



Supreme Court of Wisconsin

DIRECTOR OF STATE COURTS
P.O. BOX 1688
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Jill J. Karofsky
Chief Justice

16 East State Capitol
Telephone 608-266-6828
Fax 608-267-0980

Hon. Audrey K. Skwierawski
Director of State Courts

Wisconsin Supreme Court accepts 15 cases at recent conferences

Madison, Wisconsin (June 18, 2026) - The Wisconsin Supreme Court recently voted to accept 15 cases, and the Court acted to deny review in a number of other cases at its February 12, March 11, April 22, and May 20, 2026 conferences. The case numbers, counties of origin and the issues presented in granted cases are listed below. More information about pending appellate cases can be found on the Wisconsin Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Access [website](#). Published Court of Appeals opinions can be found [here](#), and the status of pending Supreme Court cases can be found [here](#).

No. 2024AP673

[Sierra Club v. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources](#)

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District IV

Circuit Court: Dane County, Judge Frank D. Remington, *affirmed in part, reversed in part and cause remanded with directions*.

Long caption: Sierra Club, Petitioner-Appellant-Petitioners, v. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Respondent-Respondent; Wisconsin Public Service Corporation and Wisconsin Electric Power Company, Interested Parties-Respondents.

Issue(s) presented:

1. As part of its role in issuing air-pollution control permits, DNR has developed an internal guidance memorandum about how best to determine the impact that a proposed facility's emissions will have on air quality. This guidance memo instructs DNR staff to use data collected from statewide and regional air-quality monitors and data extrapolated from those monitors. The memo does not independently impose any mandatory requirements and instead implements the air-permitting procedures codified in statute and in state and federal administrative rules. The memo expressly states that it "does not establish or affect legal rights or obligations," "does not create any rights enforceable by any party in litigation," and that it "is not finally determinative of any . . . issues addressed," which will instead be determined in the final permitting decision. Does this memo have the "force of law" such that it needed to undergo formal, notice-and-comment rulemaking?
-

No. 2024AP472

S.G. v. Wisconsin Department of Children and Families

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District IV

Circuit Court: Waupaca County, Judge Troy L. Nielsen, *reversed*.

Long caption: S.G., Petitioner-Appellant, v. Wisconsin Department of Children and Families and Waupaca County, Respondent-Respondent-Petitioner.

Issue(s) presented:

1. Is Corporation Counsel a party as a statutory right pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 48.09(5) in actions arising under § 48.13 when Corporation Counsel is not the petitioner?
 2. Is Corporation Counsel a party representing the interest of the public pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 48.09(5) when the County Department of Human Services is court ordered to provide services and funding in privately-filed petitions under § 48.13?
-

No. 2023AP1432

Children's Hospital of Wisconsin, Inc. v. City of Wauwatosa

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District I

Circuit Court: Milwaukee County, Judge Gwendolyn G. Connolly, *affirmed*.

Long caption: Children's Hospital of Wisconsin, Inc., Plaintiff-Appellant-Petitioner, v. City of Wauwatosa, Defendants-Respondents.

Issue(s) presented:

1. Does Wis. Stat. § 70.11(4m), which exempts from taxation nonprofit hospitals such as the children's hospital CHW operates, and the "readying rule," which allows for incomplete additions to such hospitals to be exempt from taxation if they are "being readied for use," exempt the incomplete North Tower from taxation?
-

No. 2023AP1717

Distinguished Multiplying Buildings (D.M.B.), LLC, v.
Germantown Mutual Insurance Company

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District III

Circuit Court: Eau Claire County, Judge Emily M. Long, *affirmed*.

Long caption: Distinguished Multiplying Buildings (D.M.B.), LLC, Petitioner-Appellant-Petitioner, v. Germantown Mutual Insurance Company, Defendant-Respondent.

Issue(s) presented:

1. Whether the ordinance or law exclusion in Germantown Mutual Insurance Company's policy of insurance issued to Distinguished Multiplying Buildings (D.M.B.), LLC excludes coverage for

Distinguished Multiplying Buildings (D.M.B.), LLC's constructive total loss of its building when the municipality ordered the building razed following a significant fire?

No. 2023AP2428

Buddy's Plant Plus Corporation v. Viking Masek Global Packaging Technologies, LLC

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District II

Circuit Court: Sheboygan County, Judge George A. Limbeck, *affirmed*.

