a member of household is a victim. I have a reasonable basis for believing that this person continues to be a threat.
   a. Name of person who has been charged: ________________________________
   b. County in which other case is filed: ________________________________
   c. Case number of other case: ________________________________
   d. The reason why I believe this person is a continuing threat is: ______
      ____________________________________________________________
      □ see attached

2. □ Another person has made actual threats against the physical safety of myself, a family member, or a member of my household but that person has not been charged or convicted of such an offense at this time. The facts supporting this belief are: ______
   ________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________
   □ see attached
Therefore, I ask that the court enter an order directing the Clerk of Court to remove my street address and city designation from the address portion of the online record of this civil case on the Wisconsin Circuit Court Access website.

STOP!
Take this document to a Notary Public BEFORE you sign it.

After you have been sworn by a Notary Public, sign and print your name and date the document in front of the Notary Public.

Subscribed and sworn to before me on_______________

Notary Public, State of Wisconsin

My commission expires: _________________

IT IS ORDERED:

A. ☐ The Petition is GRANTED because the petitioner has shown a potential of harm or a threat of harm to the petitioner or a member of the petitioner’s household from the online records of this case. The Clerk of Court is directed to remove the address and city information from the online record of this case.

B. ☐ The Petition is DENIED because:
   1.☐ The petitioner is requesting removal of the address and city information from a criminal, not a civil, case involving the petitioner.
   2.☐ The petitioner has not met the burden of showing there is a potential of harm or a threat of a harm to the petitioner or a member of the petitioner’s household because of the petitioner’s address being on the online record of this case.

BY THE COURT:

____________________________________
Circuit Court Judge

____________________________________
Print or Type Name

____________________________________
Date
Enter the name of the county in which the case with the address information is filed.

STATE OF WISCONSIN, CIRCUIT COURT,

----------------------------------------- COUNTY

Enter the name of the petitioner or plaintiff in that original case file.

Petitioner/Plaintiff:

First name  Middle name  Last name

Vs.

Enter the name of the respondent or defendant in that original case file.

Respondent/Defendant:

First name  Middle name  Last name

DRAFT

Petition, Affidavit and Order concerning Removal of Case Record from Online Records because of Identity Theft or Mistaken Identity

Case No. ______________

Under oath I state:

I am the defendant in the case named above. I am requesting that this case record be removed from the online records of the Wisconsin Circuit Court Access website.

I understand that the actual physical record of this case will remain as a public record in the office of the Clerk of Circuit Court. The reason for my request is that this complaint or citation was issued in error due to either a stolen identity or mistaken identity in charging. If applicable, attached is an affidavit signed by the District Attorney certifying to these facts.

Therefore, I ask that the court enter an order directing the Clerk of Court to remove my case record from the online record of this case on the Wisconsin Circuit Court Access website.

STOP!

Take this document to a Notary Public BEFORE you sign it.

After a Notary Public has sworn you, sign and print your name and date the document in front of the Notary Public.

_____________________________________________  
Signature

_____________________________________________  
Name Printed or Typed

_____________________________________________  
Date
After you have signed the document while under oath, have the Notary Public sign, date, and seal the document.

Subscribed and sworn to before me on_____________________

________________________________________
(Seal)

Notary Public, State of Wisconsin

My commission expires: __________________

IT IS ORDERED:

C. ☐ The Petition is GRANTED. The Clerk is directed to remove this case from the online records of the Wisconsin Circuit Court Access website. The actual physical record of this case shall remain as a public record in the office of the Clerk of Circuit Court.

D. ☐ The Petition is DENIED because:

1. ☐ The petitioner has failed to provide the affidavit of the District Attorney indicating that his charge was a case of stolen identity or a mistake in charging.

2. ☐ This was NOT a case of stolen identity or a mistake in charging.

BY THE COURT:

____________________________________
Circuit Court Judge

____________________________________
Print or Type Name

____________________________________
Date
DRAFT ERROR CORRECTION FAQ

Errors on the Wisconsin Circuit Court Access (WCCA) Internet Site result from an error in the underlying court record in the county responsible for the case. Errors are corrected when the case record is changed or updated by the court official responsible, usually the clerk of circuit court or circuit court judge.

If you are a party in the case you may request that the record be corrected. If you are represented by an attorney, your lawyer should request the correction.

- The clerk of circuit court may be able to correct some information:
  - Typographical errors
  - Inconsistent information
  - Action taken but not shown on court record
  - Paper filed but not recorded
  - Errors or omission in your personal information

- Changing certain information will require formal court action:
  - Sentencing information
  - Court activity information
  - Satisfaction of judgment

PLEASE NOTE: Disagreements over the validity of an action or its outcome are not errors and will not result in changes being made.

Any correction requested must be made in writing and copied to the other parties in the case. Click here for the ERROR CORRECTION REQUEST FORM.
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**STATE OF WISCONSIN, CIRCUIT COURT,**

-------------------------- COUNTY

Enter the name of the county in which the case with the address information is filed.

Enter the name of the petitioner or plaintiff in that original case file.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Petitioner/Plaintiff:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vs.

Enter the name of the respondent or defendant in that original case file.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent/Defendant:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Official Use

**Case No.** ________________

**DRAFT**
Request to Correct Error in Court Records

1. I [ ] am [ ] am not one of the parties to this case. If I am not a party, my relationship to this case is ______________________

2. There is an error in the circuit court record in the above case.

3. The following information is incorrect: ______________________

3. I know this information is incorrect because: ______________________

____________________________________
Signature

____________________________________
Print or Type Name

____________________________________
Address

____________________________________
Daytime Phone/Fax Number

__________________________
Date

---

**What to do next:**
Give the original of this document to the Clerk of Court. Send a copy of this document to all of the parties and counsel.

---

**Action taken by Court Clerk:**

☐ Correction Made
☐ Referred to Judge/Court Commissioner
☐ Referred to ______________________
☐ Correction not made because:
  ☐ The dispute does not involve a factual error
  ☐ Other: