



## OFFICE OF THE CLERK

## Supreme Court of Wisconsin

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215  
P.O. BOX 1688  
MADISON, WI 53701-1688

TELEPHONE (608) 266-1880  
FACSIMILE (608) 267-0640  
Web Site: [www.wicourts.gov](http://www.wicourts.gov)

FILED  
09-25-2025  
CLERK OF WISCONSIN  
SUPREME COURT

September 25, 2025

**To:**

Hon. James M. Isaacson  
Circuit Court Judge  
Electronic Notice

Nathan A. Liedl  
Clerk of Circuit Court  
Chippewa County Courthouse  
Electronic Notice

Karla Z. Keckhaver  
Electronic Notice

Special Litigation & Appeals Unit  
Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 7857  
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Stanley Correctional Institution  
Business Office  
100 Corrections Drive  
Stanley, WI 54768-6500

Timothy B. Wilks 073201  
Stanley Correctional Inst.  
100 Corrections Dr.  
Stanley, WI 54768

You are hereby notified that the Court, by its Clerk and Commissioners, has entered the following order:

---

No. 2024AP1054

Wilks v. Wisconsin Parole Commission,  
L.C. #s 2023IP8 & 2024CV37

On August 18, 2025, petitioner-appellant-petitioner, Timothy B. Wilks, pro se, filed a petition for review of the court of appeals' July 1, 2025 decision that summarily reversed a circuit court order quashing his petition for writ of certiorari as untimely and that remanded the matter with directions to the circuit court for further proceedings. The court of appeals' decision followed a concession by the Wisconsin Parole Commission that Wilks' petition for certiorari was timely filed.

In order to be reviewable by this court, a court of appeals' decision must be adverse to the petitioner. See Wis. Stat. § (Rule) 809.62(1m). An adverse decision is "a final order or decision of the court of appeals, the result of which is contrary, in whole or in part, to the result sought in that court by any party seeking review." Wis. Stat. § (Rule) 809.62(1g)(a) (emphasis added). An

Page 2

September 25, 2025

No. 2024AP1054

Wilks v. Wisconsin Parole Commission,  
L.C. #s 2023IP8 & 2024CV374

adverse decision “does not include a party’s disagreement with the court of appeals’ language or rationale in granting a party’s requested relief.” Wis. Stat. § (Rule) 809.62(1g)(c).

Here, Wilks’s appeal sought reversal of a circuit court order quashing his petition for writ of certiorari and a remand for further proceedings. The court of appeals ordered that the circuit court’s order “is summarily reversed and the matter is remanded to the circuit court for further proceedings.” Thus, the court of appeals’ decision provided the relief sought by Wilks. That the court of appeals did not address all of Wilks’ substantive arguments in favor of reversal and did not include certain language Wilks prefers does not render the decision non-adverse. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the petition for review is dismissed.

---

---

Samuel A. Christensen  
Clerk of Supreme Court