Long caption: Buddy's Plant Plus Corporation, Petitioner-Appellant-Petitioner, v. Viking Masek Global Packaging Technologies, LLC, Defendant-Third-Party Plaintiff-Respondent, v. M. & S. Automated Feeding Systems Inc., Third-Party Defendant.

Issue(s) presented:

1. Was the limitation of liabilities provision masked within the warranty clause in the contract between Buddy's and Viking unconscionable and did it fail of its essential purpose, entitling Buddy's to damages under the UCC?
 2. Did Buddy's prove its consequential damages to a reasonable degree of certainty?
-

No. 2024AP577

Friends of Blue Mound State Park v. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District I; Filing District IV

Circuit Court: Iowa County, Judge Matthew C. Allen, *affirmed and cause remanded for further proceedings*.

Long caption: Friends of Blue Mound State Park, Petitioner-Appellant-Petitioner, v. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Respondent-Respondent.

Issue(s) presented:

1. Wis. Stat. § 227.42 grants parties a right to a contested case hearing before an administrative agency, even when one is not otherwise provided by law. Subsection (3) of § 227.42 is a limited exception to that right “where hearings at the discretion of the agency are expressly authorized by law.” The question is whether a “hearing[] at the discretion of the agency” refers to a contested case hearing or something substantially similar (consistent with legislative intent and statutory language), or (as the Court of Appeals held for the first time) any hearing at all, regardless of its form or procedural safeguards.
 2. Did the Friends satisfy the criteria for a contested case hearing enumerated in Wis. Stat. § 227.42(1)(a)-(d)?
-

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District I; Filing District IV

Circuit Court: Columbia County, Judge W. Andrew Voigt, *reversed and cause remanded with directions.*

Long caption: State of Wisconsin, Petitioner-Respondent-Petitioner, v. John R. Phelan, Defendant-Appellant.

Issue(s) presented:

1. Did the court of appeals misinterpret Wis. Stat. § 29.921(5) in a manner that incorrectly circumscribes the Legislature's grant of additional arrest authority to trained and certified wardens?
 - a. Did the court of appeals incorrectly interpret Wis. Stat. § 29.921(5)'s authorization for wardens to “arrest a person who has committed a crime in the presence of the warden” as applying only when a “warden has personally witnessed, based on any combination of the warden's contemporaneous sensory perceptions, facts that objectively support probable cause to believe that the person has committed a crime, and not merely a civil law violation, in the warden's presence”?
 - b. Did the court of appeals misinterpret Wis. Stat. § 29.921(5) when it read the phrase “A warden may not conduct investigations . . . of state law” so broadly as to prohibit a warden who validly arrests a person from performing tasks attendant to an arrest, such as picking up and securing evidence?
 - c. Did the court of appeals misinterpret Wis. Stat. § 29.921(5) so as to prohibit a warden who is assisting another law enforcement agency at the other agency's request from doing anything to actually assist such as taking photos, or collecting or securing evidence?
 2. Did the court of appeals incorrectly conclude that the remedy for a violation of Wis. Stat. § 29.921(5) by improperly conducting an investigation is suppression even though Phelan's constitutional rights were not violated, Wis. Stat. § 29.921(5) says nothing about suppression for conducting an investigation, suppression is inconsistent with the statute's objectives, and the circuit court did not determine that suppression was warranted?
 3. Did the court of appeals properly vacate Phelan's convictions without determining whether the error it identified affected Phelan's substantial rights as Wis. Stat. § 805.18(2) requires?
-

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District IV

Circuit Court: Dane County, Judge Nia E. Trammell, *affirmed.*

Long caption: Creative Finance, Inc., Plaintiff-Respondent, v. Carlos Rangel, Defendant-Appellant-Petitioner.

Issue(s) presented:

1. Does Wis. Stat. § 425.308(1), which requires an award of attorney fees to a “customer [who] prevails in an action arising from a consumer transaction,” additionally require the prevailing consumer to prove a specific WCA violation prior to being awarded attorney fees?
-

No. 2023AP1549

Bank of America NA v. The Estate of Richard P. Nelson

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District II

Circuit Court: Waukesha County, Judge Michael P. Maxwell, *affirmed*.

Long caption: Bank of America NA, Plaintiff, v. The Estate of P. Nelson, Defendant; United States of America, Defendant-Respondent; State of Wisconsin Department of Revenue, Defendant-Appellant-Petitioner.

