

Supreme Court of Misconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. MAIN STREET, SUITE 215
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688
(608) 266-1880

Samuel A. Christensen Clerk of Supreme Court

CLERK@WICOURTS.GOV

WWW.WICOURTS.GOV/COURTS/OFFICES/CLERK.HTM

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

JULY 2025

This statistical report provides an overview of case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court from July and for the term that began on September 1, 2024.

OPINIONS ISSUED BY THE COURT

The Supreme Court issued six opinions in July. Information about issued opinions, including the Court's disposition and the names of the authoring justices, can be found in the attached table.

	July 2025	Term to Date
Total number of cases resolved by opinion	6	37
Attorney disciplinary cases	1	13
Judicial disciplinary cases	0	1
Bar Admissions	0	1
Civil Cases	3	17
Criminal Cases	2	5

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW

A petition for review is a request made to the Supreme Court to review the decision made by the Court of Appeals. It is important to note that the Supreme Court has discretionary jurisdiction, which means that it only grants review in selected cases. During July, 44 new petitions for review were filed. In addition, the Supreme Court disposed of 5 petitions for review during the month, none of which was granted. At present, the Supreme Court has 207 petitions for review that are still pending.

	July 2025	Term to Date
Petitions for Review filed	44	512
Civil Cases	23	233
Criminal Cases	21 279	
Petitions for Review dispositions	5	510
Civil Cases (petitions granted)	2 (0)	243 (12)
Criminal Cases (petitions granted)	3 (0)	267 (10)

PETITIONS FOR BYPASS

A petition for bypass is a request for the Supreme Court to assume jurisdiction over an appeal other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court can grant such a petition if the case meets one or more criteria for review, or if there is a compelling need to expedite the appellate process. When a bypass is granted the Supreme Court will decide the matter directly, regardless of any potential decision by the Court of Appeals.

In July, the Supreme Court received two petitions for bypass and disposed of no petitions. The Supreme Court currently has 20 petitions for bypass pending.

	July 2025	Term to Date
Petitions for Bypass filed	2	12
Civil Cases	1	11
Criminal Cases	1	1
Petitions for Bypass dispositions	0	11
Civil Cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	11 (4)
Criminal Cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Requests for Certification

A request for certification arises when the Court of Appeals calls upon the Supreme Court to hear a case before the Court of Appeals has had the opportunity to do so. This type of request is typically made when the Court of Appeals believes that the case is of such significance that it is essential for the Supreme Court to consider it at the earliest opportunity.

The criteria for evaluating such a request are the same as those used when assessing a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court considers various factors, including the importance of the issues at stake, the likelihood that the case will return to the Supreme Court if it is not heard, and whether the case would benefit from the Supreme Court's guidance.

If the Supreme Court decides to grant the request for certification, it means that it will consider the case first, before the Court of Appeals has had the opportunity to hear it. If the Supreme Court declines the request, the case will proceed to the Court of Appeals in the usual way. During July, the Supreme Court received no requests for certification and disposed of none. The Supreme Court currently has one request for certification pending.

	July 2025	Term to Date
Requests for Certification filed	0	3
Civil Cases	0	2
Criminal Cases	0	1
Requests for Certification dispositions	0	2
Civil Cases (petitions granted)	0 (0)	1 (1)
Criminal Cases (petitions granted)	0 0)	1 (1)

Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, four matters within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) were filed and no cases were reopened. The Supreme Court received nine petitions for supervisory writ, which ask the Supreme Court to order a lower court to take a certain action in a case. The Supreme Court currently has 19 regulatory matters and 25 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in "Opinions Issued by the Court" above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. One original action was reopened in July.

	July 2025	Term to Date
Total number of Filings (including reopened cases)	14	114
Attorney disciplinary cases	3	26
Judicial disciplinary cases	0	2
Bar Admission	1	1
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	9	67
Other (including Original Actions)	1	18
	July 2025	Term to Date
Total number of Dispositions by Order (including reopened cases)	July 2025 1	Term to Date
- '	<u> </u>	
(including reopened cases)	1	86
(including reopened cases) Attorney disciplinary cases	0	86 1
(including reopened cases) Attorney disciplinary cases Judicial disciplinary cases	1 0 0	86 1 0

DECISIONS BY THE WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT OPINIONS ISSUED DURING JULY 2025

Docket No.	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
2023AP645-CR (2025 WI 30)	State v. Carl Lee McAdory The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed. DALLET, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which KAROFSKY, C.J., ANN WALSH BRADLEY, HAGEDORN, and PROTASIEWICZ, JJ., joined. ZIEGLER, J., filed an opinion concurring in the judgment, in which REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., joined.	07/01/2025
2023AP874-CR (2025 WI 34)	State v. Joan L. Stetzer The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed. DALLET, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY, REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, HAGEDORN, and PROTASIEWICZ, JJ., joined, and in which ZIEGLER, J., joined except for ¶4, 24–29, and 39. ZIEGLER, J., filed a concurring opinion. KAROFSKY, C.J., filed a dissenting opinion.	07/03/2025
2023AP1140 (2025 WI 35)	Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Division of Community Corrections v. Brian Hayes The decision of the court of appeals is affirmed. ANN WALSH BRADLEY, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which KAROFSKY, C.J., DALLET, HAGEDORN, and PROTASIEWICZ, JJ., joined. ZIEGLER, J., filed a concurring opinion. REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., filed a dissenting opinion.	07/03/2025
2023AP1511-D (<u>2025 WI 31</u>)	Office of Lawyer Regulation v. Daniel P. Steffen Per Curiam. Attorney disciplinary proceedings. Attorney's license revoked. ZIELGER, J., with whom KAROFSKY, C.J., and REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, HAGEDORN, and PROTASIEWICZ, JJ., join, concurring.	07/01/2025

2023AP2020-OA

Tony Evers v. Howard Marklein

07/08/2025

(2025 WI 36)

Rights declared.

KAROFSKY, C.J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which ANN WALSH BRADLEY, DALLET, and PROTASIEWICZ, JJ., joined. HAGEDORN, J., filed an opinion concurring in part and dissenting in part. ZIEGLER, J., filed a dissenting opinion. REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., filed a dissenting opinion.

2023AP2362 (2025 WI 32)

<u>Josh Kaul v. Joel Urmanski, as DA for Sheboygan</u> County, WI

07/02/2025

The judgment and order of the circuit court is affirmed.

DALLET, J., delivered the majority opinion of the Court, in which KAROFSKY, C.J., ANN WALSH BRADLEY and PROTASIEWICZ, JJ., joined. KAROFSKY, C.J., filed a concurring opinion. ZIEGLER, J., filed a dissenting opinion. REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., filed a dissenting opinion. HAGEDORN, J., filed a dissenting opinion, in which REBECCA GRASSL BRADLEY, J., joined.