

**FAX**

**RECEIVED**

APR 23 2021

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
OF WISCONSIN

Anthony R Salin  
P.O. Box 631  
Saint Cloud, MN 56302  
Ph: (320) 423-9778  
Fx: (320) 855-6422  
arsalin2020@outlook.com

**TO:**

Name: Court of Appeals

Fax Number: (608) 267-0640

# of Pages: 5

(including cover sheet)

**FROM:**

Name: Anthony R Salin

Fax Number: (320) 413-8445

**Subject:** 2021AP48 & 2021AP620

**Message:**

Appellant Requests Petition for Supreme Court Review due to Orders being filed secondary to Ex Parte Communications, Deputies absence upon question, and Respondent's negligence to Court of Appeals Order directing him to inform all of us as to how he wants to be served in this action. The deadline was April 19th, 2021. Today is April 23rd, 2021. Here, we need to analyze 5th Amendment Due Process issues not only in the Court of Appeals but also concerning evidence of Insufficient Processing of Service in the initiating documents housed by Dunn County Court and also possessed by the Appellant and Respondent.

Please call (320) 423-9778 or fax a one page confirmation to (320) 413-8445 as validation of this fascimile sent by Anthony R Salin via HumbleFax.com. If you receive this message in error than call Anthony R Salin to report the errant message and shred the documents received via HumbleFax.com.

Anthony Ray Salin  
P.O. Box 631  
Saint Cloud, Minnesota 56302  
Ph: (320) 423-9778  
Fx: (320) 413-8445

**RECEIVED**

APR 23 2021

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
OF WISCONSIN

Wisconsin Supreme Court & Court of Appeals  
Office of the Clerk  
110 East Main Street, Suite 215  
P.O. Box 1688  
Madison, WI 53701-1688

April 23, 2021

RE: Appellant Anthony Ray Salin's Request for Petition of Supreme Court Review of Attached Court of Appeal Orders Secondary to Ex Parte Communications Partially Due to an Absent Deputy In Question of Communication and the Respondent's Negligence to Obey Court of Appeals Order Concerning Service Questions || 2021AP48 and 2021AP620

Appellant, Anthony Ray Salin, would like to respectfully request a Petition for Supreme Court Review of the recent orders and due to the Respondent's disobedience to an Order providing that he inform the Court of Appeals and Appellant with directions on how to have him served in these actions. The attached orders were issued secondary to ex parte communication and due to two factors. 1. The Respondent delaying to inform the Court of Appeals as to how he wants to be served. The respondent had until April 19th, 2021 to inform the Court of Appeals as to how he wanted to be served. No response was received per a phone conversation with the Court of Appeals on April 22nd, 2021. 2. Appellant was directed to write the letter by a Court of Appeals personelle after communicating with a Deputy Clerk of Courts from a Wisconsin district other than the Dunn County and due to that Deputy's absence on the day of the call. The Deputy was absent during the yesterday's call as well.

Ex Parte Communication occurs when a part to a case, or someone involved with a party talks or writes to or otherwise communicates directly with the judge about the issue in the case without the parties' knowledge. "Ex Parte" is a Latin phrase meaning "on one side only; by or for one party." This issue occurred due to advice from a Deputy from a district absent of the knowledge concerning this matter. Furthermore, the respondent in this action is obviously disobedient. The Respondent in this action is the Appellant's son and this action is brought to the attention of the Court of Appeals due to the Respondent's "oppositional defiance" towards the Appellant and per the "undue influence" of his mother being the Appellant's ex-fiancee. I would like to point out that the Respondent lacks obedience not only to his father being this Appellant but also to the Chief Judge overseeing these Appeals as he has not followed the order to inform the Court of Appeals and Appellant as to how he wants to be served. This brings to

light the ongoing Fifth Amendment Due Process violations and for where nobody is to be compelled by a prosecutor or anybody working under the color of law including the court to place themselves in jeopardy and/or incriminate themselves without due process of law.

Today is April 23rd, 2021 and four days past the Respondent's deadline to communicate how he would like to be served per the Wisconsin Court of Appeals Order and his negligence has placed the Court of Appeals, Dunn County Court, and this Appellant in jeopardy of engaging into Ex Parte Communications. Here, I request a Petition for Supreme Court Review of the attached orders and request an extension along with the opportunity to provide the Court of Appeals with a new Affidavit to Proceed without Paying Court Costs and Fees due to a recent change in production. The pay stub indicating a decrease in hours will not be available until April 30th, 2021. In closing I affirm that the actions taken against me and for where I am appealing point out to a protection scam secondary to Fifth Amendment Due Process violations.

Appellant is running out of ink and toner. Appellant will provide an unsigned copy to the Court of Appeals via Fax and a signed copy of this document with partial redactions due to toner issues. Left and Right Margins provide for data integrity concerning this document in a signed fashion but having white lines redacting some of the information in the center of this document in print. The unsigned document is clear and concise and the signing is for authentication.

Respectfully Submitted,

Anthony Ray Salin  
P.O. Box 631  
Saint Cloud, Minnesota 56302  
Ph: (320) 423-9778  
Fx: (320) 413-8445

**Anthony Ray Salin**  
**P.O. Box 631**  
**Saint Cloud, MN 56302**  
**Ph: (320) 423-9778**  
**Fx: (320) 413-8445**

**RECEIVED**

**APR 23 2021**

**April 13th, 2021**

**Wisconsin Court of Appeals**  
**Office of the Clerk**  
**110 E. Main Street, Suite 215**  
**P.O. Box 1688**  
**Madison, WI 53701-1688**  
**Ph: (608) 266-1880**  
**Fx: (608) 267-0640**

**CLERK OF SUPREME COURT**  
**OF WISCONSIN**

**RE: Request for Stay of Appeals, 2021AP48 Devin E. Leary v. Anthony R. Slain (L.C. # 2020CV209) and 2021AP620 Devin E. Leary v. Anthony R. Salin (L.C. # 2020CV209).**

Wisconsin Court of Appeals,

Please find attached a letter to United States District Court, District of Minnesota; Magistrate Judge Leo I. Brisbois explaining the undue hardship of multiple civil matters proceeding at the same time and a history of said pattern. Please note that this argument is elaborated upon the evidence filed by the Petitioner Devin E. Leary in Dunn County and appears to reflect upon the record as an initiating argument that lead up to the Petitioner's action against me and per the undue influence of his mother Sue E. Leary. Here, I have consulted with a lawyer at Taulman Law Office after reaching out to several Attorney's and one having advised me to consult with Taulman Law Office.

The Lawyer I paid \$250.00 to consult with about these overlapping issues advised that this appeal be filed for analysis. There are obvious complexities surrounding these appeals and would like to request for a stay of appeals pending further legal consultation and possibly retaining a legal expert to oversee this matter. I am requesting a 120 day to 180 day Stay of Appeals with regards to the vicissitudes outlined in the attached letter to United States District Court and District of Minnesota. Here, I reached out to the Office of the Clerk Wisconsin Court of Appeals via telephone yesterday afternoon. I was advised to simply write a letter to the Wisconsin Court of Appeals requesting for the Stay of Appeals. I was advised to provide only the Wisconsin Court of Appeals with this written request.

Respectfully Submitted,

Anthony R. Salin