

SUPREME COURT OF WISCONSIN

Case No.: 97-1426-CR

Complete Title
of Case:

State of Wisconsin,
Plaintiff-Respondent-Petitioner,

v.

Daniel G. Scheidell
Defendant-Appellant.

ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
Previously reported at: 227 Wis. 2d 285,
595 N.W.2d 661, (1999)

Opinion Filed: October 22, 1999
Submitted on Briefs:
Oral Argument:

Source of APPEAL
COURT:
COUNTY:
JUDGE:

JUSTICES:
Concurred:
Dissented:
Not Participating: SYKES, J., did not participate

ATTORNEYS:

NOTICE

This opinion is subject to further editing and modification. The final version will appear in the bound volume of the official reports.

No. 97-1426-CR

STATE OF WISCONSIN

:

IN SUPREME COURT

State of Wisconsin,

Plaintiff-Respondent-Petitioner,

v.

Daniel G. Scheidell

Defendant-Appellant.

FILED

OCT 22, 1999

Marilyn L. Graves
Clerk of Supreme Court
Madison, WI

¶1 PER CURIAM. (on motion for reconsideration). Defendant-Appellant Daniel G. Scheidell moves for reconsideration of our decision in State v. Scheidell, 227 Wis. 2d 285, 595 N.W.2d 661 (1999), on grounds that this court declined to review an issue concerning the circuit court's refusal to admit comparative handprint evidence offered by the defendant.

¶2 In the exercise of judicial discretion, we have carefully considered the circuit court's ruling on the handprint evidence. We agree with the court of appeals that the circuit court "correctly exercised its discretion in refusing to admit Scheidell's exhibit." State v. Scheidell, 220 Wis. 2d 753, 774, 584 N.W.2d 897 (Ct. App. 1998).

¶3 The motion for reconsideration is denied without costs.

¶4 DIANE S. SYKES, J., did not participate.

