APR 1 4 2025

OF WISCONSIN

STATE OF WISCONSIN

IN SUPREME COURT

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings

Against Jeremiah Meyer-O'Day,

Attorney at Law

Case No. 2024AP1795-D;

Case No. 2025AP70-D

DEFAULT JUDGMENT ORDER REGARDING CASE NO. 2024AP1795-D AND ORDER TO CONSOLIDATE CASE NO. 2025AP70-D

On April 11, 2025, at 10:00 a.m., oral arguments were held via Zoom on the Office of Lawyer Regulation's ("OLR") motion for default judgment against the Respondent in Case NO. 2024AP1795-D. OLR appeared by Attorney Francis Sullivan. The respondent, Jeremiah Meyer-O'Day, represented himself. OLR had moved for a default judgment on January 13,2025 against the Respondent for his failure to timely answer OLR's complaint. After a scheduling conference was held by the Referee on February 10, 2025, the Referee set a firm deadline of March 3, 2025, to allow Respondent to file a response to OLR' motion for default judgment. Respondent filed an answer on March 10, 2025, without a motion seeking an enlargement of the time to file his answer. OLR filed an objection to the answer on March 12, 2025, pursuant to the scheduling order. The Respondent failed to file a sur-reply as allowed under the scheduling order on or before March 28, 2025.

In the interim, OLR filed a second complaint against the Respondent, Case No. 2025AP70-D, which was assigned to this Referee. Respondent timely filed an answer in Case No. 2025AP70-D admitting the factual allegations of the Complaint but denying the legal conclusions set forth in the last two paragraphs of the complaint. Both parties agree that this matter should be consolidated with Case No. 2024AP1795-D.

Having heard the arguments of OLR and the Respondent,

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. Pursuant to SCR 22.16(1) and Wis. Stat. Secs. 806.02(1), 801.15(2)(a) Respondent is in default of failing to answer OLR's complaint against him in 2024AP1795-D. Therefore, the factual allegations stated in 2024AP1795-D are deemed true.
- 2. OLR and the Respondent agreed that Case No. 2025AP70-D should be consolidated into this matter and the Referee agrees that it is appropriate to do so and orders that the two cases be consolidated.
- 3. The Respondent's Answer admitted the allegations of the Complaint in 2025-AP70-D, except for paragraphs 22 and 23, which contain legal conclusions. Therefore, OLR and the Respondent agree and the Referee orders that a briefing schedule should be set allowing each party to argue the appropriate sanction that the factual allegations in each Complaint warrant.
- 4. OLR shall have until June 11, 2025, to file its brief indicating the sanction it is seeking against the Respondent for the factual uncontested allegations contained in both Complaints, together with its proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law. The Respondent shall have until August 11, 2025, to file a response and objection to OLR's proposed sanction together with any objections to the proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law.
- 3. The parties are reminded of the need to file all original documents and correspondence with the Supreme Court, with copies to the Referee and opposing counsel.

Dated this 11th day of April, 2025.

Valerie Bailey-Rihn, Referee

Calein Baly-les