



OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Supreme Court of Wisconsin

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WI 53701-1688

TELEPHONE (608) 266-1880
FACSIMILE (608) 267-0640
Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

June 11, 2008

To:

Hon. Dennis P. Moroney
Milwaukee County Circuit Court Judge
901 N. 9th St.
Milwaukee, WI 53233

John Barrett
Clerk of Circuit Court
821 W. State St., Room 114
Milwaukee, WI 53233

Sarah K. Larson
Assistant Attorney General
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857

Karen A. Loebel
Asst. District Attorney
821 W. State St.
Milwaukee, WI 53233

Aaron Antonio Allen 153702
Columbia Corr. Inst.
P.O. Box 900
Portage, WI 53901-0900

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following order:

No. 2007AP795

State v. Allen L.C.# 1995CF952095

A petition for review pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 808.10 having been filed on behalf of defendant-appellant-petitioner, Aaron Antonio Allen, and considered by this court, and the plaintiff-respondent, State of Wisconsin, having filed a response;

IT IS ORDERED that the plaintiff-respondent, State of Wisconsin, is directed to file a supplemental response to the petition for review on or before June 24, 2008, to include discussion of State v. Fortier, 2006 WI App 11, 289 Wis. 2d 179, 709 N.W.2d 893, State v. Tillman, 2005 WI App 71, 281 Wis. 2d 157, 696 N.W.2d 574, Page v. Frank, 343 F.3d 901 (7th Cir. 2003), with respect to the following issues:

1. Whether the no-merit procedure requires a defendant to file a response to avoid waiver of subsequent claims of error;

Page Two
June 11, 2008
No. 2007AP795

State v. Allen L.C.# 1995CF952095

2. Whether appointed counsel is required to advise that a response to a no-merit report is required if a defendant wants to preserve claims for further review; and
3. Whether to require a defendant to file a response to a no-merit report conflicts with a right to counsel on direct appeal.

David R. Schanker
Clerk of Supreme Court