Issue(s) presented:

1. Whether the federal priority statute's deceased-debtor provision, 31 U.S.C. § 3713(a)(1)(B), gives the United States priority over only “the estate of a deceased debtor, in the custody of the executor or administrator,” or instead may also apply to a foreclosure surplus in the custody of a court official.
-

No. 2023AP2192-CR

State v. Rejholec

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District II

Circuit Court: Sheboygan County, Judge Rebecca L. Persick, *reversed*.

Long caption: State of Wisconsin, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Daniel J. Rejholec, Defendant-Respondent-Petitioner.

Issue(s) presented:

1. Whether an allocution statement made by the defendant prior to the court pronouncing sentence is admissible at a subsequent trial after the defendant withdraws his plea.
 2. Whether introduction of a defendant's allocution statement in a subsequent trial after his plea is withdrawn to correct a manifest injustice violates due process.
-

No. 2024AP178

Wildwood Estate, LLC v. Village of Summit

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District II

Circuit Court: Waukesha County, Judge Michael P. Maxwell, *affirmed*.

Long caption: Wildwood Estate, LLC, Plaintiff-Respondent, v. Village of Summit, Defendant-Appellant-Petitioner.

Issue(s) presented:

1. Whether the Village of Summit properly used its police powers (rather than zoning regulation) when it exercised its reserved right under Wis. Stat. § 66.1014 to create Ordinance 71-2019 to regulate short-term rentals of fewer than seven days.
-

No. 2024AP581-CR

State v. Petersen

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District II

Circuit Court: Kenosha County, Judge Anthony G. Milisaukas, *affirmed*.

Long caption: State of Wisconsin, Plaintiff-Respondent, v. Jonathan James Petersen, Defendant-Appellant-Petitioner.

Issue(s) presented:

1. Whether, and to what extent, a circuit court at sentencing can regulate a defendant's First Amendment activity as a condition of extended supervision.
-

No. 2024AP1098

Somerset Condominium Association, Inc. v. RC Somerset, LLC

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District II

Circuit Court: Walworth County, Judge Phillip A. Koss, *reversed and cause remanded*.

Long caption: Somerset Condominium Association, Inc., Plaintiff-Appellant, v. RC Somerset, LLC and Lakeside Living, LLC, Defendants-Respondents; Marty Cless and Steve Greenberg, Defendants; Canyon Custom Home Builders, Inc. d/b/a Canyon Development Group, Intervenor-Plaintiff-Respondent; Erie Insurance Exchange, Intervenor-Defendant-Respondent-Petitioner.

Issue(s) presented:

1. When a liability insurance policy excludes coverage for claims arising out of facts “related” to an earlier reported “wrongful act” of the insured, and the insured engages in a series of allegedly “wrongful acts” over a period of multiple years aimed at preventing development of two real estate parcels, are the later acts “related” to the original reported claim such that the exclusion applies?
-

No. 2024AP2368-CR

State v. Osornio

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District IV

Circuit Court: Columbia County, Judge Todd J. Hepler, *reversed and cause remanded with directions.*

Long caption: State of Wisconsin, Plaintiff-Respondent-Petitioner, v. Samuel R. Osornio, Defendant-Appellant.

Issue(s) presented:

1. Did Osornio prove that trial counsel's failure to request the lesser-included offense instruction before the jury began deliberating created a reasonable probability of a different result at his trial?
-

No. 2024AP2585-CR

State v. Mann-Tate

Supreme Court case type: Petition for Review

Court of Appeals: District I

Circuit Court: Milwaukee County, Judge Jane Vinopal Carroll, *reversed and cause remanded for further proceedings.*

Long caption: State of Wisconsin, Plaintiff-Respondent-Petitioner, v. Noah Q. Mann-Tate, Defendant-Appellant.

Issue(s) presented:

1. Is Wis. Stat. § 970.32(2) facially unconstitutional under the Procedural Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment because it does not also mandate that the circuit court consider and discuss the “attributes of youth” discussed in dicta in United States Supreme Court decisions about what punishments are categorically barred for juveniles by the Eighth Amendment and whether they are in custody for purposes of Miranda?
 2. Whether, under *State v. Kleser*, 2010 WI 88, ¶18, 328 Wis. 2d 42, 786 N.W.2d 144, the reverse waiver statute, while not expressly providing for consideration of the unique characteristics of youth outlined in the court of appeals' opinion and the constitutional case law, is nevertheless broad enough to encompass those factors as part of the juvenile's “reasonable latitude to offer admissible evidence for the purpose of meeting his burden to prove the three elements for reverse waiver?”
 3. Whether the trial court erroneously exercised its discretion in denying the requested reverse waiver based on the record before it?
-

