

Supreme Court of Misconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. Main Street, Suite 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY Users: Call WI TRS at 1-800-947-3529; request (608) 266-1880 Fax (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov A. John Voelker
Director of State Courts
and
Acting Clerk of Supreme Court

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

SEPTEMBER 2010

This statistical report presents information about the case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court during the month of September 2010 and to date for the term that began on September 1, 2010.

Opinions Issued by the Court

The Supreme Court issued opinions resolving 7 cases in September. Information about these opinions, including the Court's dispositions and the names of the authoring justices, can be found on the attached table.

Total number of cases resolved by opinion 7 7 7 Attorney disciplinary cases 7 7 7 Judicial disciplinary cases 0 0 0 Civil cases 0 0 0 Criminal cases 0 0

Petitions for Review

A total of 81 petitions for review were filed during the month. A petition for review asks the Supreme Court to review the decision of the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court's jurisdiction is discretionary, meaning that review is granted in selected cases only. In September, the Supreme Court disposed of 81 petitions for review, of which 18 petitions were granted. The Supreme Court currently has 194 petitions for review pending.

	September 20	110 Term to Date
Petitions for Review filed	<u>81</u>	<u>81</u>
Civil cases	50	50
Criminal cases	31	31

Petition for Review dispositions	<u>81</u>	<u>81</u>
Civil cases (petitions granted)	48 (13)	48 (13)
Criminal cases (petitions granted)	33 (5)	33 (5)

Petitions for Bypass

In September, the Supreme Court received 4 petitions for bypass and disposed of no petition for bypass. In a petition for bypass, a party requests that the Supreme Court take jurisdiction of an appeal or other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. A matter appropriate for bypass is usually one which meets one or more of the criteria for review by the Supreme Court and one the Supreme Court concludes it will ultimately choose to consider regardless of how the Court of Appeals might decide the issues. A petition for bypass may also be granted where there is a clear need to hasten the ultimate appellate decision. The Supreme Court currently has 8 petitions for bypass pending.

	September 2010	Term to Date
Petitions for Bypass filed	3	4/3 1
Petition for Bypass dispositions	-	$\frac{0}{0}$
Civil cases (petitions granted)		0 (0)

Requests for Certification

During September 2010, the Supreme Court received no requests for certification and disposed of 1 request for certification. In a request for certification, the Court of Appeals asks the Supreme Court to exercise its appellate jurisdiction before the Court of Appeals hears the matter. A request for certification is decided on the basis of the same criteria as a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court currently has 1 request for certification pending.

	September 2010	Term to Date
Requests for Certification filed	0	<u>0</u> 0 0
Request for Certification dispositions. Civil cases (requests granted)	$\overline{1}$ (0)	1 1 (0) 0 (0)

Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, 2 matters within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) were filed and 5 cases (disciplinary) were reopened. The Supreme Court also received no petitions for supervisory writ, which ask the Supreme Court to order the Court of Appeals or a circuit court to take a certain action in a case. Two original actions were filed. An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in "Opinions Issued by the Court" above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. The Supreme Court currently has 23 regulatory matters and 5 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

September 2010 Term to Date

0

0

10

0

0

0

10

		
Attorney discipline (including reopened cases)	7	7
Judicial discipline	0	0
Bar admission	0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ (other writs)	3	3
Other (including Original Actions)	2	2
<u>Dispositions by Order</u>		
Attorney discipline	0	0

Judicial discipline.....

Bar admission....

Petitions for Supervisory Writ

Other (including Original Actions).....

Filings

DECISIONS BY THE WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT

OPINIONS ISSUED DURING SEPTEMBER 2010

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE CASES

Docket No.	<u>Title</u>	Date
2008AP002766-D	Office of Lawyer Regulation (OLR) v. Ryan D. Lister 60 Day suspension Per Curiam ¹ Dissent: Bradley, J. joined by Abrahamson, C.J.	09/01/2010
2009AP000916-D	OLR v. Sandra K. Colpien 6 Month suspension Per Curiam	09/03/2010
2010AP001118-D	OLR v. Stephen M. Compton 2 Year suspension Per Curiam	09/08/2010
2008AP000085-D	OLR v. Brian P. Mularski License Revoked Per Curiam	09/10/2010
2008AP001847-D	OLR v. John H. Peiss 1 Year suspension Per Curiam	09/17/2010
2005AP001978-D	OLR v. Gary George License Reinstated Per Curiam Prosser, J. did not participate.	09/30/2010
2007AP002763-D	OLR v. Barry LaSieur Public Reprimand Per Curiam <u>Dissent:</u> Bradley, J.	09/30/2010

¹ "Per Curiam" means "by the Court." Opinions issued *per curiam* are handed down by the Court as a whole.