



Supreme Court of Wisconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Shirley S. Abrahamson
Chief Justice

Telephone (608) 266-1880

TTY Users: Call WI TRS at 1-800-947-3529; request (608) 266-1880

Fax (608) 267-0640

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

A. John Voelker
Director of State Courts

David R. Schanker
Clerk of Supreme Court

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

OCTOBER 2008

This statistical report presents information about the case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court during the month of October 2008 and to date for the term that began on September 1, 2008.

Opinions Issued by the Court

The Supreme Court issued three opinions in October. Information about these opinions, including the Court's disposition, can be found on the attached table.

	<u>October 2008</u>	<u>Term to Date</u>
Total number of cases resolved by opinion	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>
Attorney disciplinary cases	3	4
Judicial disciplinary cases	0	0
Civil cases	0	1
Criminal cases	0	0

Petitions for Review

A total of 51 petitions for review were filed during the month. A petition for review asks the Supreme Court to review the decision of the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court's jurisdiction is discretionary, meaning that review is granted in selected cases only. In October, the Supreme Court disposed of 61 petitions for review, of which 1 petition was granted. The Supreme Court currently has 155 petitions for review pending.

	<u>October 2008</u>	<u>Term to Date</u>
Petitions for Review filed	<u>51</u>	<u>113</u>
Civil cases	22	47
Criminal cases	29	66

Petition for Review dispositions	<u>61</u>	<u>151</u>
Civil cases (petitions granted).....	30 (0)	87 (13)
Criminal cases (petitions granted)	31 (1)	64 (3)

Petitions for Bypass

The Supreme Court received no petitions for bypass and disposed of 2 petitions for bypass this month. In a petition for bypass, a party requests that the Supreme Court take jurisdiction of an appeal or other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. A matter appropriate for bypass is usually one which meets one or more of the criteria for review by the Supreme Court and one the Supreme Court concludes it will ultimately choose to consider regardless of how the Court of Appeals might decide the issues. A petition for bypass may also be granted where there is a clear need to hasten the ultimate appellate decision. The Supreme Court currently has no petitions for bypass pending.

	<u>October 2008</u>	<u>Term to Date</u>
Petitions for Bypass filed	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>
Civil cases	0	1
Criminal cases	0	0
Petition for Bypass dispositions.....	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>
Civil cases (petitions granted).....	2 (0)	3 (0)
Criminal cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	2 (0)

Requests for Certification

During October 2008, the Supreme Court received no requests for certification and disposed of no requests for certification. In a request for certification, the Court of Appeals asks the Supreme Court to exercise its appellate jurisdiction before the Court of Appeals hears the matter. A request for certification is decided on the basis of the same criteria as a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court currently has 1 request for certification pending.

	<u>October 2008</u>	<u>Term to Date</u>
Requests for Certification filed.....	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>
Civil cases	0	1
Criminal cases	0	0
Request for Certification dispositions.....	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>
Civil cases (requests granted)	0 (0)	1 (1)
Criminal cases (requests granted)	0 (0)	1 (1)

Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, a total of 2 matters within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) were filed. The Supreme Court also received 5 petitions for supervisory writ, which ask the Supreme Court to order the Court of Appeals or a circuit court to take a certain action in a case. Two original actions were filed. An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in “Opinions Issued by the Court” above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. The Supreme Court currently has 35 regulatory matters and 11 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

	<u>October 2008</u>	<u>Term to Date</u>
<u>Filings</u>		
Attorney discipline.....	1	6
Judicial discipline.....	1	1
Bar admission.....	0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	5	12
Other (including Original Actions).....	2	3
 <u>Dispositions by Order</u>		
Attorney discipline.....	3	6
Judicial discipline.....	0	0
Bar admission.....	0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	4	8
Other (including Original Actions).....	2	4

**DECISIONS BY THE
WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT**

OPINIONS ISSUED DURING OCTOBER 2008

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE CASES

<u>Docket No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
2006AP000432-D	Office of Lawyer Regulation (OLR) v. Brian B. Burke License Reinstated Per Curiam ¹ Prosser, David T., J. did not participate.	10/09/2008
2007AP002546-D	OLR v. Keith R. Hughes 3 Year Suspension Per Curiam	10/14/2008
2008AP001900-D	OLR v. Michelle L. Tully 3 Year Suspension Per Curiam	10/29/2008

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES

<u>Docket No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
None		

¹ “Per Curiam” means “by the Court.” Opinions issued *per curiam* are handed down by the Court as a whole.