Review denied: The Supreme Court denied review in the following cases. As the state’s law-developing court, the Supreme Court exercises its discretion to select for review only those cases that fit certain [statutory criteria](#) (see Wis. Stat. § 809.62). Except where indicated, these cases came to the Court via petition for review by the party who lost in the lower court:

Adams County

2025AP1615-CRLV

State v. Groom

2025AP2609-W

Groom v. York
[Writ of Habeas Corpus]

2024AP830

State v. Talley

Barron County

2025AP1010-W

Nielsen v. Cahak

2025AP1757

Barron County Department of Health & Human Services v.
H.K.R.

Brown County

2024AP78

The Estate of Finley Baier v. Hermauer

2024AP1129

Plaza Services LLC v. Burton

2024AP1281

BCG Equities, LLC v. Burton

2025AP521-W

Wells v. Circuit Court for Brown County

2023AP1091-CR

State v. Cruz

2024AP335

Goeben v. Village of Bellevue

2024AP702

Goeben v. Village of Bellevue

2025AP1813-W

Ransom v. Circuit Court for Brown County
[Writ of Mandamus]

Columbia County

2024AP457

Alliant Credit Union v. Columbus Commerce Center LLC
[Justice R.G. Bradley dissents]

2026AP345-W

Maday v. Hoy
[Writ of Habeas Corpus]

Dane County

2023AP1251	<u>ASAP Cruises, Inc. v. Wisconsin Department of Revenue</u> [Justice R.G. Bradley dissents]
2024AP672-CR	<u>State v. Dominguez</u>
2024AP1829	<u>Anderson v. Madison Cellular Company</u> [Justice R.G. Bradley dissents]
2025AP1268-W	<u>Griffin v. Circuit Court for Dane County</u> [Supervisory Writ]
2024AP50-CR	<u>State v. Hamilton</u>
2023AP2203	<u>Morgan v. Tossing</u>
2024AP1459	<u>Doe v. Lick</u>
2024AP2467	<u>James v. Hayes</u> [Bypass Petition]
2025AP1060-OA	<u>Howell v. State</u> [Original Action]
2025AP2859-CR	<u>State v. Troupis</u> [Justices A.K. Ziegler and R.G. Bradley dissent]
2024AP217-CR	<u>State v. Burwitz</u> [Justice R.G. Bradley dissents]
2024AP530-CR	<u>State v. Horn</u> [Chief Justice J.J. Karofsky did not participate]
2024AP957	<u>Skechers USA, Inc. v. Wisconsin Department of Revenue</u> [Justice R.G. Bradley dissents]
2024AP1725	<u>Dwyer v. City of Monona</u>
2025AP1158-W	<u>Bernegger v. Peacock</u>
2025AP2171-W	<u>Taylor v. Court of Appeals, Dist. IV</u> [Supervisory Writ]
2026AP1023-OA	<u>Lennon v. Circuit Court for Dane County</u> [Original Action]

Dodge County

2024AP1662

State v. Davis

Door County

2023AP1398

State v. Hopson

Dunn County

2023AP1978-CR

State v. Woodberry

2023AP543-CR

State v. Nero

Eau Claire County

2023AP1680-CRNM

State v. Witt

2022AP2222-CR

State v. Minck

Fond du Lac County

2023AP1075-CR

State v. Neuman

2024AP2221-W

Elmhdati v. Stevens

2024AP2245-CR

State v. Williams

2023AP2421-CR

State v. Lawrence

Jackson County

2025AP936-W

Scott v. Diehn

2025AP2512-W

Scott v. Diehn

2025AP2124-W

Scott v. Circuit Court for Jackson County
[Writ of Habeas Corpus]

Jefferson County

2022AP1129 Kawleski v. State
[Justice R.G. Bradley dissents]

2025AP2491 Jefferson County DHS v. G.J.J.

Kenosha County

2023AP2271-CR State v. Espitia Guerrero

2024AP301-CR State v. Rodriguez-Holandez

2024AP939-CR State v. Smith

2024AP1041-CR State v. Taylor

2025AP2729-W Fox v. Circuit Court for Kenosha County
[Supervisory Writ]

2025AP2579-W Winters v. Circuit Court for Kenosha County
[Supervisory Writ]

2023AP2292 State v. Hart

2024AP380 Loriss v. Bruce

2023AP1338-CR State v. Riddle

2023AP2086 State v. Craig

2024AP2406 State v. D.R.-R.D.J.

