

STATE OF WISCONSIN

IN THE SUPREME  
COURT

IN THE MATTER OF

FILED

DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST  
ANGELA W. DEBOSE,  
ATTORNEY AT LAW

DFC 23 2024

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
OF WISCONSINOFFICE OF LAWYER REGULATION,  
Complainant

v.

CASE NO. 2024AP2045-D

ANGELA W. DEBOSE,  
Respondent

## SCHEDULING ORDER

A continued telephonic scheduling conference was held in this matter on December 17, 2024. Participants in the conference were Attorney John Payette, Attorney for Complainant, the Office of Lawyer Regulation (OLR); Attorney Angela DeBose, Respondent; and the undersigned referee.

During the conference, the referee and the parties identified threshold issues that require resolution before further proceedings are scheduled. The referee therefore adjourned the scheduling conference and advised the parties of a deadline of January 17, 2025, for any filing of written documents addressing the following matters:

- Respondent's formal answer to the complaint in this proceeding.<sup>1</sup>
- Under what circumstances, if any, do the Florida Rules of Professional Conduct (alleged by OLR to be the applicable ethical rules in this proceeding) apply to conduct of a pro se litigant licensed as an attorney in Wisconsin?
- Do what extent can the referee take judicial notice of Florida court opinions and/or facts found by the Florida courts?

It is therefore ORDERED that the parties file, on or before January 17, 2025, any documents pertinent to the matters identified above.

It is further ORDERED that both parties file the original of any documents with the Clerk of the Supreme Court, with copies provided to the referee and the opposing party.

Dated this 19th day of December, 2024.



L. Michael Tobin  
Referee  
State Bar No. 1010349

---

<sup>1</sup> Respondent suggested in the teleconference that she may challenge the jurisdiction of the Wisconsin Supreme Court in this proceeding. She may indicate in her answer that she wishes to preserve that issue, and she may file a motion to dismiss the proceeding in addition to filing an answer. However, should Respondent fail to file an answer by January 17, 2025, "the referee may hear any motions, including a motion for default" at a rescheduled scheduling conference. See SCR 22.15(1).