

Supreme Court of Wisconsin

DIRECTOR OF STATE COURTS P.O. BOX 1688 MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

> 16 East State Capitol Telephone 608-266-6828 Fax 608-267-0980

Hon. Audrey K. Skwierawski Interim Director of State Courts

Annette Kingsland Ziegler Chief Justice

> CONTACT: Public Information Office communications@wicourts.gov (608) 261-6640

Wisconsin Supreme Court accepts three new cases

Madison, Wisconsin (Oct. 27, 2023) – The Wisconsin Supreme Court recently voted to accept three new cases, and the Court acted to deny review in a number of other cases. The case numbers, counties of origin and the issues presented in granted cases are listed below. More information about pending appellate cases can be found on the Wisconsin Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Access <u>website</u>. Published Court of Appeals opinions can be found <u>here</u>, and the status of pending Supreme Court cases can be found <u>here</u>.

2023AP1399

<u>Clarke v. WEC</u> [Chief Justice Annette Kingsland Ziegler dissents, joined by Justices Rebecca Grassl Bradley and Brian Hagedorn; Justice Rebecca Grassl Bradley dissents, joined by Chief Justice Annette Kingsland Ziegler; Justice Brian Hagedorn dissents, joined by Chief Justice Annette Kingsland Ziegler]

Supreme Court case type: Original Action Court of Appeals: N/A Circuit Court: N/A

Long caption: Rebecca Clarke, Ruben Anthony, Terry Dawson, Dana Glasstein, Ann Groves-Lloyd, Carl Hujet, Jerry Iverson, Tia Johnson, Angie Kirst, Selika Lawton, Fabian Maldonado, Annemarie McClellan, James McNett, Brittany Muriello, Ela Joosten (Pari) Schils, Nathaniel Slack, Mary Smith-Johnson, Denise Sweet and Gabrielle Young, Petitioners, Governor Tony Evers, in his official capacity; Nathan Atkinson, Stephen Joseph Wright, Gary Krenz, Sarah J. Hamilton, Jean-Luc Thiffeault, Somesh Jha, Joanne Kane and Leah Dudley, Intervenors-Petitioners v. Wisconsin Elections Commission, Don Millis, Robert F. Spindell, Jr., Mark L. Thomsen, Ann S. Jacobs, Marge Bostelmann, Joseph J. Czarnezki, in their official capacities as Members of the Wisconsin Election Commission; Meagan Wolfe, in her official capacity as the Administrator of the Wisconsin Elections Commission; Andre Jacque, Tim Carpenter, Rob Hutton, Chris Larson, Devin LeMahieu, Stephen L. Nass, John Jagler, Mark Spreitzer, Howard Marklein, Rachael Cabral-Guevara, Van H.Wanggaard, Jesse L. James, Romaine Robert Quinn, Dianne H. Hesselbein, Cory Tomczyk, Jeff Smith and Chris Kapenga, in their official capacities as Members of the Wisconsin Senate, Respondents, Wisconsin Legislature; Billie Johnson, Chris Goebel, Ed Perkins, Eric O'Keefe, Joe Sanfelippo, Terry Moulton, Robert Jensen, Ron Zahn, Ruth Elmer and Ruth Streck Intervenors-Respondents.

Issues granted:

- 1. Do the existing state legislative maps violate the contiguity requirements contained in Article IV, Sections 4 and 5 of the Wisconsin Constitution?
- 2. Did the adoption of the existing state legislative maps violate the Wisconsin Constitution's separation of powers?
- 3. If the court rules that Wisconsin's existing state legislative maps violate the Wisconsin Constitution for either or both of these reasons and the legislature and the governor then fail to adopt state legislative maps that comply with the Wisconsin Constitution, what standards should guide the court in imposing a remedy for the constitutional violation(s)?
- 4. What fact-finding, if any, will be required if the court determines there is a constitutional violation based on the contiguity clauses and/or the separation-of-powers doctrine and the court is required to craft a remedy for the violation? If fact-finding will be required, what process should be used to resolve questions of fact?

2021AP2105 State v. Wiskowski

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review
Court of Appeals: District II
Circuit Court: Sheboygan County, Judge Kent R. Hoffmann, *summarily affirmed*.
Long caption: State of Wisconsin, Plaintiff-Respondent v. Michael Gene Wiskowski, Defendant-Appellant-Petitioner.

Issues presented:

- 1. When the report of a person sleeping in a car while waiting in line at a drive thru is contradicted by the officer's observation of the car driving on the road without any traffic violations, is there reasonable suspicion to stop the car or can police justify the stop based on the community caretaker doctrine?
- 2. After the stop, when the driver provides a reasonable explanation, can the officer use the community caretaker doctrine to extend the stop to perform field sobriety tests?

2023AP441

State v. R.A.M.

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District I

Circuit Court: Milwaukee County, Judge Ellen R. Brostrom, *reversed and cause remand with directions*. **Long caption:** State of Wisconsin, Petitioner-Respondent, v. R.A.M., Respondent-Appellant.

