



Supreme Court of Wisconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

(608) 266-1880

CLERK@WICOURTS.GOV

WWW.WICOURTS.GOV/COURTS/OFFICES/CLERK.HTM

Jill J. Karofsky
Chief Justice

Samuel A. Christensen
Clerk of Supreme Court

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

JUNE 2025

This statistical report provides an overview of case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court from June and for the term that began on September 1, 2024.

OPINIONS ISSUED BY THE COURT

The Supreme Court issued ten opinions in June. Information about issued opinions, including the Court's disposition and the names of the authoring justices, can be found in the attached [table](#).

	June 2025	Term to Date
<i>Total number of cases resolved by opinion</i>	10	31
<i>Attorney disciplinary cases</i>	0	12
<i>Judicial disciplinary cases</i>	0	1
<i>Bar Admissions</i>	0	1
<i>Civil Cases</i>	7	14
<i>Criminal Cases</i>	3	3

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW

A petition for review is a request made to the Supreme Court to review the decision made by the Court of Appeals. It is important to note that the Supreme Court has discretionary jurisdiction, which means that it only grants review in selected cases. During June, 44 new petitions for review were filed. In addition, the Supreme Court disposed of 24 petitions for review during the month, one of which was granted. At present, the Supreme Court has 168 petitions for review that are still pending.

	June 2025	Term to Date
<i>Petitions for Review filed</i>	44	468
<i>Civil Cases</i>	21	210
<i>Criminal Cases</i>	223	258
<i>Petitions for Review dispositions</i>	24	505
<i>Civil Cases (petitions granted)</i>	14 (1)	241 (12)
<i>Criminal Cases (petitions granted)</i>	10 (0)	264 (10)

PETITIONS FOR BYPASS

A petition for bypass is a request for the Supreme Court to assume jurisdiction over an appeal other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court can grant such a petition if the case meets one or more criteria for review, or if there is a compelling need to expedite the appellate process. When a bypass is granted the Supreme Court will decide the matter directly, regardless of any potential decision by the Court of Appeals.

In June, the Supreme Court received two petition for bypass and disposed of no petitions. The Supreme Court currently has 18 petitions for bypass pending.

	<i>June 2025</i>	<i>Term to Date</i>
<i>Petitions for Bypass filed</i>	2	10
<i>Civil Cases</i>	2	10
<i>Criminal Cases</i>	0	0
<i>Petitions for Bypass dispositions</i>	0	11
<i>Civil Cases (petitions granted)</i>	0 (0)	11 (4)
<i>Criminal Cases (petitions granted)</i>	0 (0)	0 (0)

Requests for Certification

A request for certification arises when the Court of Appeals calls upon the Supreme Court to hear a case before the Court of Appeals has had the opportunity to do so. This type of request is typically made when the Court of Appeals believes that the case is of such significance that it is essential for the Supreme Court to consider it at the earliest opportunity.

The criteria for evaluating such a request are the same as those used when assessing a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court considers various factors, including the importance of the issues at stake, the likelihood that the case will return to the Supreme Court if it is not heard, and whether the case would benefit from the Supreme Court's guidance.

If the Supreme Court decides to grant the request for certification, it means that it will consider the case first, before the Court of Appeals has had the opportunity to hear it. If the Supreme Court declines the request, the case will proceed to the Court of Appeals in the usual way. During June, the Supreme Court received no requests for certification and disposed of none. The Supreme Court currently has one request for certification pending.

	<i>June 2025</i>	<i>Term to Date</i>
<i>Requests for Certification filed</i>	0	3
<i>Civil Cases</i>	0	2
<i>Criminal Cases</i>	0	1
<i>Requests for Certification dispositions</i>	0	2
<i>Civil Cases (petitions granted)</i>	0 (0)	1 (1)
<i>Criminal Cases (petitions granted)</i>	0 0)	1 (1)

Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, no matters within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) were filed and no cases were reopened. The Supreme Court received three petitions for supervisory writ, which ask the Supreme Court to order a lower court to take a certain action in a case. The Supreme Court currently has 21 regulatory matters and 10 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in “Opinions Issued by the Court” above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. Three original actions were filed in June.

