

# FAX

## RECEIVED

APR 23 2021

Anthony R Salin  
P.O. Box 631  
Saint Cloud, MN 56302  
Ph: (320) 423-9778  
Fx: (320) 855-6422  
arsalin2020@outlook.com

**TO:**

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
OF WISCONSIN

Name: Court of Appeals

Fax Number: (608) 267-0640

# of Pages: 14  
(including cover sheet)

**FROM:**

Name: Anthony R Salin

Fax Number: (320) 413-8445

**Subject:** 2021AP48 and 2021AP620

**Message:**

Appellant Anthony Ray Salin submitted the last fax in error and requests that it be shredded. Appellant's intended fax is as follows with a copy of the five page error recently faxed to the Court of Appeals and due to a file folder omission in connection to the service provider being Humble Fax. Appellant apologizes for the oversight.

Please call (320) 423-9778 or fax a one page confirmation to (320) 855-6422 as validation of this fascimile sent by Anthony R Salin via HumbleFax.com. If you receive this message in error than call Anthony R Salin to report the errant message and shred the documents received via HumbleFax.com.

Anthony Ray Salin  
P.O. Box 631  
Saint Cloud, Minnesota 56302  
Ph: (320) 423-9778  
Fx: (320) 413-8445

**RECEIVED**

APR 23 2021

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
OF WISCONSIN

Wisconsin Supreme Court & Court of Appeals  
Office of the Clerk  
110 East Main Street, Suite 215  
P.O. Box 1688  
Madison, WI 53701-1688

April 23, 2021

RE: Appellant Anthony Ray Salin's Request for Petition of Supreme Court Review of Attached Court of Appeal Orders Secondary to Ex Parte Communications Partially Due to an Absent Deputy In Question of Communication and the Respondent's Negligence to Obey Court of Appeals Order Concerning Service Questions || 2021AP48 and 2021AP620

Appellant, Anthony Ray Salin, would like to respectfully request a Petition for Supreme Court Review of the recent orders and due to the Respondent's disobedience to an Order providing that he inform the Court of Appeals and Appellant with directions on how to have him served in these actions. The attached orders were issued secondary to ex parte communication and due to two factors. 1. The Respondent delaying to inform the Court of Appeals as to how he wants to be served. The respondent had until April 19th, 2021 to inform the Court of Appeals as to how he wanted to be served. No response was received per a phone conversation with the Court of Appeals on April 22nd, 2021. 2. Appellant was directed to write the letter by a Court of Appeals personelle after communicating with a Deputy Clerk of Courts from a Wisconsin district other than the Dunn County and due to that Deputy's absence on the day of the call. The Deputy was absent during the yesterday's call as well.

Ex Parte Communication occurs when a part to a case, or someone involved with a party talks or writes to or otherwise communicates directly with the judge about the issue in the case without the parties' knowledge. "Ex Parte" is a Latin phrase meaning "on one side only; by or for one party." This issue occurred due to advice from a Deputy from a district absent of the knowledge concerning this matter. Furthermore, the respondent in this action is obviously disobedient. The Respondent in this action is the Appellant's son and this action is brought to the attention of the Court of Appeals due to the Respondent's "oppositional defiance" towards the Appellant and per the "undue influence" of his mother being the Appellant's ex-fiancee. I would like to point out that the Respondent lacks obedience not only to his father being this Appellant but also to the Chief Judge overseeing these Appeals as he has not followed the order to inform the Court of Appeals and Appellant as to how he wants to be served. This brings to

light the ongoing Fifth Amendment Due Process violations and for where nobody is to be compelled by a prosecutor or anybody working under the color of law including the court to place themselves in jeopardy and/or incriminate themselves without due process of law.

Today is April 23rd, 2021 and four days past the Respondent's deadline to communicate how he would like to be served per the Wisconsin Court of Appeals Order and his negligence has placed the Court of Appeals, Dunn County Court, and this Appellant in jeopardy of engaging into Ex Parte Communications. Here, I request a Petition for Supreme Court Review of the attached orders and request an extension along with the opportunity to provide the Court of Appeals with a new Affidavit to Proceed without Paying Court Costs and Fees due to a recent change in production. The pay stub indicating a decrease in hours will not be available until April 30th, 2021. In closing I affirm that the actions taken against me and for where I am appealing point out to a protection scam secondary to Fifth Amendment Due Process violations.

Appellant is running out of ink and toner. Appellant will provide an unsigned copy to the Court of Appeals via Fax and a signed copy of this document with partial redactions due to toner issues. Left and Right Margins provide for data integrity concerning this document in a signed fashion but having white lines redacting some of the information in the center of this document in print. The unsigned document is clear and concise and the signing is for authentication.