2025AP1303-OA Beascochea-Vena v. Kerkman
[Original Action]

2025AP1962-W Beascochea-Vena v. Circuit Court of Kenosha County
[Writ of Mandamus]

2023AP1517-CR State v. LeBlanc

2024AP1756-CR State v. Vines

2026AP341-W Olrich v. Circuit Court for Kenosha County
2026AP342-W [Writ of Habeas Corpus]
2026AP343-W

La Crosse County

2024AP989-CR

State v. Wiltgen

Lafayette County

2024AP58

Riley v. Petry Trust No. 1989
[Justice R.G. Bradley dissents]

Lincoln County

2022AP1393

Trevino v. Trevino

Manitowoc County

2024AP643

Pautz v. Gleichner

2024AP716-CR

State v. Deshazer

Marathon County

2024AP184

Rhyner v. Kernosky
[Justices R.G. Bradley and R.F. Dallet, dissent]

2024AP222-CR

State v. Simmons

Marinette County

2023AP1602-CR

State v. Cole

2023AP1205-CR

State v. Kovacich

Marquette County

2025AP1963

2025AP1964

2025AP1965

Marquette County Department of Human Services v. J.J.

2024AP699-CR

State v. Knutson

Milwaukee County

2022AP1517	<u>State v. Ford</u>
2023AP1297-CR	<u>State v. Goines</u>
2023AP1634	<u>State v. Henderson</u>
2023AP2103	<u>Freeman v. SL Greenfield, LLC</u> [Justice R.G. Bradley dissents]
2024AP558	<u>Palm v. Hammond</u>
2024AP622-CRNM	<u>State v. Alexander</u>
2024AP1691-CR	<u>State v. Ramirez-Valdez</u>
2025AP59	<u>State v. Cole</u>
2024AP1875-CR	<u>State v. Bergner</u>
2024AP2230-CR	<u>State v. Idell</u>
2025AP2026-W	<u>Carr v. Court of Appeals, Dist. I</u> [Writ of Mandamus] [Justice J.C. Protasiewicz did not participate]
2025AP2611-W	<u>Armstrong v. Court of Appeals District I</u> [Writ of Mandamus]
2022AP1933-CR	<u>State v. Evans</u>
2023AP114	<u>State v. McDade</u>
2023AP634	<u>State v. Fennell</u>
2023AP763-CR	<u>State v. Van Wagner</u>
2023AP1322-CR	<u>State v. Young</u>
2023AP1408	<u>State v. Jones</u>
2023AP1414-CR	<u>State v. Coleman</u>
2023AP1801	<u>State v. Carr</u> [Justice J.C. Protasiewicz did not participate]

2023AP2134	<u>State v. Ziedman</u> [Justice J.C. Protasiewicz did not participate]
2024AP01-CR	<u>State v. Sisavangone</u>
2024AP167	<u>State v. Richardson</u>
2024AP814	<u>M.S. v. R.F.</u> [Justice R.G. Bradley dissents]
2024AP949-CR	<u>State v. Johnson</u>
2024AP1094-CRNM	<u>State v. Wright</u>
2024AP1142-CR	<u>State v. Brown</u>
2025AP437-CR	<u>State v. T.A.W.</u>
2023AP1449-CR	<u>State v. Brown</u>
2023AP1463	<u>Fisher v. Froedtert Health, Inc.</u> [Justice R.G. Bradley dissents]
2023AP1875	<u>Grady v. State</u>
2023AP1917-CR	<u>State v. Benford</u>
2023AP1977-CRNM	<u>State v. Bounds</u>
2023AP2402	<u>State v. Mathis</u>
2024AP106-CR	<u>State v. B.R.B.</u>
2024AP1190-CR	<u>State v. Lopez</u>
2024AP1231-CR	<u>State v. Pearson</u>
2024AP2475-W	<u>Anderson v. Boughton</u>
2025AP563-W	<u>Slocum v. Olson</u> [Writ of Habeas Corpus]
2025AP1334 2025AP1335	<u>State v. D.J.</u>

Monroe County

2024AP1596 Monroe County v. G.L.B.
2024AP1845 Monroe County v. T.B.

