

JORGE MEDINA PATINO #668526 NLCT P.O. BOX 2000 NEW LISBON WI 53950-2000

DATE: JULY 1 2021 APPEAL NO. 2019AP001747CRNH

FILED

CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. 2017CF001004

JUL 06 2021

PETITION FOR REVIEW 5.809.62 SUPREME COURT.

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
OF WISCONSIN

MEDINA PATINO JORGE (MEDINA) PRO SE PURSUANT 5.809.14 AND 809.62 RECONSIDERATION OF DECISION DATED JUNE 8, 2021 COURT OF APPEALS. MEDINA DOESN'T READ, WRITE NOR SPEAK ENGLISH, IS GETTING AID TO FILE HIS PETITION. ON JUNE 16, 2021, MEDINA ASKED THIS COURT FOR AN EXTENSION DUE TO MULTIPLE OF BARRIERS HE IS FACING INCLUDING LANGUAGE. MEDINA CLAIMS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTENCE OF COUNSEL ATTORNEY - CLIENT PRIVILEGE FAILURE OF STRICKLAND V. WASHINGTON, MEDINA THROUGH RULE 809.32 (1) SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT APPEAL AND AFFIDAVIT. SUBMITTED, WAS CLEAR OF HIS CONCERNS REGARDING HIS DEFENSE MAINLY ILLEGAL ARREST MADE BY GREEN BAY POLICE OFFICER # 618 HR TRIMBERGER ROHAN WHO WRONGLY PERFORMED MEDINA RIGHTS WITHOUT ... INTERPRETER AND FALSIFYING / FORGERY MEDINA'S SIGNATURE THAT LEADS TO HIS ARREST, SEE EXHIBIT #1 ENCLOSED. ON JULY 1ST 2020 MEDINA CONTACTED HIS COUNSEL AND COURT OF APPEALS TO NOTIFY THIS ISSUE. HIS ATTORNEY MR. SCZYGELSKI ON HIS REPLY ADMITTED "THERE ARE THREE DIFFERENT SETS OF HANDWRITING ON THAT FORM..." MEDINA BELIEVES THAT HIS 6TH AMENDMENT CONSTITUTIONAL HAS BEEN VIOLATED, HE SHOULDN'T BEEN TAKEN INTO CUSTODY IF OFFICER TRIMBERGER HOUID HAVE HAD CERTIFIED INTERPRETER TO EXPLAIN HIS RIGHTS PROPERLY.

ON 1-17-18 BROWN COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT THROUGH AN "ORDER TO DISCLOSE SCHOOL RECORDS" (BOLDED) "ALL MF'S RECORDS, SPECIFICALLY DISCIPLINARY RECORDS MAINTAINED BY ALL SCHOOL, MF. HAS ATTENDED." MEDINA'S COUNSEL FAILED TO OBTAIN THIS CRUCIAL INFORMATION, MF CONSTANTLY MISBEHAVED AT SCHOOL SPECIALLY LYING TO INVOLVE PEOPLE IN PROBLEMS, SAME AT HOME. THE PROSECUTOR WAS AWARE THAT MF WAS A TROUBLE-MAKER WHO ENJOYS TO PUT ANYONE AT RISK, LONG HISTORY OF BEING A LIAR AND WAS WILLING TO DO ANY THING IN ORDER TO KICK MEDINA OUT OF HOME. HER'S MOM PLANS WERE THE SAME AS MF'S, THEY KNEW THAT IF MEDINA WAS AWAY FROM THEM ALL MEDINA'S PROPERTY WAS GOING TO BE THEIRS, HE KNEW ABOUT THEIR PLANS OF BEING REPLACED AS THE HEAD OF HIS HOUSEHOLD, HE STATED UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY ON HIS AFFIDAVIT SWORN ON 1-25, 2020. MEDINA TOLD HIS COUNSEL TO BRING WITNESSES ON HIS BEHALF AND WANTED TO TALK WHO WERE THEY, HOWEVER HIS COUNSEL PROHIBITED HIM TO SAY IT IN TRIAL. MEDINA LEARNED THAT MF AND HER MOTHER WERE COACHED BY THE PROSECUTOR MAKING EQUALLY STATEMENT, THEIR PLAN WORKED FOR THEM PERFECTLY. NOTE ON PAGE 7 NO-HERIT BRIEF MF TESTIFIED FOUR INCIDENTS. ATTORNEY MR. PAPPAS'S QUESTIONING ON PAGE # 15, QUESTIONS # 2 AND # 3 WHERE MR. PAPPAS ASKS IF SHE REMEMBERS THE FOUR INCIDENTS AND IF SHE HAD BEEN PREPARED TO TESTIFYING, SHE ANSWERED "I DON'T KNOW" MR. PAPPAS ASKS AGAIN "DID YOU PREPARE?" MF: I WOULDN'T REALLY SAY LIKE PREPARE PREPARE, LIKE WE KIND OF TALKED ABOUT WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN AND HOW IT WAS GOING TO BE BUT... MEDINA CONVICTION WAS BASED ON INCORRECT INFORMATION VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS, MF WAS MANIPULATED BY

