

## OFFICE OF THE CLERK



## Supreme Court of Wisconsin

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215  
P.O. BOX 1688  
MADISON, WI 53701-1688

TELEPHONE (608) 266-1880  
FACSIMILE (608) 267-0640  
Web Site: [www.wicourts.gov](http://www.wicourts.gov)

May 8, 2018

To:

Jason S. Jankowski  
W325 N6880 North Lake Drive  
Harland, WI 53029

Heidi Johnson  
Office of Lawyer Regulation  
110 E. Main Street, Ste. 315  
Madison, WI 53703

Keith Sellen  
Office of Lawyer Regulation  
110 E. Main St., Ste. 315  
Madison, WI 53703

State Bar of Wisconsin  
P.O. Box 7158  
Madison, WI 53707-7158

Jacquelynn Rothstein  
Board of Bar Examiners  
P.O. Box 2748  
Madison, WI 53701-2748

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following order:

---

No. 2018XX342-BA      Board of Bar Examiners v. J.S.J.

On October 31, 2014, Attorney Jason S. Jankowski executed a Consent Agreement for Conditional Admission and, based on that consent agreement, was conditionally admitted to practice law in Wisconsin on December 15, 2014. Attorney Jankowski's license to practice law was expressly conditioned upon his compliance with certain requirements for a period of three years from the date of his admission to practice. See SCR 40.075(4).

On March 8, 2018, the Board of Bar Examiners (BBE) filed a notice of motion, motion and supporting affidavit informing this court that the BBE voted unanimously to revoke Attorney Jankowski's conditional admission agreement because of his repeated failure to abide by its terms. SCR 40.075(7). On March 14, 2018, this court ordered Attorney Jankowski to show

Page Two  
May 8, 2018  
No. 2018XX342-BA      Board of Bar Examiners v. J.S.J.

cause, in writing, why the BBE's motion should not be granted.<sup>1</sup> Attorney Jankowski did not respond to this court's order.

The court has reviewed the materials submitted in this matter and agrees with the BBE's determination that Attorney Jankowski is not in compliance with the terms of his conditional admission. If a conditionally admitted lawyer fails to fulfill the terms of a conditional admission agreement, that failure may result in a modification, extension, or revocation of the agreement or such other action as may be appropriate, including notice to the Office of Lawyer Regulation. SCR 40.075(7). Here, the BBE voted unanimously to revoke Attorney Jankowski's conditional admission agreement.

Attorney Jankowski's failure to comply with the terms of his conditional admission warrants revocation of the Consent Agreement for Conditional Admission. Therefore, Attorney Jankowski is no longer licensed to practice law in Wisconsin.<sup>2</sup>

IT IS ORDERED that the Board of Bar Examiner's motion is granted. Attorney Jason S. Jankowski's license to practice law in Wisconsin is revoked, pursuant to SCR 40.075(7m), for failure to fulfill the terms of the conditional admission agreement. Attorney Jankowski is no longer licensed to practice law in Wisconsin, effective May 8, 2018;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Jankowski shall comply with the requirements of SCR 22.26 relating to license revocation; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, with the exception of this order, all filings in this matter shall remain confidential and will be retained under seal until further order of the court.

---

Sheila T. Reiff  
Clerk of Supreme Court

<sup>1</sup> Our order directed that all filings in this matter, including the name of the respondent, should remain confidential until further order of the court, consistent with SCR 40.075(9). The order advised Attorney Jankowski, however, that if the BBE's motion was granted, the ensuing order would be public and would be disclosed to the State Bar of Wisconsin. SCR 40.075(9)(h). The order warned Attorney Jankowski that upon this court's revocation of conditional admission, the conditionally admitted lawyer ceases to be licensed to practice law in Wisconsin.

<sup>2</sup> The status of Attorney Jankowski's license will be noted on the State Bar website as "Revoked." We note that Attorney Jankowski's revocation is not the result of an attorney disciplinary proceeding but due to noncompliance with the terms of his conditional admission.