

# Supreme Court of Misconsin

#### OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
(608) 266-1880

Samuel A. Christensen Clerk of Supreme Court

CLERK@WICOURTS.GOV

WWW.WICOURTS.GOV/COURTS/OFFICES/CLERK.HTM

### WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

#### SEPTEMBER 2025

This statistical report provides an overview of case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court for the month of September and for the term that began on September 1, 2025.

#### OPINIONS ISSUED BY THE COURT

The Supreme Court issued one opinion in September. Information about issued opinions, including the Court's disposition and the names of the authoring justices, can be found in the attached table.

	September 2025	Term to Date
Total number of cases resolved by opinion	1	1
Attorney disciplinary cases	1	1
Judicial disciplinary cases	0	0
Bar Admissions	0	0
Civil Cases	0	0
Criminal Cases	0	0

#### PETITIONS FOR REVIEW

A petition for review is a request made to the Supreme Court to review the decision made by the Court of Appeals. It is important to note that the Supreme Court has discretionary jurisdiction, which means that it only grants review in selected cases. During September, 58 new petitions for review were filed. In addition, the Supreme Court disposed of 24 petitions for review during the month, one of which was granted. At present, the Supreme Court has 291 petitions for review that are still pending.

	September 2025	Term to Date
Petitions for Review filed	58	58
Civil Cases	29	29
Criminal Cases	29	29
Petitions for Review dispositions	24	24
Civil Cases (petitions granted)	11 (1)	11 (1)
Criminal Cases (petitions granted)	13 (0)	13 (0)

#### PETITIONS FOR BYPASS

A petition for bypass is a request for the Supreme Court to assume jurisdiction over an appeal other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court can grant such a petition if the case meets one or more criteria for review, or if there is a compelling need to expedite the appellate process. When a bypass is granted the Supreme Court will decide the matter directly, regardless of any potential decision by the Court of Appeals.

In September, the Supreme Court received one petitions for bypass and disposed of no petitions. The Supreme Court currently has four petitions for bypass pending.

	September 2025	Term to Date
Petitions for Bypass filed	1	1
Civil Cases	0	0
Criminal Cases	0	0
Petitions for Bypass dispositions	0	0
Civil Cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Criminal Cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	0 (0)

#### **Requests for Certification**

A request for certification arises when the Court of Appeals calls upon the Supreme Court to hear a case before the Court of Appeals has had the opportunity to do so. This type of request is typically made when the Court of Appeals believes that the case is of such significance that it is essential for the Supreme Court to consider it at the earliest opportunity.

The criteria for evaluating such a request are the same as those used when assessing a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court considers various factors, including the importance of the issues at stake, the likelihood that the case will return to the Supreme Court if it is not heard, and whether the case would benefit from the Supreme Court's guidance.

If the Supreme Court decides to grant the request for certification, it means that it will consider the case first, before the Court of Appeals has had the opportunity to hear it. If the Supreme Court declines the request, the case will proceed to the Court of Appeals in the usual way. During September, the Supreme Court received one request for certification and disposed of none. The Supreme Court currently has one request for certification pending.

	September 2025	Term to Date
Requests for Certification filed	0	0
Civil Cases	0	0
Criminal Cases	0	0
Requests for Certification dispositions	0	0
Civil Cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Criminal Cases (petitions granted)	0 0)	0 (0)

## Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, one matter within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) was filed and no cases were reopened. The Supreme Court received 12 petitions for supervisory writ, which ask the Supreme Court to order a lower court to take a certain action in a case. The Supreme Court currently has 16 regulatory matters and 39 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in "Opinions Issued by the Court" above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. One original action was filed in September.

September 2025	Term to Date	
14	14	
17	17	
1	1	
0	0	
0	0	
12	12	
1	1	
•		
September 2025	Term to Date	
September 2025	Term to Date	
September 2025	Term to Date	
September 2025 7 1	Term to Date 7 1	
September 2025 7 1 0	Term to Date 7 1 0	
7	7	
7 1 0	7 1 0	
	14 1 0 0 12	

# DECISIONS BY THE WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT OPINIONS ISSUED DURING SEPTEMBER 2025

<b>Docket No.</b>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
2022AP1926-D (2025 WI 44)	Office of Lawyer Regulation v. Matthew V. Burkert Per Curiam. Attorney disciplinary proceedings. Attorney's license suspended for 2 years. REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., did not participate.	09/12/2025
	======================================	