

STATE OF WISCONSIN
IN SUPREME COURT

FILED
MAR 11 2019
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
OF WISCONSIN

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings against
Michael M. Krill, Attorney at Law.

Office of Lawyer Regulation,

Case Number: 2017AP002435-D

Complainant;

Michael M. Krill,

Respondent.

ORDER FOLLOWING SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

The foregoing matter having come on for a telephonic scheduling conference on March 7, 2019, and there appearing Attorney Paul W. Schwarzenbart on behalf of the Office of Lawyer Regulation ("OLR"), and the Respondent Michael M. Krill, pro se, and the parties having asked the court for a telephonic hearing in order to discuss amending the referee's prior order dated October 2, 2018, and the parties and the referee having a discussion, and the referee being otherwise fully advised on the premises ORDERS:

1. The respondent shall attend his deposition at the Milwaukee offices of the OLR's counsel on April 23, 2019 commencing at 10:00 a.m. The deposition shall occur at 1200 N. Mayfair Road, Suite 430, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53226.
2. The parties shall conduct discovery until July 15, 2019, when discovery shall be closed. All discovery must be completed no later than July 15, 2019.
3. The hearing in this matter previously scheduled for commencing on June 4, 2019, is cancelled. The hearing shall now occur at the offices of Brown & Jones Reporting, Mezzanine Level, 735 N. Water Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202, commencing at 10:00 a.m. on September

4, 2019. The hearing may continue, if necessary, to September 5 – 6, 2019. On both the latter two dates, the hearing shall commence at 9:00 a.m.

4. The OLR disclosed that it intends to call an expert witness named Tracy Koenen. The OLR represented that it did not know whether Tracy Koenen would be able to attend on the adjourned hearing dates. Therefore, the parties agree that Tracy Koenen's testimony could be presented at the hearing via video deposition at the option of the OLR. Further, the referee disclosed that he knew Tracy Koenen and that Tracy Koenen had acted as an expert for the referee in one of his cases. The referee asked both parties if, give this information, they would prefer that the referee attempt to recuse himself, and both parties indicated that they had no problem with the disclosure by the referee.

5. Any party wishing to provide video testimony shall contact the court reporter who shall arrange same. The referee suggests that the contact for arranging video testimony be done at least two months ahead of the first day for hearing.

6. Each party shall exchange a list of witnesses and a list of exhibits no later than August 5, 2019. Contemporaneously with the exchange, the list of witnesses and list of exhibits should also be served on the referee. In the exchange between the parties, copies of exhibits should also be provided. The OLR shall number the exhibits starting with "Exhibit 1" and the respondent shall number exhibits starting with "Exhibit 100."

#####

Dated: March 8, 2019.


Jonathan V. Goodman
Supreme Court Referee