Outagamie County

2023AP540-FT Schinke v. Kaul
2024AP872-CR State v. Butler
2024AP546-CR State v. Elmer

Ozaukee County

2025AP2329-W Yang v. Gierach
[Writ of Habeas Corpus]
2024AP379-CR State v. Graham
2023AP1151-CR
2023AP1152-CR State v. Green
2023AP1153-CR

Pierce County

2024AP1367 Pierce County v. P.C.A.
2025AP1948-W Kirkland v. Circuit Court for Pierce County

Portage County

2025AP1927-W
2025AP1928-W T.B. v. Circuit Court for Portage County
2025AP1929-W
2025AP1930-W
2025AP1931-W
2023AP1209-CR State v. Sypher
2024AP300-CR State v. Mitchell

Racine County

2025AP1371-W Brown v. Cafferty
[Supervisory Writ]

2023AP2118-CR State v. Boone

2024AP1486 Paul Davis Restoration of S.E. Wisconsin, Inc. v. Jackson

2023AP253 State v. Vinson

2023AP324 Jackson v. Racine County District Attorney

2023AP1799-CR State v. Gustin

2026AP19-OA Barker v. Circuit Court for Racine County
[Original Action]

Rock County

2023AP124 Landmark Credit Union v. Streuly
2023AP1333

2024AP887-CR State v. Tucker

2024AP1233 Gonfiantini v. Rock County Bd. of Canvassers

2024AP1533-CR State v. Zuniga

2023AP1273-CR
2023AP1274-CR
2023AP1275-CR
2023AP1276-CR State v. Robinson
2023AP1277-CR
2023AP1278-CR
2023AP1279-CR

2023AP2393-CR State v. Rolain
2023AP2394-CR

2025AP2471-OA Klimczyk v. Van Rybroek
[Original Action]

Sauk County

2024AP524 State v. Dowling

Sheboygan County

2023AP1430-CR State v. Price

2024AP75 Petitioner v. Rogers

2024AP418 State v. Robinson

2024AP1530 State v. Hvizdak

2025AP903-FT Sheboygan County Dep't of Health & Human Services v. N.H.

2023AP1356-CR State v. Kelly

2023AP682-CR

2023AP683-CR

2023AP684-CR

State v. Reynoso

St. Croix County

2023AP603-CR State v. Martin

2022AP2037-CR State v. Fleischauer

2023AP1041-CR State v. Norring

Taylor County

2025AP633

2025AP634

2025AP635

2025AP636

Taylor County Human Services v. A.B.

Walworth County

2023AP1927 Peyer v. Strauss

2024AP509-CR State v. Jenkins

2025AP860-W Braxton v. Circuit Court for Walworth County

2025AP1953-W Sharkus v. Wiedenfeld
[Writ of Mandamus]

Washington County

2024AP2559 City of West Bend v. Lang

2025AP210-CR State v. Shingleton
[Bypass Petition]

2024AP771-CR State v. Norman

Waukesha County

2024AP243 Fetzer v. U.S. Bankcorp Investments, Inc.
[Justice A.K. Ziegler did not participate]

2024AP650-CR State v. Pitzka

2024AP1450 Rose v. C.R.R.

2023AP1134-CR State v. Rojas

2025AP1403 Rose v. C.R.R.
[Bypass Petition]

2025AP2088 Rose v. C.R.R.
[Bypass Petition]

2026AP15-W Gerou v. Nowakowski
[Writ of Mandamus]

2026AP358-OA Peters v. Ramirez
[Original Action]

2023AP2412-CR State v. Beyer

2023AP1010 Morgan v. LIRC

2023AP1518-CR State v. Smith

2023AP1527-CR State v. Bilton

2024AP68-CR	<u>State v. Moore</u>
2024AP475-CR	<u>State v. Hodgkins</u>
2024AP1868-CRNM	<u>State v. Bell</u>
2024AP119-CR	<u>State v. Aviles</u>
2024AP611-CR	<u>State v. McFarlin</u>
2024AP1176	<u>Bearden v. Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade, & Consumer Protection</u> [Justice R.G. Bradley dissents]

Waushara County

2024AP1442-CR	<u>State v. Handley</u>
---------------	-------------------------

Winnebago County

2024AP1601	<u>Winnebago County v. J.D.M.</u>
2023AP2316-CR	<u>State v. Hanson</u>
2025AP141-CR	<u>State v. Meton</u>
2024AP2391-FT	<u>Winnebago County v. D.P.</u>

Wood County

2023AP1807-CR	<u>State v. Wendt</u>
2024AP145	<u>Dinkmeyer v. Force</u>

CONTACT:
Stephen Kelley
Public Information Officer
communications@wicourts.gov
(608) 261-6640