

**From:** Yvonne Metivier <honyereteka@yahoo.com>  
**To:** "clerk@wicourts.gov" <clerk@wicourts.gov>  
**Date:** 10/15/2015 2:09 PM  
**Subject:** Oneida calling...Comment for

Dear Julie Ann Rich,

Comment on Public Hearing for November 10, 2015, 9:30 am Rule Petition 07-11C and Rule Petition 14-02.

During my term as Chairman of the Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin (Tribe) from 2011 to 2014, I received several calls from mothers who were unhappy about having their child support under the jurisdiction of the Tribe. Primarily they were unhappy because of the financial harm that was being done to their children because the absent father did not necessarily have to find employment (or report wages earned under the table) to support his children because the Tribe's Child Support law lacked authority to send the father to jail for not supporting his child. Those who called also expressed a desire to get out from under the jurisdiction of the Tribe's child support jurisdiction.

In response to the phone calls, my office submitted recommended changes to the law which would provide the consequence of jail time as an incentive for parents who refused to provide for their children. I also recommended that the custodial parent under the law, would have the ability to come under State law if the Tribal law could not provide better financial protections to Oneida children.

As an Oneida Indian, I support Tribal jurisdiction, however, I believe a child's welfare comes first. Thank you much:

Edward Delgado