



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
Supreme Court of Wisconsin

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WI 53701-1688

TELEPHONE (608) 266-1880

FACSIMILE (608) 267-0640

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

FILED

09-03-2025

CLERK OF WISCONSIN

SUPREME COURT

September 3, 2025

To:

Hon. Beverly Wickstrom
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Lori Gorsegrner
Clerk of Circuit Court
Rusk County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Richard J. Summerfield
Corporation Counsel
311 Miner Avenue East
Ladysmith, WI 54848

Matthew C. Skamfer
Rusk County Jail
311 E. Miner Avenue
Ladysmith, WI 54848

You are hereby notified that the Court, by its Clerk and Commissioners, has entered the following order:

No. 2025AP952-W Skamfer v. Grassmann, L.C.#s 2025CF43, 2025CF48, & 2025CF76

Pro se petitioner Matthew C. Skamfer filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus in this matter. On August 11, 2025, this court entered an order denying the writ and all accompanying requests for relief, ex parte. On August 25, 2025, Skamfer filed a document entitled "Petition for Review," which this court construes as a motion to reconsider its August 11, 2025 order denying Skamfer's petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Skamfer also filed a document entitled "Addendum Release Pending Review," which the court construes as a motion for release from custody pending disposition of Skamfer's "Petition for Review."

As to the "Petition for Review," the court notes that Skamfer appears to be under the impression that the order denying his petition for a writ of habeas corpus was issued by the court of appeals. It was not. The case-initiating document that was filed on April 30, 2025 was captioned "Supreme Court of Wisconsin" and titled "809.70/Habeas Corpus." While Skamfer captioned some subsequent documents in this matter as "Wisconsin Court of Appeals District III," he filed those documents under the case number assigned to his petition for a writ of habeas corpus that was filed in, and pending before, the supreme court. Thus, the order denying Skamfer's petition for a writ of habeas corpus was issued by this court. Accordingly, there is no lower court order from which Skamfer may petition for review. Further, in his "Petition for Review," Skamfer indicates that he is "seeking review of the ex parte orders dismissing habeas corpus," claims that the court erred in denying his petition for a writ of habeas corpus,

Page 2

September 3, 2025

No. 2025AP952-W Skamfer v. Grassmann, L.C.#s 2025CF43, 2025CF48, & 2025CF76

restates the arguments made in his petition for a writ of habeas corpus and related documents, and seeks the same relief. Therefore, Skamfer's "Petition for Review" is properly construed as a motion for reconsideration. Nothing in Skamfer's motion warrants reconsideration of the court's decision. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion for reconsideration is denied; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for relief from custody is denied as moot; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, to the extent that Matthew C. Skamfer paid a filing fee for the document captioned "Petition for Review," the clerk of this court is directed to return any such filing fee.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Supreme Court