



## OFFICE OF THE CLERK

**Supreme Court of Wisconsin**

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WI 53701-1688

TELEPHONE (608) 266-1880

FACSIMILE (608) 267-0640

Web Site: [www.wicourts.gov](http://www.wicourts.gov)

January 27, 2021

**To:**

Hon. Michael O. Bohren  
Circuit Court Judge  
Waukesha County Courthouse  
515 W. Moreland Blvd.  
Waukesha, WI 53188

Monica Paz  
Clerk of Circuit Court  
Waukesha County Courthouse  
515 W. Moreland Blvd.  
Waukesha, WI 53188

Katherine Desmond Lloyd  
Assistant Attorney General  
P.O. Box 7857  
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Susan Lee Opper  
District Attorney  
515 W. Moreland Blvd., Rm. G-72  
Waukesha, WI 53188-2486

Matthew S. Pinix  
Pinix & Soukup, LLC  
1200 E. Capitol Dr., Ste. 360  
Milwaukee, WI 53211

Marsha L. Levick  
Juvenile Law Center  
1315 Walnut St., 4th Fl.  
Philadelphia, PA 19107

Randall E. Paulson  
Paulson Law Office  
2266 N. Prospect Ave., Ste. 450  
Milwaukee, WI 53202-6333

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following order:

---

No. 2018AP1897-CR      State v. Geysler L.C.#2014CF596

A petition for review pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 808.10 having been filed on behalf of defendant-appellant-petitioner, Morgan E. Geysler and a response having been filed on behalf of plaintiff-respondent, State of Wisconsin, and considered by this court;

IT IS ORDERED that the petition for review is denied, without costs.

BRIAN HAGEDORN, J., did not participate.

Page 2

January 27, 2021

No. 2018AP1897-CR

State v. Geysler L.C.#2014CF596

REBECCA FRANK DALLET, J. (*dissenting*). I would grant this petition for review for two reasons. First, this case raises a significant question of whether, because of her young age, mental state, and lack of parental support, the defendant's waiver of her Miranda<sup>1</sup> rights was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary. Second, as signaled by the court of appeals in footnote 8 of its opinion, the bench, bar, and public would benefit from this court's clear and definitive declaration of the appropriate standard to apply to the harmless-error analysis when a defendant has entered a plea. For these reasons, I dissent to the denial of the petition for review.

I am authorized to state that Justice ANN WALSH BRADLEY joins this dissent.

---

---

Sheila T. Reiff  
Clerk of Supreme Court

---

<sup>1</sup> Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966).