Respectfully Submitted,

Anthony Ray Salin  
P.O. Box 631  
Saint Cloud, Minnesota 56302  
Ph: (320) 423-9778  
Fx: (320) 413-8445

**RECEIVED**

**APR 23 2021**

Anthony Ray Salin  
P.O. Box 631  
Saint Cloud, Minnesota 56302  
Ph: (320) 323-9778  
Fax: (320) 323-8445

**CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
OF WISCONSIN**

Wisconsin Supreme Court & Court of Appeals  
Office of the Clerk  
110 East Main Street, Suite 215  
P.O. Box 1688  
Madison, WI 53701-1688

April 23, 2021

**RE: Appellant Anthony Ray Salin's Request for Court of Appeal Orders Secondary to Ex Parte Deputy In Question of Communication and the Appeals Order Concerning Service Questions |** **Petition of Supreme Court Review of Attached Communications Partially Due to an Absent Respondent's Negligence to Obey Court of** **2021AP48 and 2021AP620**

Appellant, Anthony Ray Salin, would like to respectfully request a Petition for Supreme Court Review of the recent orders and directions providing that he inform the Court of Appeals in these actions. The attached orders were served in these actions. The attached orders were issued secondary to ex parte communication and due to two factors. 1. The Respondent wants to be served. The respondent had no idea how he wanted to be served. No response was received from the Court of Appeals on April 22nd, 2021. 2. The Court of Appeals personelle after communicating with other than the Dunn County and due to the Deputy was absent during the yesterday.

I respectfully request a Petition for Supreme Court Review of the recent orders and directions providing that he inform the Court of Appeals in these actions. The attached orders were issued secondary to ex parte communication and due to two factors. 1. The Respondent wants to be served. The respondent had no idea how he wanted to be served. No response was received from the Court of Appeals on April 22nd, 2021. 2. The Court of Appeals personelle after communicating with other than the Dunn County and due to the Deputy was absent during the yesterday.

Ex Parte Communication occurs when one party talks or writes to or otherwise communicates with the judge about the issue in the case without the parties' knowledge or consent by or for one party." This issue occurred because the knowledge concerning this matter. The Respondent is disobedient. The Respondent in this action brought to the attention of the Court of Appeals during the Appellant and possible "undue influence" would like to point out that the Respondent Appellant but also to the Chief Judge over

to a case, or someone involved with a party directly with the judge about the issue in the case without the parties' knowledge or consent from a Deputy from a district absent of the respondent in this action is obviously the Appellant's son and this action is brought to the Respondent's "oppositional defiance" towards the matter being the Appellant's ex-fiancee. I would like to point out that the Respondent Appellate as he has not followed the order

to inform the Court of Appeals and Appellate Court of the ongoing Fifth Amendment Due Process violations and for where nobody is to be compelled by a prosecutor or anybody who is not under the color of law including the court to place themselves in jeopardy and/or incriminate themselves without due process of law.

show he wants to be served. This brings to attention the color of law including the court to place themselves without due process of law.


Today is April 23rd, 2021 and following the Court of Appeals Order and his negligence in placing the Court of Appeals, Dunn County into Ex Parte Communications. Here, I request an extension of time to provide the Court of Appeals with a new Affidavit to Proceed in production. The pay stub indicates that the point out to a potential claim secondary to the Respondent's deadline to communicate with the Court of Appeals Order and his negligence in placing this Appellant in jeopardy of engaging in a petition for Supreme Court Review of the Court Costs and Fees due to a recent change in hours will not be available until April 30th, 2021. In closing, I am that the Respondent's negligence in providing an unsigned copy to the Court of Appeals via fax and a signed copy of the issues. Left and Right margins provide information in the center of this document in print. The unsigned document is clear and legible.

of the Respondent's deadline to communicate with the Court of Appeals Order and his negligence in placing this Appellant in jeopardy of engaging in a petition for Supreme Court Review of the Court Costs and Fees due to a recent change in hours will not be available until April 30th, 2021. In closing, I am that the Respondent's negligence in providing an unsigned copy to the Court of Appeals via fax and a signed copy of the issues. Left and Right margins provide information in the center of this document in print. The unsigned document is clear and legible.

Appellant is running out of ink and toner on the Court of Appeals via fax and a signed copy of the issues. Left and Right margins provide information in the center of this document in print. The unsigned document is clear and legible.

provide an unsigned copy to the Court of Appeals via fax and a signed copy of the issues. Left and Right margins provide information in the center of this document in print. The unsigned document is clear and legible.

Respectfully Submitted,



Anthony Ray Salis  
P.O. Box 631  
Saint Cloud, Minnesota 56302  
Ph: (320) 423-9778  
Fx: (320) 413-8119



OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
**WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS**

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215  
P.O. BOX 1688  
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880  
TTY: (800) 947-3529  
Facsimile (608) 267-0640  
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

**DISTRICT III**

FILED

04-14-2021

CLERK OF WISCONSIN  
COURT OF APPEALS

April 14, 2021

To:

Hon. James M. Peterson  
Circuit Court Judge  
615 Stokke Pkwy.  
Menomonie, WI 54751

Devin E. Leary

Anthony R. Salin

Katie Schalley  
Clerk of Circuit Court  
Dunn County Judicial Center  
615 Stokke Parkway, Suite 1500  
Menomonie, WI 54751

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following order:

---

2021AP48	Devin E. Leary v. Anthony R. Salin (L.C. # 2020CV209)
2021AP620	Devin E. Leary v. Anthony R. Salin (L.C. # 2020CV209)

Before Stark, P.J.

