



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
Supreme Court of Wisconsin

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WI 53701-1688

TELEPHONE (608) 266-1880

FACSIMILE (608) 267-0640

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

October 10, 2007

To:

Julie M. Falk
Office of Lawyer Regulation
110 E. Main Street, Ste. 315
Madison, WI 53703

Carol Kornstedt
Office of Lawyer Regulation
110 E. Main Street, Ste 315
Madison, WI 53703

Brian K. Polk
1651 Russet St.
Racine, WI 53405

Board of Bar Examiners
110 E. Main Street, Ste. 715
Madison, WI 53703

J. Kenyatta Riley
Eisenberg, Riley & Muwonge S.C.
2228 W. Wells St.
Milwaukee, WI 53233-1919

Hon. Dennis J. Flynn
Reserve Judge

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following order:

No. 2006AP3096-D

Brian K. Polk v. Office of Lawyer Regulation

On July 24, 2007, and August 28, 2007, this court issued orders directing Attorney Brian K. Polk and the Office of Lawyer Regulation (OLR) to discuss Attorney Polk's request for a payment plan for the cost assessment imposed in the court's May 11, 2007 *per curiam* opinion and to advise the court of the status of their discussions. If the parties were unable to reach a mutually agreeable resolution, the OLR was ordered to file a response to Attorney Polk's July 13, 2007 affidavit and motion. On September 10, 2007, the OLR filed a letter indicating that the parties had not reached a resolution, that it believed that the requested payment amount of \$10 per month was insufficient, and that Attorney Polk had failed to complete a requested financial disclosure statement.

IT IS ORDERED that the motion for a reduced payment plan is held in abeyance until further order of this court.

Page Two

October 10, 2007

No. 2006AP3096-D

Brian K. Polk v. Office of Lawyer Regulation

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that on or before October 31, 2007, Attorney Brian K. Polk shall complete and return to the OLR the financial disclosure statement on the form provided to him by the OLR.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the OLR and Attorney Brian K. Polk shall each separately advise the court, in writing, on or before November 30, 2007, whether they have reached an agreement on the payment of the cost assessment, and, if they have not reached an agreement, on their respective positions as to the appropriate amount for a payment plan, with supporting arguments.

David R. Schanker
Clerk of Supreme Court