



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
Supreme Court of Wisconsin

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WI 53701-1688

TELEPHONE (608) 266-1880

FACSIMILE (608) 267-0640

Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

FILED

08-07-2025

CLERK OF WISCONSIN

SUPREME COURT

August 7, 2025

To:

Hon. Karl Hanson
Circuit Court Judge
Electronic Notice

Christine A. Remington
Electronic Notice

Amanda Nelson
Clerk of Circuit Court
Rock County Courthouse
Electronic Notice

Milton Eugene Warren 631350
Redgranite Correctional Inst.
P.O. Box 925
Redgranite, WI 54970-0925

You are hereby notified that the Court, by its Clerk and Commissioners, has entered the following order:

No. 2024AP2096

State v. Warren, L.C. #2014CF2123

On August 4, 2025, the defendant-appellant, Milton Eugene Warren, filed a document with this court entitled “Motion Review Lower Court Contempt to Obey Higher Court Ruling.” The filing referenced this court’s decision in State ex rel. Warren v. Meisner, 2020 WI 55, 392 Wis. 2d 1, 944 N.W.2d 588, which related to a criminal case against Mr. Warren in the Rock County circuit court, State v. Warren, Case No. 2014CF2123. Mr. Warren’s August 4, 2025 filing did not identify a particular appeal in which his motion should be filed. Mr. Warren currently has three appeals pending in the court of appeals related to Rock County Case No. 2014CF2123. Consequently, his August 4, 2025 document was filed into the record of all three pending appeals by the clerk of this court. Each of those appeals, however, remains pending before the court of appeals. There is no other proceeding pending in this court, and Mr. Warren’s filing did not identify any basis for this court to exercise its appellate jurisdiction. Consequently, his filing will be construed as a petition for review in each appeal. Because there is no final decision from the court of appeals in the pending appeals, however, his petition for review will be dismissed as premature. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the “Motion Review Lower Court Contempt to Obey Higher Court Ruling” is dismissed as being premature. The subject of a petition for review must be the final decision of the court of appeals. In Interest of A.R., 85 Wis. 444, 270 N.W.2d 581 (1978). The court of appeals has not yet rendered a final decision in this matter.

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Supreme Court