

**FILED****FEB 03 2025**

## STATE OF WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
OF WISCONSIN

---

**IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY  
PROCEEDINGS AGAINST, ANEEQ ADMAD,  
ATTORNEY AT LAW****ORDER****CASE CODE 30912****CASE NO. 2233AP2155-D****OFFICE OF LAWYER REGULATION  
Complainant;****ANEEQ AHMAD,****Respondent.**

---

On January 27, 2025, at approximately 11:00 a.m., a telephonic scheduling conference was held in this matter. The Office of Lawyer Regulation appeared by Attorney Jonathan E. Hendrix, Assistant Litigation Counsel. The respondent, Aneeq Ahmad, appeared by Attorney Peyton B. Engel of Hurley Burish, S.C. Based upon the statements, discussions and arguments that occurred during the telephonic scheduling conference,

**IT IS ORDERED:**

- (1) The hearing in this matter will continue on March 24, 2025, at 9 AM, and if necessary, continue on March 25, 2025 and March 26, 2025, again at the Milwaukee Bar Association 747 North Broadway, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, at 9:00 AM. Given the delay in completing the hearing as first scheduled, the parties are advised that there will be no further delays in completing the hearing.
- (2) The discovery deadline and deadline for any pre-hearing dispositive motions is March 17, 2025.

(3) Any briefs or motions shall be filed with the Referee via email (PDF, Word or Word Perfect), followed by a mailed hard copy.

(4) Any pre-hearing motions shall be in writing. The moving party shall arrange for a three-way telephonic conference at which time the motion will be heard. Opposing counsel (or party) and the Referee should be consulted in advance as to an acceptable date and time for the three-way telephonic conference.

(5) Trial briefs are optional, but are due on or before March 17, 2025.

(6) The scheduling conference is adjourned until 11:00 a.m. on March 17, 2025, at which time a final telephonic scheduling conference shall take place, initiated by the attorney for the complainant.

(7) Telephonic conferences in this case will not be recorded unless a written request is made by a party.

(8) The parties are reminded that the Referee will make a recommendation based upon the record and that he will not be aware of prior discipline, other pending matters, or other evidence, unless presented as part of this case. Also, the parties are reminded to verbally highlight, during the hearing, relevant portions of lengthy exhibits or depositions which they wish to bring to the attention of the Referee.

(9) The parties are reminded of the need to file all original documents and correspondence with the Supreme Court, with copies to the Referee and opposing counsel.

Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this 29<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2025.

James J. Winiarski  
Referee