Anthony Salin, pro se, has filed a motion to stay his appeals in these matters for four-to-six months, to give him an opportunity to consult and possibly retain counsel. As an initial matter, Salin's appeal in case No. 2021AP48 is presently on hold pending resolution of his transcript appeal in case No. 2021AP620. His stay request as it relates to case No. 2021AP48 will therefore be denied as unnecessary. With respect to case No. 2021AP620, good cause has not been shown for Salin's request. On our own motion, however, we will grant Salin a brief extension of the time to file the statement on transcript in case No. 2021AP620.

Upon the foregoing,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to stay these appeals is denied; however, appeal No. 2021AP48 remains on hold pending resolution of appeal No. 2021AP620.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time for filing a statement on transcript in appeal No. 2021AP620 is extended to May 3, 2021.

---

*Sheila T. Reiff*  
Clerk of Court of Appeals



Sheila T. Reiff  
Clerk

# WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

## OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. Main Street, Suite 215

P.O. Box 1688

Madison, WI 53701-1688

Telephone: 608-266-1880

TTY: 800-947-3529

Fax: 608-267-0640

<http://www.wicourts.gov>

To:

Devin E. Leary

Anthony R. Salin

Katie Schalley  
Clerk of Circuit Court  
Dunn County Judicial Center  
615 Stokke Parkway, Suite 1500  
Menomonie, WI 54751

**The court has entered the following order:**

**District: 3**

**Appeal No. 2021AP000620**

Devin E. Leary v. Anthony R. Salin

April 14, 2021

**Circuit Court Case No. 2020CV000209**

A petition for waiver of fees has been filed in the above matter. The petition does not establish that the movant cannot maintain the costs of prosecuting this action.

**IT IS ORDERED** that the movant shall pay the \$195.00 filing fee within five days of this order or the action will be dismissed under Rule 809.83.

Sheila T. Reiff  
Clerk of Court of Appeals

INVOICE NO. 19787



Sheila T. Reiff  
Clerk

**WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS**  
**OFFICE OF THE CLERK**  
110 E. Main Street, Suite 215  
P.O. Box 1688  
Madison, WI 53701-1688

Telephone: 608-266-1880  
TTY: 800-947-3529  
Fax: 608-267-0640  
<http://www.wicourts.gov>

04-14-2021

ANTHONY R. SALIN  
P.O. BOX 631  
SAINT CLOUD, MN 56302

	Cost	Units	Amount Total
2021AP000620 - Devin E. Leary v. Anthony R. Salin			
NAPFF-Notice of Appeal and Docket Entries Filing Fee	\$195.00	1	\$195.00
		Total	\$195.00
		Paid to Date	\$0.00
		Balance Due	\$195.00

**Comments:** Please remit payment immediately pursuant to the enclosed court order.

**Payment History**

Receipt Number	Date	Amt	Check No.	Payor
----------------	------	-----	-----------	-------

**KEEP FOR YOUR RECORDS**  
**MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO: CLERK OF COURT OF APPEALS**  
Fees can be paid online: <https://www.wicourts.gov/services/payment/paymentac.htm>

Charges are pursuant to Wis. Stats §809.25(2), Rules of Appellate Procedure.

INVOICE NO. 19787



Sheila T. Reiff  
Clerk

# WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS

## OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. Main Street, Suite 215

P.O. Box 1688

Madison, WI 53701-1688

Telephone: 608-266-1880

TTY: 800-947-3529

Fax: 608-267-0640

<http://www.wicourts.gov>

04-14-2021

ANTHONY R. SALIN  
P.O. BOX 631  
SAINT CLOUD, MN 56302

	Cost	Units	Amount Total
2021AP000620 - Devin E. Leary v. Anthony R. Salin			
NAPFF-Notice of Appeal and Docket Entries Filing Fee	\$195.00	1	\$195.00
		Total	\$195.00
		Paid to Date	\$0.00
		Balance Due	\$195.00

**Comments:** Please remit payment immediately pursuant to the enclosed court order.

### Payment History

Receipt Number	Date	Amt	Check No.	Payor

### RETURN WITH REMITTANCE

**MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO: CLERK OF COURT OF APPEALS**

Fees can be paid online: <https://www.wicourts.gov/services/payment/paymentac.htm>

Charges are pursuant to Wis. Stats §809.25(2),  
Rules of Appellate Procedure.