



## OFFICE OF THE CLERK

**Supreme Court of Wisconsin**

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WI 53701-1688

TELEPHONE (608) 266-1880

FACSIMILE (608) 267-0640

Web Site: [www.wicourts.gov](http://www.wicourts.gov)

October 6, 2020

**To:**

Sopen Shah  
Charles G. Curtis  
Michelle M. Umberger  
Perkins Coie LLP  
33 E. Main St., Ste. 201  
Madison, WI 53703

Kevin M. LeRoy  
Misha Tseytlin  
Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP  
227 W. Monroe St.  
Chicago, IL 60606

John Devaney  
Perkins Coie LLP  
700 Thirteenth Street N.W., Suite 800  
Washington, DC 20005

Lester A. Pines  
Christa Westerberg  
Beauregard William Patterson  
Pines Bach LLP  
122 W. Washington Avenue, Suite 900  
Madison, WI 53703-2718

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following order:

---

---

No. 2020AP1629-OA      Wis. State Leg. v. Democratic Nat'l Comm.

On October 1, 2020, the petitioner, Wisconsin State Legislature, filed a petition for leave to commence an original action under Wis. Stat. § (Rule) 809.70, an emergency motion for a declaratory judgment, a supporting legal memorandum, and an appendix.

On October 2, 2020, proposed intervenor, Governor Tony Evers, filed a motion to intervene in this matter with respect to the petition for leave to commence an original action, and if that petition is granted, on the merits of the action.

Also on October 2, 2020, the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit certified a question of law to this case regarding whether the Legislature has the authority to represent the State of Wisconsin's interest in the validity of state laws. On October 2, 2020, this court granted the certification. On October 6, 2020, this court answered the certified question. Democratic Nat'l Committee v. Bostelmann, 2020 WI 80, \_\_\_ Wis. 2d \_\_\_, \_\_\_ N.W.2d \_\_\_. Accordingly,

Page 2

October 6, 2020

No. 2020AP1629-OA      Wis. State Leg. v. Democratic Nat'l Comm.

IT IS ORDERED that the petition for leave to commence an original action and the emergency motion for a declaratory judgment are denied; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to intervene is denied as moot.

---

---

Sheila T. Reiff  
Clerk of Supreme Court