

David A. Piehler
Supreme Court Referee
February 5, 2024

Mr. Samuel Christensen
Clerk of Supreme Court
110 E. Main St., #215
Madison, WI 53703

Re: OLR v. Steffen
Case No. 2023AP1511-D

RECEIVED

FEB 12 2024

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
OF WISCONSIN

Dear Mr. Christensen:

Enclosed is the Second Amended Scheduling Order issued today in this matter.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ electronically signed by David A. Piehler

David A. Piehler

DAP:Enc.

xc: Kim M. Kluck, Esq.
Daniel P. Steffen, Esq.

STATE OF WISCONSIN**IN SUPREME COURT**

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY
PROCEEDINGS AGAINST DANIEL P. STEFFEN,
ATTORNEY AT LAW.

CASE CODE: 30912

OFFICE OF LAWYER REGULATION,

CASE NO. 2023AP1511-D

Complainant;

FILED

DANIEL P. STEFFEN,

FEB 12 2024

Respondent.

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
OF WISCONSIN

SECOND AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER

A telephonic scheduling conference was held in this matter on February 5, 2024, pursuant to SCR 22.15. Attorney Kim M. Kluck appeared for the Complainant and Daniel P. Steffen appeared on his own behalf. Based on that conference, the following schedule is adopted:

1. The OLR shall file its list of witnesses by February 19, 2024.
2. The Respondent shall file his list of witnesses by February 26, 2024.
3. Any pre-hearing motions shall be filed in writing. OLR shall arrange for a telephonic conference to hear any such motions. The Respondent and the Referee shall be consulted in advance to determine a mutually acceptable date to hear the motions.
4. The parties have agreed that no evidence shall be introduced at hearing which contradicts either the jury's verdict or the stipulation of facts in this matter.
5. Pre-hearing telephonic conferences will not be recorded by a court reporter unless requested in writing by a party at least 5 days prior to the conference.
6. The parties have advised the referee that no discovery is anticipated in this case, and thus no discovery deadline is set. If either party decides to conduct discovery, that party shall immediately advise the referee so that a discovery deadline can be established.
7. On or before March 78, 2024, each party shall file with the Referee a complete marked copy of all exhibits to be used at the hearing. The exhibits shall be delivered to the referee on or before that date. The OLR's exhibits shall be marked beginning with Exhibit 1. The Respondent's exhibits shall be marked beginning with Exhibit 100. If possible, each party shall place their exhibits in a three-ring binder in the order in which they are to be introduced at hearing and provide a copy of that binder to both the Referee and the other party. Exhibit binders need not be filed with the Clerk of Supreme Court. The parties are encouraged to consult and attempt to agree on a joint set of exhibits, and if they do, those exhibits shall be marked beginning with Exhibit 1 and placed in one binder and provided to the Referee.

8. A Telephonic Status Conference will be held on March 14, 2024, at 9:30 a.m. OLR shall make the arrangements to connect all parties to the conference.
9. Hearing briefs are optional; any such briefs shall be filed no later than March 9, 2024. 0- = A schedule for post-hearing briefs will be established at the hearing.
10. Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, the referee finds that there is good cause under SCR 22.16(2) to hold the hearing in Marathon County. The hearing shall be held in Wausau at the Marathon County Courthouse on March 19, 2024, beginning at 10:00 a.m. The parties anticipate that the hearing will take one day or less.
11. The parties may alter this schedule by mutual agreement as to any deadlines other than the deadlines for submitting exhibits and trial briefs, the final Status Conference, and the hearing date itself. Any changes to the schedule shall be reported to the Referee in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court.
12. The parties are reminded of the following:
 - 12.1. Originals of all documents except exhibits shall be filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court by mail or personal delivery, with copies to the other party or party's counsel and the referee.
 - 12.2. Communications regarding scheduling and other routine administrative issues need not be filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court. All communications that deal with substantive issues shall be in writing, with the original filed with the clerk.

/s/electronically signed by David A. Piehler
David A. Piehler
Referee