## SUPREME COURT OF WISCONSIN

Case No.: 2021AP311-CR

COMPLETE TITLE: State of Wisconsin,

Plaintiff-Respondent-Petitioner,

v.

Donte Quintell McBride,

Defendant-Appellant.

REVIEW OF DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS Reported at 405 Wis. 2d 771,985 N.W.2d 452 (2023 - unpublished)

OPINION FILED:

October 10, 2023

SUBMITTED ON BRIEFS:

ORAL ARGUMENT:

September 15, 2023

Source of Appeal:

COURT: Circuit
COUNTY: Milwaukee

Judge: Jonathan D. Watts

JUSTICES:

Per Curiam.
Not Participating:

Janet C. Protasiewicz, J.

## ATTORNEYS:

For the plaintiff-respondent-petitioner, there were briefs filed by *Kieran M. O'Day*, assistant attorney general, with whom on the brief was *Joshua L. Kaul*, attorney general. There was an oral argument by *Kieran M. O'Day*, assistant attorney general.

For the defendant-appellant, there was a brief filed by Jill M. Skwor, assistant state public defender. There was an oral argument by Jill M. Skwor, assistant state public defender.

NOTICE

This opinion is subject to further editing and modification. The final version will appear in the bound volume of the official reports.

No. 2021AP311-CR (L.C. No. 2018CF5172)

STATE OF WISCONSIN

IN SUPREME COURT

State of Wisconsin,

v.

Plaintiff-Respondent-Petitioner,

FILED

Donte Quintell McBride,

OCT 10, 2023

Samuel A. Christensen Clerk of Supreme Court

Defendant-Appellant.

REVIEW of a decision of the Court of Appeals. Affirmed.

- ¶1 PER CURIAM. The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed by an equally divided court.
  - ¶2 JANET C. PROTASIEWICZ, J., did not participate.