	June 2025	Term to Date
<i>Total number of Filings (including reopened cases)</i>	12	100
Attorney disciplinary cases	1	23
Judicial disciplinary cases	0	2
Bar Admission	0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	7	58
Other (including Original Actions)	4	17

	June 2025	Term to Date
<i>Total number of Dispositions by Order (including reopened cases)</i>	5	85
Attorney disciplinary cases	0	1
Judicial disciplinary cases	0	0
Bar Admission	0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	1	62
Other (Including Original Actions)	4	22

**DECISIONS BY THE
WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT
OPINIONS ISSUED DURING JUNE 2025**

<u>Docket No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
2021AP1346-CR (2025 WI 21)	<u>State v. Jobert L. Molde</u> The decision of the court of appeals is reversed. HAGEDORN, J., delivered the majority opinion for a unanimous Court. KAROFSKY, J., filed a concurring opinion.	06/13/2025
2022AP718 (2025 WI 26)	<u>Wisconsin Manufacturers and Commerce, Inc. v. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources</u> The decision of the court of appeals is reversed, and we remand this case to the circuit court with instructions to enter judgment in favor of the DNR. PROTASIEWICZ, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY, C.J., DALLET, HAGEDORN, and KAROFSKY, JJ., joined. HAGEDORN, J., filed a concurring opinion. REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., filed a dissenting opinion, in which ZIEGLER, J., joined.	06/24/2025
2022AP790 (2025 WI 23)	<u>Josh Kaul v. Wisconsin State Legislature</u> The decision of the court of appeals is reversed. HAGEDORN, J., delivered the majority opinion for a unanimous Court.	06/17/2025
2022AP959-CR (2025 WI 28)	<u>State v. Luis A. Ramirez</u> The decision of the court of appeals is reversed and the cause remanded to the circuit court. REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court with respect to all parts except ¶37 and n.6, in which ZIEGLER, DALLET, HAGEDORN, and KAROFSKY, JJ., joined, and an opinion with respect to ¶37 and n.6, in which ZIEGLER and HAGEDORN, JJ., joined. ANN WALSH BRADLEY, C.J., filed a concurring opinion, in which PROTASIEWICZ, J., joined. DALLET, J., filed a concurring opinion, in which KAROFSKY, J., joined. KAROFSKY, J., filed a concurring opinion.	06/27/2025

2022AP1759 (2025 WI 24)	<u>Nicole McDaniel v. Wisconsin Department of Corrections</u> The decision of the court of appeals is reversed, and the cause is remanded to the circuit court for further proceedings consistent with this opinion. PROTASIEWICZ, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY, C.J., DALLET, HAGEDORN, and KAROFSKY, JJ., joined. ZIEGLER, J., filed an opinion concurring in part and dissenting in part, in which REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., joined.	06/24/2025
2023AP70-FT (2025 WI 25)	<u>Scot Van Oudenhoven v. Wisconsin Department of Justice</u> Per Curiam. The review of the decision of the court of appeals is dismissed as improvidently granted.	06/24/2025
2023AP1464-CR (2025 WI 22)	<u>State v. Kordell L. Grady</u> The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed. ZIEGLER, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY, C.J., REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, DALLET, HAGEDORN, and KAROFSKY, JJ., joined. DALLET, J., filed a concurring opinion, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY, C.J., joined. PROTASIEWICZ, J., filed a dissenting opinion.	06/13/2025
2023AP1950 (2025 WI 20)	<u>State v. H.C.</u> The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed. REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which ZIEGLER, HAGEDORN, KAROFSKY, and PROTASIEWICZ, JJ., joined. ANN WALSH BRADLEY, C.J., filed a concurring opinion, in which DALLET, J., joined.	06/03/2025
2024AP717 (2025 WI 29)	<u>Service Employees International Union Healthcare Wisconsin v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission</u> The decision of the circuit court is affirmed. HAGEDORN, J., delivered the majority opinion for a unanimous Court. REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., filed a concurring opinion, in which ZIEGLER, J., joined. DALLET, J., filed a concurring opinion, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY, C.J., KAROFSKY, and PROTASIEWICZ, JJ., joined.	06/27/2025

2024AP1713
([2025 WI 27](#))

**Wisconsin State Legislature v. Wisconsin Department
of Public Instruction**

06/25/2025

**The judgment and order of the circuit court is affirmed
in part and reversed in part.**

REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., delivered the
majority opinion for a unanimous Court.