Issues presented by the Guardian ad Litem:

- 1. Does a circuit court striking a parent's contest posture and entering default judgment after a finding of bad faith and egregious behavior by the respondent parent automatically trigger a waiver of counsel under Wis. Stat. § 48.23?
- 2. Does an automatic waiver of counsel under Wis. Stat. § 48.23 without an explicit finding of waiver and discharge of counsel by the circuit court lead to absurd results?

 Does any limitation of appointed counsel's participation in a TPR proceeding as a sanction after entering default judgment against a parent amount to "total deprivation" of counsel under Shirley E. [Torrance P., Jr. v. Shirley E., 2006 WI 129, ¶43, 298 Wis. 2d 1, 724 N.W.2d 623]?

Review denied: The Supreme Court denied review in the following cases. As the state's law-developing court, the Supreme Court exercises its discretion to select for review only those cases that fit certain <u>statutory criteria</u> (see Wis. Stat. § 809.62). Except where indicated, these cases came to the Court via petition for review by the party who lost in the lower court:

2023AP1412	<u>Wright v. WEC</u> [(Original Action) Chief Justice Annette Kingsland Ziegler concurs, joined by Justice Rebecca Grassl Bradley]
2023AP1663	<u>Hanson-Hysell v. Assembly</u> [(Original Action) Justice Janet C. Protasiewicz did not participate]
Ashland County	
2020AP1008-CRNM	State v. Phillips
Brown County	
2020AP2115-CR	State v. Walker
2021AP416-CR	State v. Duval
2021AP1505	Marine Credit Union v. Estate of Robert M. Pratsch
2022AP32-CR	State v. Cayer
2023AP694-CRLV	State v. Brown
2023AP1128-W	Brown v. Judge Zakowski
Calumet County	
2022AP382-CR	State v. Mader
<u>Columbia County</u>	
2022AP1835	Columbia County DH&HS v. K.D.K

Dane County

2022AP682-CR 2022AP683-CR 2022AP684-CR 2022AP685-CR 2022AP686-CR 2022AP687-CR	<u>State v. Dugan</u>
2022AP1160	McKinney v. Cromwell
2023AP607	Dane County DHS v. S.M.
Douglas County	
2021AP1252-CR	State v. Wright [Justice Rebecca Frank Dallet dissents]
Iron County	
2022AP432-CR	State v. Oungst
Juneau County	
2020AP516-CRNM 2020AP517-CRNM 2020AP518-CRNM	<u>State v. Jones</u>
<u>Kenosha County</u>	
2020AP795-CRNM	State v. Ward
2022AP1477	Cursey v. Cromwell
Manitowoc County	
2021AP2216-CR	State v. Cortez
Marinette County	
2023AP429 2023AP430	Marinette County H&HSD v. T.P.

Milwaukee County

2021AP39	State v. Young
2021AP671-CR	State v. Vaughn
2021AP2000-CR	State v. Ireland
2021AP1084-CR	State v. Burton [Justice Janet C. Protasiewicz did not participate]
2021AP1339-CR	State v. Hailes [Justice Janet C. Protasiewicz did not participate]
2021AP1429-CR 2021AP1430-CR	State v. McAfee
2021AP1483-CR	State v. Rivera
2021AP1663-CR	State v. Webster [Justice Janet C. Protasiewicz did not participate]
2021AP1894-CR	State v. Chaney [Justice Janet C. Protasiewicz did not participate]
2021AP1982-CR	State v. Coleman
2022AP89	State v. M.B.
2022AP521	State v. Carter
2022AP969-CR	State v. Tillmon
2022AP1012	Przytarski v. Vallejos
2022AP1179 2022AP1180	State v. S.S.
2022AP1945	State v. N.H.
2023AP692	State v. M.S.H
2023AP732	State v. M.H.
Oneida County	

Oneida County

2021AP1015-CR State v. Tran

Outagamie County

o utugunne county	
2022AP230	Outagamie County v. C.J.A. [Justice Brian Hagedorn dissents]
Racine County	
2022AP1049-CR	State v. Smothers
2022AP1731	<u>Huiras v. Huiras</u>
<u>Richland County</u>	
2022AP881	State v. Willison
Sawyer County	
2021AP926-CR	State v. Johnson
<u>St. Croix County</u>	
2021AP1102-CRNM	State v. Krizan
<u>Trempealeau County</u>	
2021AP536	State v. S.C.M.
Waukesha County	
2022AP871	LaFaive v. Records Custodian Waukesha Cty. District Attorney
2022AP1726-CR 2022AP1758-CR	State v. Swift
Winnebago County	
2022AP1439-CR	State v. Colon
2022AP1589	Winnebago County DHS v. L.J.F.G. [Justices Ann Walsh Bradley and Janet C. Protasiewicz dissents]