

Supreme Court of Misconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
(608) 266-1880

Samuel A. Christensen Clerk of Supreme Court

CLERK@WICOURTS.GOV

WWW.WICOURTS.GOV/COURTS/OFFICES/CLERK.HTM

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

AUGUST 2025

This statistical report provides an overview of case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court from August and for the term that began on September 1, 2024.

OPINIONS ISSUED BY THE COURT

The Supreme Court issued three opinions in August. Information about issued opinions, including the Court's disposition and the names of the authoring justices, can be found in the attached table.

	August 2025	Term to Date
Total number of cases resolved by opinion	3	40
Attorney disciplinary cases	3	16
Judicial disciplinary cases	0	1
Bar Admissions	0	1
Civil Cases	0	17
Criminal Cases	0	5

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW

A petition for review is a request made to the Supreme Court to review the decision made by the Court of Appeals. It is important to note that the Supreme Court has discretionary jurisdiction, which means that it only grants review in selected cases. During August, 64 new petitions for review were filed. In addition, the Supreme Court disposed of 12 petitions for review during the month, none of which was granted. At present, the Supreme Court has 259 petitions for review that are still pending.

	August 2025	Term to Date
Petitions for Review filed	64	576
Civil Cases	31	264
Criminal Cases	33	312
Petitions for Review dispositions	12	522
Civil Cases (petitions granted)	6 (0)	249 (12)
Criminal Cases (petitions granted)	6 (0)	273 (10)

PETITIONS FOR BYPASS

A petition for bypass is a request for the Supreme Court to assume jurisdiction over an appeal other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court can grant such a petition if the case meets one or more criteria for review, or if there is a compelling need to expedite the appellate process. When a bypass is granted the Supreme Court will decide the matter directly, regardless of any potential decision by the Court of Appeals.

In August, the Supreme Court received no petitions for bypass and disposed of 17 petitions. The Supreme Court currently has three petitions for bypass pending.

	August 2025	Term to Date
Petitions for Bypass filed	0	12
Civil Cases	0	11
Criminal Cases	0	1
Petitions for Bypass dispositions	17	28
Civil Cases (petitions granted)	17 (0)	28 (4)
Criminal Cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Requests for Certification

A request for certification arises when the Court of Appeals calls upon the Supreme Court to hear a case before the Court of Appeals has had the opportunity to do so. This type of request is typically made when the Court of Appeals believes that the case is of such significance that it is essential for the Supreme Court to consider it at the earliest opportunity.

The criteria for evaluating such a request are the same as those used when assessing a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court considers various factors, including the importance of the issues at stake, the likelihood that the case will return to the Supreme Court if it is not heard, and whether the case would benefit from the Supreme Court's guidance.

If the Supreme Court decides to grant the request for certification, it means that it will consider the case first, before the Court of Appeals has had the opportunity to hear it. If the Supreme Court declines the request, the case will proceed to the Court of Appeals in the usual way. During August, the Supreme Court received no requests for certification and disposed of none. The Supreme Court currently has one request for certification pending.

	August 2025	Term to Date
Requests for Certification filed	0	3
Civil Cases	0	2
Criminal Cases	0	1
Requests for Certification dispositions	0	2
Civil Cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	1 (1)
Criminal Cases (petitions granted)	0 0)	1 (1)

Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, five matters within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) were filed and no cases were reopened. The Supreme Court received 13 petitions for supervisory writ, which ask the Supreme Court to order a lower court to take a certain action in a case. The Supreme Court currently has 19 regulatory matters and 25 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in "Opinions Issued by the Court" above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. No original actions were filed in August.

	August 2025	Term to Date	
Total number of Filings	18	132	
(including reopened cases)	10		
Attorney disciplinary cases	5	31	
Judicial disciplinary cases	0	2	
Bar Admission	0	1	
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	13	80	
Other (including Original Actions)	0	18	
· ·			
	August 2025	Term to Date	
Total number of Dispositions by Order			
Total number of Dispositions by Order (including reopened cases)	August 2025 6	Term to Date	
, ,			
(including reopened cases)	6		
(including reopened cases) Attorney disciplinary cases	6	92	
(including reopened cases) Attorney disciplinary cases Judicial disciplinary cases	6 0 0	92 1 0	

DECISIONS BY THE WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT OPINIONS ISSUED DURING AUGUST 2025

Docket No.	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
2023AP923-D (2025 WI 39)	Office of Lawyer Regulation v. Robert T. Malloy Per Curiam. Attorney disciplinary proceedings. Attorney's license suspended for 18 months. JILL J. KAROFSKY, C.J., and SUSAN M. CRAWFORD, J., did not participate.	08/14/2025
2023AP1760-D (2025 WI 40)	Office of Lawyer Regulation v. John P. Buran Per Curiam. Attorney disciplinary proceedings. Attorney's license revoked for 30 months. SUSAN M. CRAWFORD, J., did not participate.	08/14/2025
2024AP1511-D (2025 WI 41)	Office of Lawyer Regulation v. Peter J. Kovac Per Curiam. Attorney disciplinary proceedings. Attorney's license revoked. ANNETTE KINGSLAND ZIEGLER, J., with whom JILL J. KAROFSKY, C.J., REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, BRIAN K. HAGEDORN, and JANET C. PROTASIEWICZ, JJ., join, concurring.	08/15/2025