

Pl 14-1184

(Notice of an appeal & Post conviction Relief)

In pertainment of an post conviction relief, I Mr. Perkins case No. ~~2012 ML 2010110~~ (2012 ML 2010110) 2012 CF 2458) is currently filing this appeal to the supreme court on the following grounds:

- 1) The violation of s. 972 and s. 906.09-, upon recieving my court transcripts you will have a better knowledge of why.
- 2) My conviction was proclaimed off of hearsay, which to my understanding and perspective is admissable for a trial to find you/defendant guilty in the court of law.
- 3) A mistrial should've been declared under the general fact that s. 906.12 was violated due to cohearsment.

This is just a brief writ that I took upon my-self to file to the supreme court of appeals. I am currently indigent and is asking to be granted another fair trial. Due to my financial crisis I am unable to obtain a paid attorney. I'm in high hopes that this opportunity be permitted and hope to hear from some one soon. Thanks in advance -----

Anthony Montrell Perkins

RECEIVED

MAY 17 2018

CLERK OF COURT OF APPEALS
OF WISCONSIN

Lawyer

(1) Evidence of Character: Conduct of witness

(1.) Opinion and reputation evidence of character.

Credibility of witness may be attacked or supported by evidence in the form of reputation or opinion but subject to following limitations (A) may refer to truthfulness or untruthfulness - witness

(2) Specific instances of conduct, for the purpose of attacking or supporting a witness credibility other than a conviction of a crime. § 906.09. For this cause it may not be proved by extrinsic evidence but they may, however, subject to § 922. (A) if probative truthfulness or untruthfulness be inquired into on cross-examination of the witness or cross-examination of a witness who testifies to his or her character for truthfulness or untruthfulness.

(Show Attorney) § 906.12

~~Writing used to refresh memory~~ Writing used to refresh memory
If witness does so it can be used as admissible declaring a mistrial due to probability of concurrence.

State v Thompson — State v. Guzman

← (1) Counter on Violated Right...

906-13

Prior Statements of witnesses.

statement need not be shown of contents. Must be disclosed at that time.

(2)

Extrinsic evidence of prior inconsistent statement of witness is not admissible unless

(1)

The witness was so examined while testifying as to give the witness an opportunity to, explain or to deny the statement.

(2)

The witness has not been excused from giving further testimony in the action.

(3)

The interests of justice otherwise required.

(b)

Paragraph (A) does not apply to admissions of a party opponent as defined in s 908.01(4)(b)

I ANTHONY Montrell Perkins would like to Presue
Post conviction Relief, Base on I was wrongley
Convicted also had ineffectation counting
a credible witness, they also do not Prove
or have proper evidence Theye was inconsisted
State Cron witness, MP attorney Jst drop my
Case, during the appeal procc the who was
drop charge + convicted of the Robbery told
the D,A that I didn't have the knowledge of
What they was about to do, All he did was
Call my phone to ask who i was in the gas
station, because he notice my car was pull
and there.

From Anthony Montrell Perkins
Fox Lake Correctional Institution
P.O. Box 200 Bld - 104 Unit 9H
Fox Lake, WI, 53533 Doc #18573

510573

USPS 2016

THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT

THIS LETTER HAS BEEN
MAILED FROM THE
WISCONSIN PRISON SYSTEM



To: Wisconsin, Supreme, Court @ Court of Appeals
Office, of the Clerk
110 East Main Street, Suite 215
P.O. Box 1688
Madison, Wisconsin 53701 - 1688



FORNER
USA

Barn Swallow