STAFF OF WILLOW TREE AND PROSECUTION, FALSE TESTIMONY. SEE STATE V. BOLSTAD 2013AP2139 July 17, 2014; MF⁰⁰⁰ GAVE FALSE TESTIMONY DURING TRIAL, CLEARLY THE PROSECUTOR RELIED ON THAT MUTUALLY CORROBORATION TESTIMONY TO PERSUADE THE JURY⁰⁰⁰ TESTIMONY WAS UNWORTHY OF BELIEF⁰⁰⁰ THE REAL CONTROVERSY WAS NOT FULLY TRIED. MIRANDA WARNINGS FAILURE PAGE 17 OFFICER TRIMBERGER: "WELL, I DIDN'T USE LANGUAGE LIKE UNTIL I HAD HIM MIRANDIZED IN MY SQUAD CAR⁰⁰⁰ I ELECTED TO TERMINATE THE INTERVIEW" COUNSEL MADE ERRORS SO SERIOUS THAT WAS NOT FUNCTIONING AS THE COUNSEL BY SIXTH AMENDMENT. INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE WAS ON A FAILURE TO INVESTIGATE AND OBTAIN MF'S RECORDS, HER MENTAL DISORDER INDUCED HER TO LIE CONSTANTLY, MF LIED OFFICER TRIMBERGER PREVIOUS TAKEN MEDINA INTO CUSTODY. ARTICLE I OF THE WISCONSIN CONSTITUTION, SEC 7: IN ALL CRIMINAL CASES AND PROSECUTIONS, THE DEFENDANT NEEDS TO BE INFORMED OF THE NATURE AND CAUSE OF THE ACCUSATION, AND HAVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL FOR HIS DEFENSE. THE TRIAL COURT RELIED ON INACCURATE INFORMATION SENTENCED ON THE BASIS OF TRUE INFORMATION, STATE V. TIEPELMAN, 2006 WI 66.

CONCLUSION

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS JORGE MEDINA PATINO RESPECTFULLY ASKS THIS COURT FOR A NEW TRIAL BASED ON ACCURATE AND TRUE INFORMATION. SINCERELY JORGE MEDINA PATINO

668526

NLCI P.O. BOX 2000

NEW LISBON WI. 53950-2000



GREEN BAY POLICE DEPARTMENT Green Bay, Wisconsin

MIRANDA RIGHTS

You have the right to remain silent *(RT)*

Anything you say can and will be used against you in a court of law *(RT)*

You have the right to consult with a lawyer before questioning and to have a lawyer present with you during questioning *(RT)*

If you cannot afford to hire a lawyer, one will be appointed to represent you at public expense before or during any questioning, if you do wish. *(RT)*

If you decide to answer questions now without a lawyer present, you have the right to stop the questioning and remain silent at any time you wish, including during questioning. *(RT)*

WAIVER

Do you understand each of these rights?
SI

Realizing that you have these rights, are you now willing to answer questions or make a statement?
SI SENOR

Case#: 17-207724

Read to: JORGE MEDINA - PATINO

Read by: R TRIMBERGER / LANGUAGE LINE

Date/Time: 07-09-17 / 2143

Exhibit # 1

JORGE MEDINA PATIDO # 668526
NEW LISBON CORRECTIONAL INST.
P.O. BOX 2000
NEW LISBON WI 53950-2000

MILWAUKEE WI 530
2 JUL 2021 PM 1 FOLYER
U.S.



SUPREME COURT OF WISCONSIN
110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WI 53701-1688

53701-16888



© USPS 2016

MEDINA - PATIDO # 668526

THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLED PAPER AND MEETS THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE REQUIREMENT

